Dear Shareholder:

We invite you to attend the annual meeting of shareholders on Wednesday, May 25, 2022, beginning promptly at 9:30 a.m. Central Time. The annual meeting of shareholders will be a virtual meeting. This will help provide for a safe experience for our shareholders, employees, and other members of the community, and provide broader access and participation in the meeting versus an in-person meeting. Shareholders will be able to attend, vote, and submit questions during the annual meeting from any remote location that has Internet connectivity. We believe this approach provides for equitable participation and enhances accessibility to the meeting. Online access will be available approximately 15-minutes prior to the annual meeting start time at www.virtualshareholdermeeting.com/XOM2022. Please see page 5 for detailed instructions for attending and participating in the annual meeting.

At the meeting, you will hear a report on our business and the following items:

• Election of directors;
• Ratification of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as independent auditors;
• Advisory vote to approve executive compensation;
• Seven shareholder proposals contained in this proxy statement; and
• Other matters if properly raised.

Only shareholders of record on April 1, 2022, or their valid proxy holders may vote at the meeting. We are first mailing these proxy materials to shareholders of record on or about April 7, 2022.

This booklet includes the formal notice of the meeting and proxy statement. The proxy statement tells you about the agenda, procedures, and rules of conduct for the meeting. It also describes how the Board operates, gives information about our director candidates, and provides information about the other items of business to be conducted at the meeting.

Financial information is provided separately in the 2021 Annual Report that accompanies or precedes the proxy materials or is made available online to all shareholders.

Your vote is important. Even if you own only a few shares, we want your voice to be represented at the meeting. You can vote your shares by Internet, toll-free telephone call, or proxy card. A preliminary summary of 2022 Proxy Voting Results will be available at exxonmobil.com after the annual meeting of shareholders and will be filed on a Form 8-K within four business days of the meeting.

Sincerely,

Craig S. Morford
Secretary

Darren W. Woods
Chairman of the Board
Dear Fellow Shareholders:

I am pleased to invite you to the 2022 Exxon Mobil Corporation virtual Annual Shareholder Meeting on May 25, 2022, beginning at 9:30 a.m. Central Time.

ExxonMobil delivered exceptional financial and operating performance in 2021 that supported a dividend increase, restored our balance sheet, and achieved our 2025 emission-reduction goals four years early.

These results were driven by a focused and disciplined strategy that leverages our competitive advantages to build globally competitive businesses with industry-leading earnings and cash flow growth across a broad range of future scenarios.

At this year’s meeting, we’ll highlight the progress we’ve made to structurally reduce costs, enhance capital efficiency, and drive improvements across our businesses to maximize shareholder returns. We’ll also share how our businesses and strategy are resilient across a broad range of future energy transition scenarios. We’re significantly increasing investments in high-value lower-emission business opportunities and taking a comprehensive approach to reaching our ambition of net-zero operated Scope 1 and 2 greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.

We plan to play a significant leadership role in global efforts to reduce emissions in support of a net-zero future. The same capabilities, technical strengths, and market experience that have positioned us to meet society’s essential needs, will also enable us to develop and deploy lower-emission solutions to address climate change.

We look forward to sharing more with you at our Annual Shareholder Meeting. Thank you for investing in ExxonMobil.

Darren W. Woods
Chairman of the Board,
Chief Executive Officer,
Exxon Mobil Corporation

Dear Fellow Shareholders:

As ExxonMobil’s Lead Director, I am proud of the progress the Company has made to build shareholder value and further its leadership in the energy transition.

In 2021, five new directors joined the Board, adding to its existing diverse skillsets and leadership with additional expertise in energy, business transition, and capital allocation.

The Board is highly engaged in future business planning and focused on ensuring the Company tests its assumptions, consistently challenges conventional thinking, and pursues high-value solutions. The perspectives of all our members are helping inform the Company’s strategy, strengthen our competitive position, and maximize shareholder returns.

As a Board, we support the Company’s pursuit of industry leadership across a variety of key metrics, including in the advancement of lower-emission opportunities. The progress to date has been impressive. The Company delivered industry-leading results in 2021 while competitively positioning itself for the future. It announced a Scope 1 and 2 net-zero ambition by 2050 and is building asset-level emission-reduction roadmaps to achieve this goal. It is significantly increasing investments in lower-emission initiatives, which will help accelerate opportunities in its Low Carbon Solutions business and drive accelerated greenhouse gas emission reductions.

The Board’s goal is to ensure ExxonMobil is successful across a wide range of scenarios, at the forefront of industry innovation, and positioned to deliver leading shareholder value into the future. The Company’s results demonstrate alignment with this goal and help position ExxonMobil to succeed in an evolving energy landscape.

Thank you for the trust you’ve placed in the Board and in ExxonMobil.

Kenneth C. Frazier
Lead Director,
Exxon Mobil Corporation
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ITEM 1: Election of Directors
The Board recommends you vote FOR each of the following nominees.

The Board of Directors has nominated the director candidates below. All of our nominees currently serve as ExxonMobil directors. All director nominees have stated that they are willing to serve if elected.
Personal information about each nominee begins on Page 9.

ExxonMobil Board of Directors

Michael J. Angelakis
Chairman of the Board & CEO,
Atairos Group Inc.

Susan K. Avery
President Emerita,
Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution

Angela F. Braly
Former Chairman of the Board, President & CEO,
WellPoint (now Anthem)

Ursula M. Burns
Former Chairman of the Board & CEO,
VEON, Ltd.

Gregory J. Goff
Former Executive Vice Chairman,
Marathon Petroleum Corp.

Kaisa H. Hietala
Former Executive Vice President of Renewable Products, Neste Corp.

Joseph L. Hooley
Former Chairman of the Board, President & CEO,
State Street Corp.

Steven A. Kandarian
Former Chairman of the Board, President & CEO,
MetLife

Alexander A. Karsner
Former Managing Partner,
Emerson Collective;
Senior Strategist, X

Jeffrey W. Ubben
Founder, Portfolio Manager & Managing Partner,
Inclusive Capital Partners

Darren W. Woods
Chairman of the Board & CEO,
Exxon Mobil Corporation

ExxonMobil leverages its core capabilities to meet society’s needs for products essential to modern life, while addressing the challenge of climate change. The Company’s strategy uses its advantages in scale, integration, technology, functional excellence, and talent to build globally competitive businesses that lead the industry in earnings and cash flow growth across a broad range of future scenarios. ExxonMobil is committed to playing a leading role in the energy transition, while retaining investment flexibility across a portfolio of evolving opportunities to maximize shareholder returns.

The Board refreshment process is led by the Board Affairs Committee, which incorporates the perspectives of external experts and shareholders. The Committee seeks a diverse slate of experienced and highly qualified Board members who bring unique perspectives to deliberations and discussions. Important director competencies include experience in risk management, global business leadership, finance, energy, industrial operations, science, technology, and research.

The Board unanimously recommends you vote FOR each of the ExxonMobil director candidates.
Board Tenure

ExxonMobil added six new non-employee directors in less than three years — 50% of the total current Board. Average tenure for current non-employee directors is 4 years, which is almost half the applicable Standard & Poor’s 500 average.

50% of current directors have joined the Board since 2020

Director Diversity

The Board believes diversity of thought, experience, and background is critical to good governance. Their unique perspectives and experiences add value as they work together as a collective body to represent the interests of all shareholders.

Overall Board Diversity 42%
Gender Diversity 33%
Racial/Ethnic Diversity 17%

Current Board diversity

Broad Range of Business & Industry Experience

Directors bring a wide range of business experience, including climate expertise, industrial operations experience, investor perspectives, and experience in the oil and gas industry.

25% of current directors have experience in the energy industry / commodities / cyclical businesses

Business Strategy Delivering Results

Earnings  
increased to $23 billion

Dividend  
annual growth for 39 consecutive years

Cash flow from operations increased to $48 billion

2050 Net-Zero Ambition for Operated Assets

ExxonMobil achieved its 2025 greenhouse gas emission reduction plans four years ahead of schedule and the Board approved the Company’s new 2030 emission reduction plans for Company operated assets relative to 2016 levels. The Company further announced a corporate-wide net-zero ambition by 2050.

20-30% Reduction in corporate-wide greenhouse gas emissions intensity by 2030
40-50% Reduction in Upstream greenhouse gas emissions intensity by 2030
70-80% Reduction in corporate-wide methane intensity by 2030
60-70% Reduction in corporate-wide flaring intensity by 2030

Net-Zero GHG Emissions

Permian Basin by 2030 Plan for Scope 1 and Scope 2
Corporate-wide by 2050 Ambition for Scope 1 and Scope 2

Investing in Lower-Emission Opportunities

ExxonMobil plans to invest $15 billion in lower-emission initiatives over the next six years, including scaling up carbon capture and storage, hydrogen, and lower-emission fuels. Stronger government policy could accelerate development and deployment of newer technologies and would provide ExxonMobil additional investment opportunities to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. The Company’s robust research and development process, continued evaluation of emerging technologies, and global collaborations will be key to identifying lower-emission opportunities and growing the Low Carbon Solutions business.

$15 Billion  
Allocated toward lower-emissions investments through 2027
ITEM 2: Ratification of Independent Auditors
The Board recommends you vote **FOR** this proposal.

The ExxonMobil Audit Committee has appointed PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP (PwC) to audit ExxonMobil’s financial statements for 2022.

You are asked to ratify that appointment.

---

ITEM 3: Advisory Vote to Approve Executive Compensation
The Board recommends you vote **FOR** this proposal.

ExxonMobil asks you to vote on a non-binding resolution to approve the compensation of the Named Executive Officers.

---

ITEMS 4 through 10: Shareholder Proposals
The Board recommends you vote **AGAINST** each of these proposals.

You will have the opportunity to vote on shareholder proposals submitted to ExxonMobil.

---

Page 33
Additional information about the Audit Committee’s appointment of PwC and PwC’s fees for 2020 and 2021

Page 36
Additional information about ExxonMobil’s compensation program

Page 69
The text of these proposals, the proponents’ statements in support, and ExxonMobil’s responses
GENERAL INFORMATION

Who May Vote
Shareholders of ExxonMobil, as recorded in our stock register on April 1, 2022, may vote at the meeting according to the instructions below.

How to Vote
Your vote is important. We recommend you vote by proxy even if you plan to participate in the virtual meeting. You may vote at the annual meeting according to the instructions below or by proxy.

If your shares are held in your name, you can vote by proxy in one of three convenient ways:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Online</th>
<th>Telephone</th>
<th>Mail</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Follow the instructions at investorvote.com/exxonmobil. You will need to have your proxy card or Notice of Internet Availability (Notice) in hand.</td>
<td>Call toll-free 1-800-652-8683 or 1-781-575-2300 (outside the United States, Canada, and Puerto Rico), and follow the instructions. You will need to have your proxy card or Notice in hand.</td>
<td>Complete, sign, date, and return your proxy card in the enclosed envelope. If you receive a Notice and would like to vote by mail, please follow the instructions in the Notice to obtain paper proxy materials.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

How Proxies Work
ExxonMobil’s Board of Directors is asking for your proxy. Giving us your proxy means you authorize us to vote your shares at the meeting in the manner you direct on the proxy card from the Board of Directors.

If you give us your signed proxy but do not specify how to vote, we will vote your shares as follows:

- For the election of all director candidates nominated by the ExxonMobil Board;
- For ratification of the appointment of independent auditors;
- For approval of the compensation of the Named Executive Officers; and
- As recommended by the Board with respect to shareholder proposals.

If you hold shares through someone else, such as a brokerage firm, bank, or intermediary, you will receive materials from that firm asking how you want to vote. Check the voting form used by that firm as most offer online or telephone voting in addition to mail.
Attendance at the Annual Meeting

You have received this proxy statement because you are a shareholder as of the record date. Attendance at the annual meeting through the website www.virtualshareholdermeeting.com/XOM2022 or any adjournment or postponement thereof will be limited to shareholders of the Company as of the close of business on the record date and to guests. You will not be able to attend the annual meeting in person at a physical location. Separate instructions for how to attend the annual meeting as a shareholder and have the ability to vote and/or submit a comment or question during the annual meeting are provided below for Registered Shareholders (those who hold shares through our transfer agent, Computershare, or participate in the Savings Plan) and Beneficial Shareholders (generally, those who hold shares through a bank or brokerage account).

Registered Shareholders must pre-register by 4:00 p.m. Central Time on May 18, 2022

For Registered Shareholders who hold shares through our transfer agent, Computershare, or participate in the Savings Plan, you must request a 16-digit virtual meeting access (VMA) control number no later than 4:00 p.m. Central Time on Wednesday, May 18, 2022.

To request a VMA control number, please email Computershare at legalproxy@computershare.com. Include your full name exactly as it appears on your account and include a copy of your proxy card or Notice. Alternatively, if you received your voting instructions via email, you may forward or attach that email. The 15-digit voter control number on your proxy card, Notice, or email allows you to vote your shares prior to and during the meeting but does not provide access to the virtual meeting.

You will receive an email response from Computershare within 7 days of your request. The email response will include your VMA control number and instructions to attend the virtual meeting. Please check that you have received a response in advance of the meeting, as it may be possible that the email may be in your spam or junk folder.

Beneficial Shareholders

For Beneficial Shareholders who hold their shares through an intermediary, such as a bank or brokerage firm, the 16-digit control number can be found on the Notice of Internet Availability (Notice), voting instruction form, or other instructions you receive from your bank, brokerage firm, or other intermediary. Beneficial Shareholders can use their 16-digit control number to log in to attend the meeting, submit questions, and vote during the meeting.

Beneficial Shareholders who did not receive a 16-digit control number from their bank or brokerage firm who wish to attend the meeting should follow the instructions from their bank or brokerage firm, including any requirement to obtain a legal proxy. Most brokerage firms or banks allow a shareholder to obtain a legal proxy either online or by mail.

Attending as a Guest

Shareholders who do not pre-register for the virtual annual meeting (as specified above) or who do not have their 16-digit control number may still attend the meeting virtually as a guest by accessing the annual meeting website, www.virtualshareholdermeeting.com/XOM2022, beginning 15-minutes prior to the annual meeting’s scheduled start time and following the instructions provided to attend as a Guest.

Guests at the virtual annual meeting will be able to listen to the meeting but will not be able to vote nor submit a comment or question during the annual meeting.

Submitting Questions and Voting at the Annual Meeting

Other than shareholders who attend as guests, all shareholders may submit questions and vote at the annual meeting by following the instructions that will be available on the annual meeting website. Even if you plan to attend the annual meeting,
the Company recommends that you vote your shares in advance, so that your vote will be counted if you later decide not to attend the annual meeting. Please note the additional information below for Registered Shareholders on voting during the annual meeting.

Registered Shareholders can continue to vote their shares during the annual meeting by following the instructions that will be available on the annual meeting website and using the 15-digit voter control number displayed on your proxy card, Notice, or meeting materials email for the annual meeting. The 15-digit voter control number will not provide you access to the virtual annual meeting. For instructions on attending the annual meeting, please reference the section above titled “Attendance at the Annual Meeting”.

Submitting a Question Prior to the Annual Meeting
Shareholders may submit a comment or question prior to the annual meeting, beginning on May 2, 2022, by visiting exxonmobil.com/investor and following the instructions on the website.

Questions received prior to or during the annual meeting will be answered as allotted time permits. In light of the number of business items on this year’s agenda and the need to conclude the annual meeting within a reasonable period of time, we cannot ensure that every shareholder who wishes to have a question or comment addressed during the annual meeting will be able to do so.

Virtual Meeting Technical Assistance
If you encounter technical difficulties accessing the virtual annual meeting, the meeting login page will include technical support line contact information. Technical support will be available beginning at 9:15 a.m. Central Time on May 25, 2022, and will remain available until the annual meeting has ended.

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Shareholders Meeting to be held on May 25, 2022:

Notice and Access
We distribute proxy materials to many shareholders via the Internet under the Securities and Exchange Commission’s (SEC) “Notice and Access” rules, thereby capturing cost and environmental benefits. On or about April 7, 2022, we mailed a Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials (Notice) that contains information about our 2022 annual meeting of shareholders and instructions on how to view all proxy materials on the Internet. Also included are instructions on how to vote and how to request a paper or e-mail copy of the proxy materials.

Electronic Delivery of Proxy Statement and Annual Report Documents
For shareholders receiving proxy materials by mail, you can elect to receive an e-mail in the future that will provide electronic links to these documents. Opting to receive your proxy materials online will save the Company the cost of producing and mailing documents to you, and will also give you an electronic link to vote your proxy.

• Registered Shareholders: You may enroll in the electronic proxy delivery service at any time by going to computershare.com/exxonmobil. You may also revoke an electronic delivery election at this site at any time.
• Beneficial Shareholders: If you hold your shares in a brokerage firm or bank account, you may also have the opportunity to receive copies of the proxy materials electronically. Please check the information provided in the proxy materials mailed to you by your bank, brokerage firm, or intermediary regarding the availability of this service.
Voting Shares in the ExxonMobil Savings Plan

The Trustee of the ExxonMobil Savings Plan will vote Plan shares as participants direct. If participants do not give instructions, the Trustee will vote shares as it thinks best. The proxy card serves to give voting instructions to the Trustee.

Revoking a Proxy for Registered Shareholders

You may revoke your proxy before it is voted at the meeting by:

• Submitting a new proxy with a later date via a proxy card, online, by telephone, or by mail;
• Notifying ExxonMobil’s Secretary in writing before the meeting; or
• Voting during the meeting.

Confidential Voting

Independent inspectors count the votes. Your individual vote is kept confidential from us unless otherwise required by law or special circumstances exist. For example, a copy of your proxy card will be sent to us if you write comments on the card.

Quorum

In order to carry on the business of the meeting, we must have a quorum. This means at least a majority of the outstanding shares eligible to vote must be represented at the meeting, either by proxy or in person. Treasury shares, which are shares owned by ExxonMobil itself, are not voted and do not count for this purpose.

Votes Required

• **Election of Directors Proposal:** Under ExxonMobil’s by-laws, in a non-contested election, a director nominee must receive a majority of votes cast in order to be elected to the Board of Directors. In a contested election (in which the number of nominees exceeds the number of directors to be elected), the plurality vote standard under New Jersey law applies. Under plurality voting, the director nominee with the most votes for a particular seat is elected for that seat. Abstentions and broker non-votes are not counted for purposes of the election of directors. A broker non-vote occurs when a bank, broker, or other holder of record that is holding shares for a beneficial owner does not vote on a particular proposal because the record holder does not have discretionary voting power and has not received instructions from the beneficial owner. **If you own shares through a brokerage firm, bank, or intermediary, you must give the brokerage firm, bank, or intermediary instructions to vote your shares in the election of directors. You provide those instructions to your brokerage firm, bank, or intermediary by voting according to the directions on your proxy card or Notice by mail, online or telephone. If you do not give your brokerage firm, bank, or intermediary instructions by voting your shares, then your shares will not be voted for this proposal.**

Our Corporate Governance Guidelines, which can be found in the Corporate Governance section of our website at exxonmobil.com/governance, state that all directors will stand for election at the annual meeting of shareholders. In a non-contested election of directors, any director nominee who receives a greater number of votes AGAINST his or her election than votes FOR such election shall tender his or her resignation. Within 90 days after certification of the election results, the Board of Directors will decide, through a process managed by the Board Affairs Committee and excluding the nominee in question, whether to accept the resignation. Absent a compelling reason for the director to remain on the Board, the Board shall accept the resignation. The Board will promptly disclose its decision and, if applicable, the reasons for rejecting the tendered resignation on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.
Other Proposals: Approval of the ratification of the appointment of independent auditors, the advisory vote to approve executive compensation, and the shareholder proposals require the favorable vote of a majority of votes cast. Only votes FOR or AGAINST these proposals count.

Abstentions count for quorum purposes, but not for voting. Broker non-votes count as votes FOR the ratification of the appointment of independent auditors but do not count for voting on any of the other proposals.

Conduct of the Meeting

The Chairman has broad responsibility and legal authority to conduct the annual meeting in an orderly and timely manner. This authority includes establishing rules for shareholders who wish to address the virtual meeting. Only shareholders or their valid proxy holders may address the meeting. A copy of these rules will be available at the virtual meeting. The Chairman may also exercise discretion in recognizing shareholders’ comments or questions and in determining the extent of discussion on each item of business. In light of the number of business items on this year’s agenda and the need to conclude the meeting within a reasonable period of time, we cannot ensure that every shareholder who wishes to have a question or comment addressed during the meeting will be able to do so.

Dialogue can also be facilitated with interested parties outside the meeting and, for this purpose, we have provided a method on our website at exxonmobil.com/directors for raising issues and contacting the non-employee directors either in writing or electronically. The Chairman may also rely on applicable law regarding disruptions or disorderly conduct to ensure that the meeting is conducted in a manner that is fair to all shareholders. Shareholders who wish to make comments during the meeting should do so in writing. Shareholders may send their comments prior to the meeting in writing to the Secretary as set forth below.

Contact Information

If you have questions or need more information about the annual meeting, write to Mr. Craig S. Morford, Secretary, Exxon Mobil Corporation, 5959 Las Colinas Boulevard, Irving, TX 75039-2298. Alternatively, call us at 1-972-940-6715 or send a fax to 1-972-940-6748.

For information about shares registered in your name or your Computershare Investment Plan account, call ExxonMobil Shareholder Services at 1-800-252-1800 or 1-781-575-2058 (outside the United States, Canada, and Puerto Rico), or access your account via the website at computershare.com/exxonmobil. We also invite you to visit ExxonMobil’s website, where investor information can be found at exxonmobil.com/investor. Shareholders may submit a comment or question in advance of the annual meeting beginning on May 2, 2022, by following the instructions on the website. Website materials are not part of this proxy solicitation.
BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Item 1 – Election of Directors

Our Board of Directors has general oversight responsibility for ExxonMobil’s affairs pursuant to New Jersey’s General Corporation Law and ExxonMobil’s Restated Certificate of Incorporation and by-laws. In exercising its fiduciary duties, the Board represents and acts on behalf of ExxonMobil’s shareholders and is committed to strong corporate governance, as reflected through its policies and practices. The Board of Directors has nominated the director candidates named on the following pages. All of our nominees currently serve as ExxonMobil directors.

All director nominees have stated they are willing to serve if elected and have consented to be named in this proxy statement. If a nominee becomes unavailable before the election, your proxy authorizes the people named as proxies to vote for a replacement nominee if the Board names one. In any event, the Board size at the time of the meeting will equal the number of nominees nominated by the Board, and there will be no vacancy at the time of the meeting.

The Board unanimously recommends you vote FOR each of the following candidates:

Michael J. Angelakis

Principal occupation: Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer, Atairos Group Inc.

Age 57
Director since 2021
Independent director

Committees: Audit, Finance, Executive

Background:

• **Business leadership** at Atairos Group as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer since 2015. He also serves as Senior Advisor to the Management Committee of Comcast Corporation since 2015. At Comcast, he served as Vice Chairman and Chief Financial Officer from 2011 to 2015, and as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer from 2007 to 2011. He also served as a Managing Director and a member of the Management and Investment Committees of Providence Equity Partners from 1999 to 2007.

• **Academic affiliations:** Babson College (former Trustee), and Harvard Business School Management Program (Owner and President)

Current public company directorships: Bowlero Corporation (December 2021 to present); Clarivate Plc (December 2021 to present); TriNet Group, Inc. (February 2017 to present)

Previous public company directorships in last five years: Duke Energy Corporation (October 2015 to August 2017); Groupon, Inc. (April 2016 to May 2021); Hewlett Packard Enterprise Company (November 2015 to April 2020)

Other board experience: Chairman of The Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia (January 2016 to January 2018) and Deputy Chairman and board member (January 2012 to January 2016)
Susan K. Avery

**Principal occupation:**
President Emerita, Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution

**Age:** 72
**Director since:** 2017
**Independent director**

** Committees:**
Board Affairs, Public Issues and Contributions

**Background:**
- **Achieved prominence in her field** at the Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, a global research organization, as President and Director from 2008 to 2015. In the course of her lengthy and varied experience with matters of climate science, Dr. Avery has been involved with areas of policy, carbon pricing, renewable energy, and adaptation.
- **Academic leadership** at the University of Colorado Boulder as interim dean of the graduate school and vice chancellor for research, interim provost, and executive vice chancellor for academic affairs from 2004 to 2008
- **Government / scientific research experience** as past member of the United Nations Scientific Advisory Board and the National Research Council Global Change Research Program Advisory Committee
- **Scientific and research** advisory committee memberships held or recently held include the American Institute of Physics Board (Treasurer), NASA, NOAA, National Science Foundation, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, National Park System, Independent Advisory Committee on Applied Climate Risk, Center for Southern Hemisphere Ocean Research, and Japan Agency for Marine-Earth Science and Technology
- **Scientific and environmental affiliations:** University Corporation for Atmospheric Research (Chair of Board), Consortium for Ocean Leadership (senior fellow), American Geophysical Union, American Meteorological Society (fellow), American Association for the Advancement of Science (fellow), and IEEE (fellow)

**Current public company directorships:** None

**Previous public company directorships in last five years:** None

---

Angela F. Braly

**Principal occupation:**
Former Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer, WellPoint (now Anthem)

**Age:** 60
**Director since:** 2016
**Independent director**

** Committees:**
Compensation, Public Issues and Contributions

**Background:**
- **Business leadership with operational experience** at WellPoint as Chairman from 2010 to 2012; as President, Chief Executive Officer, and board member from 2007 to 2012; and as Executive Vice President, General Counsel, and Chief Public Affairs Officer from 2005 to 2007. She also served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Blue Cross Blue Shield of Missouri from 2003 to 2005.
- **Business and public policy affiliations:** Blue Cross Blue Shield Association (former Director), Business Council (former member), Business Roundtable (former member), Harvard Advisory Council on Health Care Policy (former member), Indiana Economic Development Corporation (former Director), and The Policy Circle (Co-Founder, Director, and Secretary)

**Current public company directorships:** Brookfield Asset Management Inc. (May 2015 to present); The Procter & Gamble Company (December 2009 to present)

**Previous public company directorships in last five years:** Lowe’s Companies, Inc. (November 2013 to July 2021)

**Other board experience:** Director of WellPoint, Inc. (prior to 2017)
**Ursula M. Burns**

**Principal occupation:**
Former Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer, VEON Ltd.

Age 63
Director since 2012
Independent director

**Committees:**
Audit, Executive, Finance

**Background:**
- **Global business leadership with operational experience** at Xerox as Chairman from 2010 to 2017; as Chief Executive Officer from 2009 to 2016; and as President from 2007 to 2016. She also served as Senior Vice President, Corporate Strategic Services, and Senior Vice President and President, Document Systems and Solutions Group, and Business Group Operations, at Xerox. At VEON Ltd., she was Chairman of the Board until May 2020, and served as Chief Executive Officer from 2018 through February 2020.

- **Government and public policy experience:** Vice Chair and Chair of the President’s Export Council (2010 to 2016), and U.S. Department of Commerce Advisory Committee on Supply Chain Competitiveness (February 2022 to present)

- **Scientific, academic, and non-profit affiliations:** American Academy of Arts and Sciences (member), Columbia Engineering (member, Board of Visitors), Cornell Technology (member, Board of Overseers), FIRST (board member), Ford Foundation (Trustee), Friends of the High Line (board member), Mayo Clinic (Trustee), Massachusetts Institute of Technology Corporation (Trustee), National Academy Foundation (board member), National Academy of Engineering (member), New York City Ballet Inc. (Trustee), Metropolitan Museum of Art (Trustee), and Scratch Foundation (board member)

**Current public company directorships:** Endeavor Group Holdings (July 2021 to present); IHS Holding Ltd. (July 2020 to present); Plum Acquisition Corp I (March 2021 to present); Uber Technologies Inc. (May 2019 to present)

**Previous public company directorships in last five years:** American Express (January 2004 to May 2018); Nestlé S.A. (April 2017 to April 2021); VEON Ltd. (July 2017 to May 2020); Xerox (April 2007 to June 2017)

**Other board experience:** Director of Boston Scientific (prior to 2017)

---

**Gregory J. Goff**

**Principal occupation:**
Former Executive Vice Chairman, Marathon Petroleum Corporation

Age 65
Director since 2021
Independent director

**Committees:**
Board Affairs, Compensation, Executive

**Background:**
- **Global business leadership with operational and commodity business experience** at Marathon Petroleum Corporation as Executive Vice Chairman from 2018 to 2019. At Andeavor, he was President and Chief Executive Officer from 2010 to 2018; and as Chairman from 2014 to 2018. He also held a number of senior leadership positions during his 30-year career at ConocoPhillips in the areas of Exploration and Production, Downstream, and as Senior Vice President of Commercial from 2008 to 2010.

- **Academic affiliations:** National Advisory Board of the University of Utah Business School (board member), and The Goff Strategic Leadership Center at the University of Utah (Founder)

**Current public company directorships:** Avent Corporation (October 2011 to present)

**Previous public company directorships in last five years:** Andeavor (May 2010 to October 2018); Andeavor Logistics LP (April 2011 to October 2018); Enbridge Inc. (February 2020 to June 2021); Marathon Petroleum Corporation (October 2018 to December 2019)

**Other board experience:** Chairman of the American Fuel & Petrochemical Manufacturers (April 2015 to April 2017)
Kaisa H. Hietala

**Principal occupation:**
Former Executive Vice President of Renewable Products, Neste Corporation

Age 51
Director since 2021
Independent director

**Committees:**
Audit, Finance

**Background:**
- **Global business leadership with operational and commodity business experience** as Executive Vice President of Renewable Products and member of the Executive Committee at Neste Corporation from 2014 to 2019. She also held a number of senior positions during her 20-year career at Neste Corporation including VP, Renewable Fuels.
- **Sustainability and academic affiliations:** New Sustainability Oy (partner), Supervisory Board of Oulu University (member), Susformation Oy (Founder), and Sustainability Hub Advisory Board of Aalto University (former member)

**Current public company directorships:** Smurfit Kappa Group Plc (October 2020 to present)

**Previous public company directorships in last five years:** Kemira Oyj (March 2016 to March 2021)

**Other board experience:** Chairman of Tracegrow Oy (May 2019 to present)

Joseph L. Hooley

**Principal occupation:**
Former Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer, State Street Corporation

Age 65
Director since 2020
Independent director

**Committees:**
Audit, Finance

**Background:**
- **Global business leadership with operational experience** at State Street Corporation as Chairman from 2011 to 2019; as Chief Executive Officer from 2010 to 2018; as President and Chief Operating Officer from 2008 to 2014; as Executive Vice President and head of Investor Services Division from 2002 to 2008; and, in 2006, as Vice Chairman and Global Head of Investment Servicing and Investment Research and Trading. He also served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Boston Financial Data Services from 1990 to 2000, and as President and Chief Executive Officer of National Financial Data Services from 1988 to 1990.
- **Charitable affiliations:** Boys & Girls Clubs of Boston (Director)

**Current public company directorships:** Aptiv PLC (January 2020 to present)

**Previous public company directorships in last five years:** State Street Corporation (October 2009 to December 2019)

**Other board experience:** Liberty Mutual Insurance (April 2019 to present)
Steven A. Kandarian

Principal occupation:
Former Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer, MetLife

Age 70
Director since 2018
Independent director

Committees:
Compensation, Public Issues and Contributions

Background:
• **Global business leadership with operational experience** at MetLife, Inc. as Chairman from 2012 to 2019; as President and Chief Executive Officer from 2011 to 2019; and as Executive Vice President and Chief Investment Officer from 2005 to 2011. He also served as Executive Director of the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation from 2001 to 2004.
• **Business and cultural affiliations:** Business Council (member), Business Roundtable (former member), Partnership for New York City (former Director), Institute of International Finance (former Director and Chair, Insurance Regulatory Committee), and the Lincoln Center for the Performing Arts (former Director)
• **Scientific and research affiliations:** Damon Runyon Cancer Research Foundation (Director)

Current public company directorships: Jackson Financial Inc. (February 2021 to present)

Previous public company directorships in last five years: AECOM (March 2019 to February 2021); MetLife (May 2011 to April 2019)

Other board experience: Non-Executive Chairman of Jackson National (February 2021 to present); Director of Neuberger Berman (March 2015 to present)

Alexander A. Karsner

Principal occupation:
Former Managing Partner, Emerson Collective; Senior Strategist, X

Age 55
Director since 2021
Independent director

Committees:
Board Affairs, Public Issues and Contributions

Background:
• **Achieved prominence in his field** as Senior Strategist at X: the moonshot factory (formerly Google X). Managing Partner of Emerson Collective from 2016 to 2019. From 2009 to 2014, he was Senior Advisor to Hudson Private Equity and Vantage Point Venture Capital, and Venture Partner. Founder and Chief Executive Officer of Manifest Energy, Inc. since 2009; and Executive Chairman since 2013. U.S. Assistant Secretary for the Department of Energy from 2006 to 2008.
• **Business, government and public policy experience:** National Petroleum Council (former member), Energy Futures Initiative (member), Trilateral Commission (member), Council on Foreign Relations Working Group (co-chair), and U.S.-India and U.S.-China Track II diplomatic climate bilaterals (delegate)
• **Sustainability, scientific and academic affiliations:** Conservation International (Director), Elemental Labs (Founder; Executive Chairman), National Marine Sanctuary Foundation (Trustee Emeritus), Aspen Institute Clean Energy Forum (Founder), American Academy of Arts & Sciences Accelerating Climate Action Commission (member), Stanford University Precourt Institute for Energy (member, Board of Advisors), Hoover Institution Shultz-Stephenson Energy Task Force (member), Stanford Natural Capital Project (member), Argonne National Laboratory (former Director), Sandia National Laboratory (former advisor), National Renewable Energy Laboratory (former advisor), UCLA Institute of Environment and Sustainability (advisor), and Gas Technology Institute (former Director)

Current public company directorships: Applied Materials, Inc. (September 2008 to present); Broadscale Acquisition Corp. (February 2021 to present)

Other board experience: Codexis, Inc. (prior to 2017)
Jeffrey W. Ubben

**Principal occupation:**
Founder, Portfolio Manager and Managing Partner, Inclusive Capital Partners, L.P.

**Age 60**
Director since 2021
Independent director

**Committees:**
Finance, Public Issues and Contributions

**Background:**
- **Business leadership** at Inclusive Capital Partners, L.P. as Founder, Portfolio Manager and Managing Partner since 2020. He also founded and served as Chief Executive Officer of ValueAct Capital Management, L.P. from 2000 to 2020 and as Chief Investment Officer from 2000 to 2017. He was a Managing Partner of Blum Capital Partners L.P. from 1995 to 2000. He also served in various positions including Portfolio Manager and Research Analyst at Fidelity Investments from 1987 to 1995.
- **Academic and environmental affiliations:** Duke University (Trustee), E.O. Wilson Biodiversity Foundation (Director), and World Wildlife Fund (Director)

**Current public company directorships:**
- Enviva Inc. (June 2020 to present); Fertiglobe Plc (November 2021 to present)

**Previous public company directorships in last five years:**
- The AES Corporation (January 2018 to March 2021); AppHarvest, Inc. (May 2019 to March 2022); Nikola Corporation (September 2019 to February 2022); Twenty-First Century Fox, Inc. (November 2015 to April 2018); Willis Towers Watson plc (January 2016 to November 2017)

**Other board experience:**
- Chairman and Director of Martha Stewart Living Omnimedia, Inc.; Director of Misys Plc; Bausch Health Companies Inc.; Sara Lee Corp. (all prior to 2017)

Darren W. Woods

**Principal occupation:**
Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer, Exxon Mobil Corporation

**Age 57**
Director since 2016

**Committees:**
Finance, Executive

**Background:**
- **Global business leadership** at Exxon Mobil Corporation as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer since 2017; as President since 2016; and as Senior Vice President in 2014 and 2015. He also served as Vice President, and President, ExxonMobil Refining & Supply Company from 2012 to 2014.
- **Operational and commodity business experience** with positions of increasing responsibility in domestic and international business operations at ExxonMobil Refining & Supply Company, ExxonMobil Chemical Company, and Exxon Company International
- **Business affiliations:** Business Roundtable (member), American Petroleum Institute (former Chair), Business Council (member), Center for Strategic and International Studies (Trustee), Oil and Gas Climate Initiative, and National Petroleum Council (Chair)
- **Scientific and environmental experience:** ExxonMobil Chemical Company and ExxonMobil Refining & Supply Company

**Current public company directorships:** None

**Previous public company directorships:** Imperial Oil Ltd. (April 2013 to July 2014)
CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Overview

The Board of Directors and its committees perform a number of functions for ExxonMobil and its shareholders, including:

• Overseeing the management of the Company on your behalf, including oversight of risk management;
• Reviewing ExxonMobil’s long-term strategic plans;
• Exercising direct decision-making authority in key areas, such as declaring dividends;
• Selecting the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and reviewing the CEO’s performance;
• Reviewing development and succession plans for ExxonMobil’s top executives; and
• Gathering insights and sharing perspectives from shareholders during engagements and other communications.

The Board has adopted Corporate Governance Guidelines that govern the structure and functioning of the Board and set out the Board’s position on a number of governance issues. A copy of our current Corporate Governance Guidelines is posted on our website at exxonmobil.com/guidelines.

At least annually, the Board and each of the Board committees conduct an evaluation of their performance and effectiveness. Any potential changes to the committees’ charters are also considered at least once a year.

Risk Oversight

The full Board of Directors provides oversight of key risks to ExxonMobil’s business. The Board throughout the year participates in reviews with management on the Company’s business, including identified risk factors. As a whole, the Board reviews litigation and other legal matters; political contributions, budget, and policy; lobbying costs; developments in climate science and policy; the Outlook for Energy, which projects world energy supply and demand to 2050; the Advancing Climate Solutions report; stewardship of business performance; and long-term strategic plans. The Board receives updates and reviews from both internal ExxonMobil and external experts on issues of importance to the Company.

The Board, including the Public Issues and Contributions Committee, visits an ExxonMobil operations site each year. These visits enable the directors to observe and provide input on safety, operating practices, environmental performance, technology, products, industry and corporate standards, and community engagement.
The Board oversees a broad spectrum of interrelated risks with assistance from its committees. This integrated risk management approach facilitates recognition and oversight of important risk interdependencies.

Audit Committee oversees risks associated with financial and accounting matters, including compliance with legal and regulatory requirements and the Company’s financial reporting and internal control systems. The Audit Committee also periodically reviews cybersecurity risks and preparedness and ExxonMobil’s overall risk management approach and structure.

Board Affairs Committee oversees Board structure and matters of corporate governance, including Board evaluation and director refreshment. It also coordinates identification of external experts to address the Board and sets the criteria for shareholder engagement with directors.

Compensation Committee reviews executive compensation, which is designed to promote accountability to maximize shareholder value over the long term while effectively managing longer term risks, including those related to climate change. The Committee also assesses each element of the compensation program to ensure that these do not create any material adverse risks to the Company and do not encourage executives to take risks that may not be aligned with shareholders’ long-term interests.

Public Issues and Contributions Committee oversees operational risks such as those relating to employee and community safety, health, environmental performance, including actions taken to address climate-related risks, and security matters. The Committee also reviews and provides advice on objectives, policies, and programs related to lobbying activities and political and other contributions.

Finance Committee oversees risks associated with financial instruments, financial policies, practices and strategies, capital structure, and capital allocation.

Each Board committee has the authority to retain outside advisors as it deems appropriate and approve the fees and expenses of such advisors. The Board receives regular updates from the committees and believes this structure is best suited for overseeing risk.
Board Leadership Structure

The Board believes that the decision as to who should serve as Chairman and/or CEO is the proper responsibility of the Board. The Board retains authority to amend the by-laws to separate the positions of Chairman and CEO at any time and regularly considers the pros and cons of such separation or combination. At the present time, the Board believes the interests of all shareholders are best served through a leadership model with a combined Chairman/CEO position and an independent Lead Director selected by and from the independent directors.

The current CEO possesses an in-depth knowledge of the Company; its integrated, multinational operations; the evolving energy industry supply and demand fundamentals; and the array of challenges and opportunities presented by the energy transition. This knowledge was gained through 30 years of successful experience in progressively more senior positions, including domestic and international responsibilities.

The Board believes that these experiences and other insights put the CEO in the best position to provide broad leadership for the Board as it considers strategy and exercises its fiduciary responsibilities to shareholders. Further, the Board has demonstrated its commitment and ability to provide independent oversight of management.

The Board is comprised solely of independent directors other than the CEO, and 100 percent of the Audit, Compensation, Board Affairs, and Public Issues and Contributions Committee members are independent. Each independent director has access to the CEO and other Company executives and employees, and is empowered to call meetings of the independent directors and request agenda topics to be added or addressed in more detail at meetings of the full Board or an appropriate Board committee.

The Board believes the Lead Director provides effective independent Board leadership. Kenneth C. Frazier serves as Lead Director and is expected to remain in the position through the annual meeting of shareholders. The Board has selected Joseph L. Hooley to serve as Lead Director after the annual meeting of shareholders.

### The Lead Director’s authority, under the Corporate Governance Guidelines, includes:

- Calling, chairing, and setting the agenda for executive sessions of the non-employee directors;
- Providing feedback to the Chairman;
- Chairing meetings of the Board in the absence of the Chairman;
- Reviewing and approving the schedule and agenda for all Board meetings and reviewing associated materials distributed to the directors, in consultation with the Chairman;
- Advising the Chairman on the quality, quantity, and timeliness of information flow;
- Reviewing committee meeting schedules;
- Engaging with shareholders, as appropriate; and
- Leading the annual performance evaluation of the Board.

### The Lead Director also serves as Chair of the Board Affairs Committee with authority that includes:

- Establishing the criteria for director engagement with shareholders;
- Providing comments and suggestions to the Board on Board committee structure, operations, member qualification, and member appointment;
- Overseeing independent director succession planning, remuneration, requests for additions to board memberships, and resignations;
- Establishing and maintaining procedures for interested parties to communicate with non-employee directors;
- Considering Board governance practices and procedures, including any changes to governance guidelines; and
- Providing oversight of the performance and effectiveness of the evaluation process for the Board and its committees.

In addition, the Lead Director, working together with the Compensation Committee, oversees the annual evaluation of the CEO, the communication of resulting feedback to the CEO, and the review of CEO succession plans.
Director Qualifications

The Board has adopted guidelines outlining the qualifications sought when considering non-employee director candidates. These Guidelines for the Selection of Non-Employee Directors (Selection Guidelines), which are published on our website at exxonmobil.com/directorguidelines, are reviewed annually and state in part:

“ExxonMobil recognizes the strength and effectiveness of the Board reflects the balance, experience, and diversity of the individual directors; their commitment; and importantly, the ability of directors to work effectively as a group in carrying out their responsibilities. ExxonMobil seeks candidates with diverse backgrounds who possess knowledge and skills in areas of importance to the Corporation.”

The qualifications we consider for director candidates include: individuals who have achieved prominence in their fields; diversity of experiences and backgrounds, including gender and race/ethnic diversity; experience and demonstrated expertise in managing large, relatively complex organizations, such as that of CEOs of a significant company or organization with global responsibilities; financial and other risk management expertise; government, regulatory, or public policy experience; experience on one or more boards of significant public or non-profit organizations; expertise resulting from significant academic, scientific, or research activities; and experience in the energy industry or with cyclical businesses, such as commodities.

Other considerations for director candidates include: a substantial majority of the Board must meet independence standards as described in the Corporate Governance Guidelines; all candidates must be free from any relationship with management or the Corporation that would interfere with the exercise of independent judgment; candidates should be committed to representing the interests of all shareholders and not any particular constituency; and the Board must include members who satisfy legal and stock exchange requirements for certain Board committees.

All directors are expected to adhere to the Company’s policies and procedures, including the Conflict of Interest Policy and Ethics Policy. See the Code of Ethics and Business Conduct section below for additional information.
The Board is comprised of directors with an effective mix of backgrounds, knowledge, and skills that the Board considers relevant and beneficial in fulfilling its oversight role. The chart below provides a summary of the competencies of the current ExxonMobil Board and explains why these are important:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Director Qualifications</th>
<th>Competencies and Relevance to ExxonMobil</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current CEO / Former CEO / Field Prominence</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experience serving as a CEO or other prominent leader provides unique perspectives to help the Board independently oversee ExxonMobil’s CEO and management and increases understanding and appreciation of the many facets of modern international organizations, including strategic planning, financial reporting and compliance, and risk oversight.</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large or Complex Organizations / Global Business / Industrial Operations Experience</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experience leading a large organization or global business provides practical insights on the challenges and opportunities complex businesses encounter in diverse business environments, economic conditions, and cultures; having experience with industrial operations assists in understanding the issues that may face ExxonMobil in its worldwide activities, including maintenance needs, labor relations, and regulatory requirements.</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government / Regulatory / Public Policy Experience</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experience with government and regulatory matters is helpful as the energy industry is heavily regulated in countries around the world and changes in public policy could be impactful to ExxonMobil’s business.</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial Experience</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An understanding of finance and financial reporting is valuable in order to promote effective capital allocation, robust controls, and oversight.</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk Management Experience</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experience in effectively identifying, prioritizing, and managing a broad spectrum of risks can help the Board appreciate, anticipate, and oversee the Company in managing the risks that face its varied businesses.</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experience on one or more boards of significant public or non-profit organizations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Company Board</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An understanding of public company reporting responsibilities and the issues commonly faced by public companies is important to navigating governance issues as applied to ExxonMobil.</td>
<td>92%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scientific / Technical / Research Experience</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ExxonMobil is a leader in research and technology, from finding and producing oil and natural gas to developing new products, mitigating emissions, and protecting the environment. It is helpful for Board members to have these competencies, as science and technology are cornerstones to ExxonMobil’s businesses.</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industry / Commodity / Cyclical Business Experience</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Understanding the unique challenges of a cyclical or commodity business provides helpful insights for assessing Company strategies, challenges, and opportunities.</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Diversity of experiences and backgrounds, including gender and race/ethnicity, is also an important consideration for Board members. The charts below reflect the diversity of the current Board.

**Strong Board Gender and Race/Ethnic Diversity**

![Chart showing overall board diversity, gender diversity, and racial/ethnic diversity.]

**Board Age Diversity**

- 70+ Years Old: 17%
- 50-59 Years Old: 33%
- 60-69 Years Old: 50%

**Director Independence**

The Corporation’s Corporate Governance Guidelines require that a substantial majority of the Board consists of independent directors. In general, the Guidelines require that an independent director must have no material relationship with ExxonMobil, directly or indirectly, except as a director. The Board determines independence on the basis of the standards specified by the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE), the additional standards referenced in our Corporate Governance Guidelines, and other facts and circumstances the Board considers relevant.

Under ExxonMobil’s Corporate Governance Guidelines, a director will not be independent if a reportable “related person transaction” exists with respect to that director or a member of the director’s family for the current or most recently completed fiscal year. See the Guidelines for Review of Related Person Transactions posted on the Corporate Governance section of our website and described in more detail under Related Person Transactions and Procedures below.

The Board has reviewed relevant relationships between ExxonMobil and each non-employee director and director nominee to determine compliance with the NYSE standards and ExxonMobil’s additional standards. The Board has evaluated whether there are any other facts or circumstances that might impair a director’s independence. **Based on that review, the Board has determined that all ExxonMobil non-employee directors are independent.** The Board has also determined that each member of the Audit, Board Affairs, Compensation, and Public Issues and Contributions Committees (see table on page 25) is independent based on both applicable NYSE standards and the Company’s independence standards for each of these committees. The Company’s standards for each committee are included in their respective charters and posted on our website at exxonmobil.com/guidelines.

In recommending that each director and nominee be found independent, the Board Affairs Committee reviewed the following transactions, relationships, or arrangements. All matters described below fall within the NYSE and ExxonMobil independence standards.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Matters Considered</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K.C. Frazier</td>
<td>Ordinary course business with Merck (purchases of pharmaceuticals; sales of chemicals and oils)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Shareholder Engagement in 2021

The Board and management believe ongoing engagement with our shareholders is vitally important and understand the importance of keeping shareholders informed about the business, understanding shareholders’ perspectives, and addressing shareholders’ areas of interest. The Board and management welcome and value input from all shareholders.

Engaged with:
✓ Institutional Investors
✓ Retail Shareholders
✓ Pension Funds
✓ Religious Organizations
✓ Nongovernmental Organizations
✓ Proxy Advisory Firms
✓ ESG Rating Firms
✓ Industry Thought Leaders

Engaged through:
✓ Individual and Group Investor Meetings
✓ Investor Day
✓ Quarterly Earnings Calls
✓ Investor Conferences
✓ Spotlight Events
✓ Annual Shareholder Meeting
✓ Shareholder Webcasts
✓ Stakeholder Outreach

Engagements include:
✓ Non-employee Directors
✓ Chairman / CEO / Management Committee
✓ Senior Management
✓ Subject Matter Experts
✓ Other Employees

Engaged with shareholders representing:
~ 1.7 billion shares
~ 41% of total outstanding shares and
~ 77% of institutional shareholdings

Information shared through:
• SEC Filings
• Press Releases
• Annual Report
• Company Website
• Energy Factor Website

ESG Engagements:
More than doubled since 2016

Director Nomination Process and Board Succession

As noted in the committee information that follows, the Board Affairs Committee is responsible for identifying and evaluating director candidates. The description below sets forth the process through which the Committee identifies potential nominees to the Board and evaluates their qualifications.

Candidate Recommendations

The Board Affairs Committee seeks new candidates in several ways:

- Recommendations made by the non-employee directors. These recommendations are developed based on the directors’ own knowledge and experience in a variety of fields and on the research conducted by ExxonMobil staff at the Committee’s direction.

- Engagement of an executive search firm. The firm brings forward potential director candidates for the Committee to consider and helps research candidates identified by the Committee.

- Recommendations made by employee directors, shareholders, and others.

All recommendations, regardless of the source, are evaluated on the same basis against the criteria contained in the Selection Guidelines. The Committee has also instructed its executive search firm to include diversity as part of the candidate search criteria.

Shareholders may send recommendations for director candidates to the Corporate Secretary at the address given under Contact Information on page 8. A submission recommending a candidate should include:

- Sufficient biographical information to enable the Committee to evaluate the candidate in light of the Selection Guidelines;

- Information concerning any relationship between the candidate and the recommending shareholder; and

- Material indicating the willingness of the candidate to serve if nominated and elected.
The procedures by which shareholders may recommend nominees have not changed materially since last year’s proxy statement.

**Assessment and Nomination**

Once potential nominees are identified, the Board Affairs Committee assesses each candidate’s overall qualifications for nomination to the Board relative to an assessment of the Company’s future direction. In evaluating prospective directors, the Committee considers various factors including:

- ExxonMobil’s Corporate Governance Guidelines;
- The candidate’s skills, expertise, and background as compared to ExxonMobil’s Selection Guidelines;
- ExxonMobil’s strategy, risk profile, and current Board composition;
- Independence, perspectives, objectivity, reasoning, and judgment of the candidate; and
- Board diversity.

ExxonMobil seeks to have a diverse Board representing a range of backgrounds, knowledge, and skills relevant to the Company’s business and the needs of the Board, and as part of the search process, considers highly qualified candidates, including women and minorities. The Committee does not use quotas, but considers diversity along with the other requirements of the Selection Guidelines when evaluating potential new directors. The resulting diversity of experience, skills, gender, and race/ethnicity on the ExxonMobil Board serves as a testament to this robust process.

If the Board Affairs Committee determines to advance a candidate in the nomination process, the Committee puts the candidate forward for consideration by the full Board.

Since our last annual meeting of shareholders, the Committee continued its director succession planning, using the process described above and taking into account, among other factors, shareholders’ interest in board refreshment and specifically in adding directors with oil and natural gas industry, energy and business transition, capital allocation, and finance expertise. Mr. Goff, Ms. Hietala, and Mr. Karsner were recommended by a shareholder and joined the Board during 2021 with the support of shareholders.

**Director Re-Nomination**

The Board Affairs Committee also oversees the re-nomination process. In considering whether to re-nominate a director for re-election at our annual meeting, the Committee reviews each director, considering such factors as:

- Attendance and participation at Board and committee meetings;
- Skills, experience, and personal attributes;
- Continued contribution to the Board’s effectiveness;
- Results from the annual Board and committee self-assessments;
- Shareholder feedback, including the support received at our annual meeting of shareholders; and
- Independence.

**Board Tenure**

The Board does not impose tenure limits on its directors, other than a mandatory retirement age of 72 and the requirement to stand for election annually. Given the complexity and breadth of our business and its long-term investment horizons, the Board considers longevity of service and experience of great value. The Board also believes that its director compensation approach, which limits the vesting of restricted shares until retirement, closely aligns directors with the interests of long-term shareholders.

All ExxonMobil directors stand for election at the annual meeting. Non-employee directors cannot stand for election after they have reached age 72, unless the Board makes an exception on a case-by-case basis.
During 2021 and 2022, the Board assisted by the Board Affairs Committee evaluated an exception to the mandatory retirement age for Dr. Avery. The Committee and Board considered Dr. Avery’s climate change related experience as an atmospheric scientist with significant scientific and research qualifications and skills, her continued leadership as the Chair of the Public Issues and Contributions Committee, her relatively short tenure on the Board of only five years, and the views and perspectives of our shareholders. The Board and Committee evaluated these skills and experiences as valuable in supporting the strategic direction of the Company and its efforts to play a leading role in the energy transition. The Committee and Board also evaluated the importance of continuity of leadership during the significant Board refreshment in 2021. In light of these considerations, in 2022 the Board Affairs Committee recommended, and the Board concurred that the Company would benefit from Dr. Avery’s continued contributions and service as a director and the Board approved Dr. Avery’s re-nomination. Dr. Avery recused herself from all Committee and Board discussions of the exception and abstained from the Board vote on the matter.

Restricted shares received by non-employee directors are subject to forfeiture if the non-employee director leaves the Board early, i.e., before the retirement age of 72. Employee directors resign from the Board when they are no longer employed by ExxonMobil.

As of April 1, 2022, the average tenure of ExxonMobil’s non-employee directors is 4.0 years, well below the average of Standard & Poor’s (S&P) 500 companies of 7.7 years (per 2021 Spencer Stuart Board Index). Fifty percent of current ExxonMobil directors joined the Board since 2020.

### EXXONMOBIL NON-EMPLOYEE DIRECTOR TENURE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tenure</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Less than 3 years</td>
<td>55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-6 years</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-10 years</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11+ years</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Insights into the Boardroom**

The Board added five new independent directors in 2021. These new directors add to the Board’s existing skillsets and expertise by providing additional experience in energy, business transition, and capital allocation. Each new director participated in comprehensive onboarding sessions designed to accelerate the learning curve and cover a wide range of topics, including the Company’s history, culture, practices, risk framework, legal and regulatory requirements, and ethics and other policies.

ExxonMobil aims to have a diverse Board representing a range of backgrounds, knowledge, and skills relevant to the Company’s business and the Board’s needs. As part of the refreshment process, highly qualified candidates, including women and minorities, are considered. Four of the last ten directors added to the Board have been female and/or racially/ethnically diverse.

The ExxonMobil Board is actively engaged and committed to overseeing the Company’s efforts to grow long-term shareholder value while playing a leading role in the energy transition. The Board provides oversight for the Company’s key risks and opportunities and regularly reviews a variety of issues important to ExxonMobil through a process that involves briefings with subject matter experts from inside and outside the Company. Topics include climate change, research and development efforts, operating strategies, business and corporate planning, technology, current events, shareholder engagements, and Company performance.

This past year, the Board met on nearly a monthly basis. The Board provided oversight and guidance on strategy development and key activities, including the 2022 to 2027 corporate plan and associated capital plan. This plan supports investments in competitively advantaged, low-cost-of-supply opportunities; growing high value products and fuels, lubricants, and chemicals; and a significant increase in investments in lower-emission initiatives to $15 billion through 2027. Among these initiatives are ongoing efforts to reduce Scope 1 and Scope 2 greenhouse gas emissions from the Company’s operations and growing the Company’s Low Carbon Solutions business.
The Low Carbon Solutions business is focused on carbon capture and storage, hydrogen, and lower-emission fuels, which will be critical to reducing emissions in hard-to-abate sectors such as heavy industry and commercial transportation. ExxonMobil has extensive experience and competitive advantages in these technologies that will enable the Company to spur consideration of supportive government policy and play a leadership role in the markets that develop to meet demand for these solutions. Under the Board’s oversight, the Company also announced new Scope 1 and Scope 2 emission reduction plans through 2030 for Company operated assets relative to 2016 levels, including plans to achieve net-zero emissions in the Permian Basin by 2030. Further, the Company announced its ambition to achieve net-zero emissions for Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions by 2050 for Company operated assets. More details on these actions can be found in the Advancing Climate Solutions report, which is available on the Company’s website.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>20-30%</th>
<th>40-50%</th>
<th>70-80%</th>
<th>60-70%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reduction in corporate-wide GHG intensity by 2030</td>
<td>Reduction in Upstream GHG intensity by 2030</td>
<td>Reduction in corporate-wide methane intensity by 2030</td>
<td>Reduction in corporate-wide flaring intensity by 2030</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To enhance Board governance, ExxonMobil’s independent Lead Director’s broad authorities were enhanced in 2020 and include leading the annual performance evaluation of the Board and its committees. The Lead Director also works with the Compensation Committee to oversee the annual evaluation of the CEO.

Beyond evaluation of the CEO, the Board regularly reviews ExxonMobil’s talent strategy, succession planning and training, and other development programs. This talent strategy encompasses all elements of human capital management, including attracting, retaining, and developing a productive, capable, and diverse workforce that is reflective of the global community where ExxonMobil operates. Diversity and inclusion are critical to the success of ExxonMobil’s talent strategy, and the Board stewards the Company’s performance against this objective.

The Board Affairs Committee has established and oversees procedures for shareholders and other interested persons to send written or electronic communications to individual directors, including the Lead Director, Board committees, or the non-employee directors as a group.

- **Written Communications:** Written correspondence should be addressed to the director or directors at the address given under Contact Information on page 8.

- **Electronic Communications:** You may send a message to individual non-employee directors, Board committees, or the non-employee directors as a group by using the form provided for that purpose on our website at exxonmobil.com/directors.

All communications are recorded by an ExxonMobil Assistant Secretary or designated staff member and forwarded to the appropriate director or directors or otherwise handled as the Committee has directed.

**Code of Ethics and Business Conduct**

The Board maintains policies and procedures (referred to in this proxy statement as the Code) that represent both the code of ethics for the principal executive officer, principal financial officer, and principal accounting officer under SEC rules, and the code of business conduct and ethics for directors, officers, and employees under NYSE listing standards. The Code applies to all directors, officers, and employees and is at the core of the Company’s foundational policies. The Code includes a Conflicts of Interest Policy under which directors, officers, and employees are expected to avoid any actual or apparent conflict between their own personal interests and the interests of the Corporation. The Code also includes an Ethics Policy under which directors, officers, and employees are expected to observe the highest ethical standards of integrity in the conduct of the Company’s business. Each year, directors, officers, and employees are required to certify that they have read the Code and remain in compliance with its requirements. The
Code is posted on the ExxonMobil website at exxonmobil.com/code. The Code is also included as an exhibit to our Annual Report on Form 10-K. Any amendment of the Code will be posted promptly on ExxonMobil’s website.

The Corporation maintains procedures for administering and reviewing potential issues under the Code, including procedures that allow employees to make complaints without identifying themselves unless otherwise required by law. The Corporation also conducts periodic mandatory business practice training sessions.

The Board Affairs Committee will initially review any suspected violation of the Code involving an executive officer or director and will report its findings to the Board. The Board does not envision that any waiver of the Code will be granted. Should such a waiver occur, it will be promptly disclosed on ExxonMobil’s website.

Board Meetings and Annual Meeting Attendance

The Board met 13 times in 2021. ExxonMobil’s incumbent directors, on average, attended approximately 97 percent of Board and committee meetings during 2021. No director attended fewer than 75 percent of such meetings. ExxonMobil’s non-employee directors held 10 executive sessions in 2021, chaired by the independent Lead Director. As specified in our Corporate Governance Guidelines, it is ExxonMobil’s policy that directors should make every effort to attend the annual meeting of shareholders. All directors on May 26, 2021 attended the 2021 annual meeting of shareholders.

Board Committees

The Board appoints committees to help carry out its duties. Board committees work on key issues in greater detail than would be possible at full Board meetings. Only non-employee directors may serve on the Audit, Compensation, Board Affairs, and Public Issues and Contributions Committees. Each committee has a written charter. The charters are posted on the Corporate Governance section of our website at exxonmobil.com/governance.

The tables below show the current membership of each Board committee and the number of meetings each committee held in 2021.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Director</th>
<th>Audit</th>
<th>Compensation</th>
<th>Board Affairs</th>
<th>Finance</th>
<th>Public Issues and Contributions</th>
<th>Executive(1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M.J. Angelakis</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.K. Avery</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.F. Braly</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.M. Burns</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K.C. Frazier</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G.J. Goff</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K.H. Hietala</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J.L. Hooley</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.A. Kandarian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.A. Karsner</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J.W. Ubben</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D.W. Woods</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C = Chair ✓ = Member (1) Other directors serve as alternate members on a rotational basis
Meetings in 2021:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Board Meetings:</th>
<th>13</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Audit:</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compensation:</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board Affairs:</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finance:</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Issues and Contributions:</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executive:</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Board Affairs Committee**

The Board Affairs Committee, chaired by the independent Lead Director, serves as ExxonMobil’s nominating and corporate governance committee. Its responsibilities include:

- Recommendations on director candidates and reviewing requests for participation on other boards;
- Maintaining procedures for director engagement with shareholders;
- Providing comments and suggestions to the Board on committee structure and committee assignments;
- Reviewing corporate governance practices, including the Corporate Governance Guidelines;
- Reviewing any issue involving an executive officer or director under the Code; and
- Administering ExxonMobil’s Related Person Transaction Guidelines.

The Committee also administers provisions of the Corporate Governance Guidelines that require a director to tender a resignation when there is a substantial change in the director’s circumstances. The Committee reviews the relevant facts to determine whether the director’s continued service would be appropriate and makes a recommendation to the Board.

Another responsibility of the Committee is to review and make recommendations to the Board regarding the compensation of the non-employee directors. The Committee uses an independent consultant, Pearl Meyer & Partners, LLC (Pearl Meyer), to provide information on current developments and practices in director compensation. Pearl Meyer is the same consultant retained by the Compensation Committee to advise on executive compensation, but performs no other work for ExxonMobil.

The Guidelines for the Selection of Non-Employee Directors describe the qualifications the Committee looks for in director candidates. These Selection Guidelines, as well as the Committee’s charter, are posted on the Corporate Governance section of our website.

**Audit Committee**

The Audit Committee oversees accounting and internal control matters. Its responsibilities include oversight of:

- Management’s conduct of the Corporation’s financial reporting process;
- The integrity of the financial statements and other financial information provided by the Corporation to the SEC and the public;
- The Corporation’s system of internal accounting and financial controls;
- The Corporation’s compliance with legal and regulatory requirements;
- The performance of the Corporation’s internal audit function;
- The independent auditors’ qualifications, performance, and independence; and
- The annual independent audit of the Corporation’s financial statements.
The Committee has direct authority and responsibility to appoint (subject to shareholder ratification), compensate, retain, and oversee the independent auditors.

The Committee also prepares the report that SEC rules require be included in the Corporation’s annual proxy statement. The report begins on page 33.

The Audit Committee has adopted specific policies and procedures for pre-approving fees paid to the independent auditors. Under the Audit Committee’s approach, an annual program of work is approved each October for the following categories of services: Audit, Audit-Related, and Tax. Additional engagements may be brought forward from time to time for pre-approval by the Audit Committee. Pre-approvals apply to engagements within a category of service, and cannot be transferred between categories. If fees might otherwise exceed pre-approved amounts for any category of permissible services, the incremental amounts must be reviewed and pre-approved prior to commitment. The complete text of the Audit Committee’s pre-approval policies and procedures, as well as the Committee’s charter, is posted on the Corporate Governance section of ExxonMobil’s website.

The Board has determined that all members of the Committee are financially literate within the meaning of the NYSE standards, and a majority are “audit committee financial experts” as defined in the SEC rules, including Ms. Burns as the Audit Committee Chair.

Compensation Committee

The Compensation Committee is comprised exclusively of non-employee, independent directors, and oversees compensation for ExxonMobil’s senior executives (including salary, bonus, and performance share awards), as well as succession planning for key executive positions. The Committee’s charter is available on the Corporate Governance section of our website.

The Committee took the following actions:

- Reviewed and approved the corporate goals and objectives;
- Reviewed the Corporation’s business results and progress toward strategic objectives during the year with ExxonMobil’s CEO and other senior executives;
- Reviewed the individual performance and contributions of the CEO and other senior executives;
- Discussed the Company’s executive compensation program design with its independent consultant;
- Considered the results of the 2021 advisory vote on executive compensation;
- Deliberated pay decisions based on an assessment of progress toward strategic objectives, business results, individual performance, and the results of annual benchmarking, taking into account experience in position;
- Established the aggregate annual ceiling for the 2021 long-term incentive award program and bonus program;
- Assessed each element of the Company’s compensation program and practices, and confirmed that they do not create any material adverse risks for the Company. The key design features of the compensation program that discourage executives from taking inappropriate risk are described in detail in this proxy statement (see pages 39, 56, and 57); and
- Reviewed progress on executive development and succession planning for senior-level positions with input from the CEO.

The Committee does not delegate its responsibilities with respect to ExxonMobil’s executive officers and other senior executives. For other employees, the Committee delegates authority to determine individual salaries and incentive awards to a committee consisting of the Chairman and Management Committee. That committee’s actions are subject to a salary budget and aggregate annual ceilings on incentive awards established by the Compensation Committee.

For more information on the compensation decisions made by the Committee for 2021, refer to the Compensation Discussion and Analysis beginning on page 37.
The Compensation Committee’s report is available on page 36.

The Compensation Committee utilizes the expertise of an external independent consultant, Pearl Meyer. At the direction of the Committee, Pearl Meyer:

- Attends Committee meetings;
- Informs the Committee regarding general trends in executive compensation across industries;
- Prepares the analysis of comparator company compensation used by the Committee; and
- Participates in the Committee’s deliberations regarding compensation for Named Executive Officers.

In addition, at the direction of the Chair of the Board Affairs Committee, Pearl Meyer provides an annual survey of non-employee director compensation for use by that Committee.

The Compensation Committee is solely and directly responsible for the appointment, compensation, and oversight of the consultant. The Committee considers factors that could affect Pearl Meyer’s independence, including that the consultant provides no services for ExxonMobil other than its engagement by the Committee and the Board Affairs Committee as described above. Based on this review, the Committee has determined the consultant’s work for the Committee to be free from conflicts of interest.

Finance Committee

The Finance Committee reviews ExxonMobil’s financial policies, practices, and strategies, including our capital structure / capital allocation, and share purchase program. The Committee authorizes the issuance of corporate debt subject to limits set by the Board. The Committee’s charter is available on the Corporate Governance section of our website.

Public Issues and Contributions Committee

The Public Issues and Contributions Committee reviews the effectiveness of the Corporation’s policies, programs, and practices with respect to safety, security, health, the environment, including climate-related matters, and social issues. The Committee hears reports from operating units on safety and environmental activities, and also visits operating sites to observe and comment on current operating practices. In addition, the Committee reviews the level of ExxonMobil’s support for education and other public service programs, including the Company’s contributions to the ExxonMobil Foundation. The Foundation and Corporation engage in a range of philanthropic activities that advance education, with a focus on math and science in the United States; promote women as catalysts for economic development; combat malaria; and support other cultural and public service initiatives. The Committee’s charter is available on the Corporate Governance section of our website.

Executive Committee

The Executive Committee has broad power to act on behalf of the Board. In practice, the Committee meets only when it is impractical to call a meeting of the full Board.

**DIRECTOR COMPENSATION**

Director compensation elements are designed to:

- Ensure alignment with long-term shareholder interests;
- Ensure the Company can attract and retain outstanding director candidates who meet the selection criteria outlined in the Guidelines for the Selection of Non-Employee Directors, which can be found on the Corporate Governance section of our website;
- Recognize the substantial time commitment necessary to oversee the affairs of the Corporation; and
- Support the independence of thought and action expected of directors.
Non-employee director compensation levels are reviewed by the Board Affairs Committee each year, and resulting recommendations are presented to the full Board for approval. The Committee uses an independent consultant, Pearl Meyer, to provide information on current developments and practices in director compensation. Pearl Meyer is the same consultant retained by the Compensation Committee to advise on executive compensation, but performs no other work for ExxonMobil.

ExxonMobil employees receive no additional pay for serving as directors.

Non-employee directors receive compensation consisting of cash and equity in the form of restricted stock. Non-employee directors are also reimbursed for reasonable expenses incurred to attend Board meetings or other functions relating to their responsibilities as a director of Exxon Mobil Corporation.

The annual cash retainer for non-employee directors is $110,000 per year. The Chairs of the Audit and Compensation Committees receive an additional $10,000 per year. The Lead Director receives an additional $50,000 per year.

A significant portion of director compensation is granted in the form of restricted stock to align director interests with the interests of our long-term shareholders. The annual restricted stock award grant for incumbent non-employee directors is 2,500 shares. A new non-employee director receives a one-time grant of 8,000 shares of restricted stock upon first being elected to the Board.

While on the Board, the non-employee director receives the same cash dividends on restricted shares as a holder of regular common stock but the shares remain unvested and, thus, cannot be sold or pledged. The restricted shares are subject to forfeiture if the non-employee director leaves the Board early, i.e., before the retirement age of 72, as specified for non-employee directors.

Current and former non-employee directors of Exxon Mobil Corporation are eligible to participate in the ExxonMobil Foundation’s Educational and Cultural Matching Gift Programs under the same terms as the Corporation’s U.S. employees.

### Non-Employee Director Compensation for 2021

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Fees Earned or Paid in Cash ($)</th>
<th>Stock Awards ($)</th>
<th>Option Awards ($)</th>
<th>Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation ($)</th>
<th>Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings ($)</th>
<th>Other Compensation ($)</th>
<th>Total ($)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M.J. Angelakis</td>
<td>91,972</td>
<td>455,020</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>547,185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.K. Avery</td>
<td>110,000</td>
<td>104,063</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>214,295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.F. Braly</td>
<td>114,725</td>
<td>104,063</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>219,020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.M. Burns</td>
<td>120,000</td>
<td>104,063</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>224,295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K.C. Frazier</td>
<td>160,000</td>
<td>104,063</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>264,295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G.J. Goff</td>
<td>58,022</td>
<td>494,400</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>552,538</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K.H. Hietala</td>
<td>58,022</td>
<td>494,400</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>552,538</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J.L. Hooley</td>
<td>110,000</td>
<td>104,063</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>214,295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.A. Kandarian</td>
<td>110,000</td>
<td>104,063</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>214,295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.A. Karsner</td>
<td>58,022</td>
<td>494,400</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>552,538</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D.R. Oberhelman(a)</td>
<td>52,280</td>
<td>104,063</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>156,459</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.J. Palmisano(a)</td>
<td>57,033</td>
<td>104,063</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>161,212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J.W. Ubben</td>
<td>91,972</td>
<td>455,020</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>547,185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W.C. Weldon(b)</td>
<td>44,423</td>
<td>104,063</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>148,582</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W. Zulkiflee(a)</td>
<td>44,336</td>
<td>358,880</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>403,313</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) Mr. Oberhelman, Mr. Palmisano, and Mr. Zulkiflee left the Board on June 21, 2021.
(b) Mr. Weldon retired from the Board on May 26, 2021.

(c) In accordance with SEC rules, the valuation of stock awards in this table represents fair value on the date of grant. Dividends on stock awards are not shown in the table because those amounts are factored into the grant date fair value.

Each director in office at that time received an annual grant of 2,500 restricted shares in January 2021. The valuation of these awards is based on a market price of $41.63 on the date of grant. Mr. Zulkiflee received a one-time grant of 8,000 restricted shares in February 2021 upon joining the Board. The valuation of this grant is based on a market price of $44.86 on the date of grant. Mr. Angelakis and Mr. Ubben each received a one-time grant of 8,000 restricted shares in March 2021 upon joining the Board. The valuation of this grant is based on a market price of $56.88 on the date of grant. Mr. Goff, Ms. Hietala, and Mr. Karsner each received a one-time grant of 8,000 restricted shares in June 2021 upon joining the Board. The valuation of this grant is based on a market price of $61.80 on the date of grant.

At year-end 2021, the aggregate number of restricted shares held by each director was as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Restricted Shares (#)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M.J. Angelakis</td>
<td>8,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.K. Avery</td>
<td>18,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.F. Braly</td>
<td>20,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.M. Burns</td>
<td>30,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K.C. Frazier</td>
<td>38,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G.J. Goff</td>
<td>8,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K.H. Hietala</td>
<td>8,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J.L. Hooley</td>
<td>10,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.A. Kandarian</td>
<td>15,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.A. Karsner</td>
<td>8,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J.W. Ubben</td>
<td>8,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(d) The amount shown for each director is the cost of travel accident insurance covering death, dismemberment, or loss of sight, speech, or hearing under a policy purchased by the Corporation with a maximum benefit of $500,000 per individual.

The non-employee directors do not receive any additional payments or benefits as a result of leaving the Board or death except as described above. The non-employee directors are not entitled to any payments or benefits resulting from a change in control of the Corporation.

**CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS**

Based on our review of ownership reports filed with the SEC, the firms listed below are the only beneficial owners of more than 5 percent of ExxonMobil’s outstanding common stock as of December 31, 2021.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name and Address of Beneficial Owner</th>
<th>Aggregate Beneficial Ownership in Shares(1)</th>
<th>Percent of Outstanding Shares(1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Vanguard Group(2)</td>
<td>355,607,673</td>
<td>8.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 Vanguard Blvd. Malvern, PA 19355</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BlackRock, Inc.(3)</td>
<td>262,837,867</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55 East 52nd Street New York, NY 10055</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Street Corporation(4)</td>
<td>253,266,613</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One Lincoln Street Boston, MA 02111</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) The Company is permitted to rely on the information set forth in these filings and has no reason to believe that the information is incomplete or inaccurate or that the beneficial owner should have filed an amended report and did not.
(2) Based solely on a Schedule 13G/A filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 9, 2022, The Vanguard Group reported it has shared voting power with respect to 6,513,893 shares, sole dispositive power with respect to 338,672,876 shares, and shared dispositive power with respect to 16,934,797 shares as of December 31, 2021.

(3) Based solely on a Schedule 13G/A filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 11, 2022, BlackRock, Inc. reported that it has sole voting power with respect to 239,245,954 shares, and sole dispositive power with respect to 262,837,867 shares as of December 31, 2021.

(4) Based solely on a Schedule 13G/A filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 11, 2022, State Street Corporation reported that it has shared voting power with respect to 241,191,841 shares and shared dispositive power with respect to 253,211,345 shares as of December 31, 2021.

**DIRECTOR AND EXECUTIVE OFFICER STOCK OWNERSHIP**

These tables show the number of ExxonMobil common shares each executive named in the Summary Compensation Table on page 60 and each non-employee director or director nominee owned on February 28, 2022. In these tables, ownership means the right to direct the voting or the sale of shares, even if those rights are shared with someone else. None of these individuals owns more than 0.02 percent of the outstanding shares.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Named Executive Officer</th>
<th>Shares Owned(1)</th>
<th>Shares Covered by Exercisable Options</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D.W. Woods</td>
<td>169,496(2)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K.A. Mikells</td>
<td>10,050(3)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.P. Swiger</td>
<td>590,998(4)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N.A. Chapman</td>
<td>136,842(5)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J.P. Williams, Jr.</td>
<td>137,241</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L.M. Mallon</td>
<td>84,917(6)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Does not include unvested restricted stock units, which do not carry voting rights prior to the issuance of shares on settlement of the awards.
(2) Includes 757 shares held by spouse.
(3) Includes 8,350 shares owned together with spouse through family trusts and related entities.
(4) Ownership shown as of retirement on August 31, 2021.
(5) Includes 48,830 shares jointly owned with spouse.
(6) Includes 7,472 shares jointly owned with spouse.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Non-Employee Director</th>
<th>Shares Owned</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M.J. Angelakis</td>
<td>51,292(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.K. Avery</td>
<td>20,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.F. Braly</td>
<td>25,075(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.M. Burns</td>
<td>33,206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K.C. Frazier</td>
<td>40,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G.J. Goff</td>
<td>20,962(3)(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K.H. Hietala</td>
<td>10,500(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J.L. Hooley</td>
<td>13,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.A. Kandarian</td>
<td>18,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.A. Karsner</td>
<td>27,500(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J.W. Ubben</td>
<td>187,500(5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Includes 30,000 shares jointly owned with spouse. Also includes 10,792 shares in a charitable family trust for which Mr. Angelakis serves as co-trustee.
(2) Includes 1,175 shares owned by spouse and 900 shares held in trusts for family members for which Ms. Braly serves as co-trustee.
(3) Mr. Goff, Ms. Hietala, and Mr. Karsner joined the Board on June 21, 2021, and each received a one-time grant of 8,000 shares on that date.
(4) Includes 10,041 shares jointly owned with spouse. Also includes 421 shares held in trusts for family members for which Mr. Goff serves as co-trustee.
Includes shares held by certain funds managed by Inclusive Capital Partners, L.P. Mr. Ubben is Founder, Portfolio Manager, and Managing Partner of Inclusive Capital Partners, L.P. Mr. Ubben disclaims beneficial ownership of the reported securities except to the extent of his pecuniary interest therein.

On February 28, 2022, ExxonMobil’s incumbent directors and executive officers (25 people) together owned 1,386,602 shares of ExxonMobil stock and zero shares covered by exercisable options, representing less than 0.04 percent of the outstanding shares.

**Related Person Transactions and Procedures**

In accordance with SEC rules, ExxonMobil maintains Guidelines for Review of Related Person Transactions (Related Person Transaction Guidelines). These guidelines are available on the Corporate Governance section of our website.

All executive officers, directors, and director nominees are required to identify, to the best of their knowledge after reasonable inquiry, business and financial affiliations involving themselves or their immediate family members that could reasonably be expected to give rise to a reportable related person transaction. Covered persons must also advise the Secretary of the Corporation promptly of any change in the information provided, and will be asked periodically to review and reaffirm their information.

Based on this information, the Company’s own records are reviewed and follow-up inquiries are made as may be necessary to identify potentially reportable transactions. A report summarizing such transactions is then provided to the Board Affairs Committee. The Committee oversees the Related Person Transaction Guidelines generally and reviews specific items to assess materiality. A director will abstain from the decision on any transactions involving that director or his or her immediate family members.

Under SEC rules, certain transactions are deemed not to involve a material interest (including interests solely as a non-employee director). In addition, based on a consideration of ExxonMobil’s facts and circumstances, the Committee will presume that the following transactions do not involve a material interest:

- Transactions in the ordinary course of business with an entity for which a related person serves as an executive officer, provided: (1) the affected person did not participate in the decision on the part of ExxonMobil to enter into such transactions; and (2) the amount involved in any related transactions in a year is less than 1 percent of the entity’s gross revenues;
- Grants or membership payments in the ordinary course of business to nonprofit organizations, provided: (1) the affected person did not participate in the decision on the part of ExxonMobil to make such payments; and (2) the amount of grants in a year is less than 1 percent of the recipient’s gross revenues;
- Payments under ExxonMobil plans and arrangements that are available generally to U.S. salaried employees; and
- Employment by ExxonMobil of a family member of an executive officer, provided the executive officer does not participate in decisions regarding the hiring, performance evaluation, or compensation of the family member.

Transactions or relationships not covered by the above standards will be assessed by the Board Affairs Committee on the basis of the specific facts and circumstances.

Unless otherwise noted, the following disclosures are made as of February 22, 2022, which is the date of the most recent Board Affairs Committee review of potential related person transactions, except that the affiliate names used below reflect subsequent changes that took effect April 1, 2022.

ExxonMobil and its affiliates have more than 63,000 employees around the world, and employees related by birth or marriage may be found at all levels of the organization. ExxonMobil employees do not receive preferential treatment by reason of being related to an executive officer, and executive officers do not participate in hiring, performance evaluation, or compensation decisions for family members.

Several current ExxonMobil executive officers and retirees who served as executive officers in 2021 have family members who are employed by the Corporation or its affiliates and whose current annualized compensation (including benefits) exceeds the SEC disclosure threshold of $120,000: L.D. DuCharme (President – ExxonMobil Technology and Engineering Company) has a spouse employed by ExxonMobil Upstream Company; L.M. Mallon (President – ExxonMobil Upstream Company) has a son employed by ExxonMobil Upstream Company; K.T. McKee
(President – ExxonMobil Product Solutions Company) has a spouse employed by ExxonMobil Product Solutions
Company, and T.J. Wojnar, Jr. (retired former Vice President – Corporate Strategic Planning) has a son-in-law
formerly employed by ExxonMobil Product Solutions Company. Each employee mentioned above received total cash
compensation in 2021 between $120,000 and $605,000. Pay earned was comparable to that of employees in similar
positions. Employees are eligible to participate in benefit programs on the same basis as other eligible employees.
Consistent with ExxonMobil’s guidelines described above, these relationships are not considered to be material within
the related person transaction rules.

The Board Affairs Committee also reviewed ExxonMobil’s ordinary course business with companies for which
non-employee directors or their immediate family members serve as executive officers. The Committee determined
that, in accordance with the categorical standards described above, none of those matters represent reportable
related person transactions. See Director Independence on page 20.

The Committee also determined that no related person transactions occurred during the year involving any of the
investors who have reported ownership of more than 5 percent of ExxonMobil’s outstanding common stock. See
Certain Beneficial Owners on page 30.

ExxonMobil is not aware of any related person transactions required to be reported under applicable SEC rules since
the beginning of the last fiscal year where our policies and procedures did not require review, or where such policies
and procedures were not followed.

AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT

The primary function of the Audit Committee is oversight of the Corporation’s financial reporting process, public
financial reports, internal accounting and financial controls, and the independent audit of the annual consolidated
financial statements. The Committee acts under a charter which can be found on the ExxonMobil website at
exxonmobil.com/auditcommitteecharter. The adequacy of the charter is reviewed at least annually. All members of
the Audit Committee are independent directors, and the Committee met 10 times in 2021. In these meetings, as
discussed in more detail below, it had extensive reports and discussions with the independent auditors, internal
auditors, and members of management.

In performing its oversight function, the Committee reviewed and discussed the consolidated financial statements
with management and PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP (PwC), the independent auditors. Management and PwC
indicated that the Corporation’s consolidated financial statements were fairly stated in accordance with generally
accepted accounting principles. The Committee discussed significant accounting policies applied by the Corporation
in its financial statements, as well as alternative treatments. It also discussed with PwC matters covered by Public
Company Accounting Oversight Board (PCAOB) standards and the Commission, including PCAOB AS 1301
Communication with Audit Committees. In addition, the Committee reviewed and discussed management’s report on
internal control over financial reporting and the related audits performed by PwC, which confirmed the effectiveness
of the Corporation’s internal control over financial reporting.

The Audit Committee also reviewed the written disclosure and the letter from PwC required by the PCAOB rules
regarding PwC’s communications with the Audit Committee concerning independence, and has discussed with PwC
its independence from the Corporation and management. The Committee considered the non-audit services provided
by PwC to the Corporation, and concluded that the auditors’ independence has been maintained.

The Committee discussed with the Corporation’s internal auditors and PwC the overall scope and plans for their
respective audits; furthermore, it met with the internal auditors and PwC at each meeting, both with and without
management present. Discussions included the results of their examinations, their evaluations of the Corporation’s
internal controls, and the overall quality of the Corporation’s financial reporting.

The Audit Committee met with the Corporation’s management to discuss the comprehensive, long-standing risk
management and compliance processes of the Corporation, and reviewed several topics of interest.
Based on the reviews and discussions referred to above, in reliance on management and PwC, and subject to the limitations of its role described below, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board, and the Board approved, the inclusion of the audited financial statements in the Corporation’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2021, for filing with the SEC.

In carrying out its responsibilities, the Audit Committee looks to management and the independent auditors. Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of the Corporation’s financial statements and for maintaining effective internal control. Management is also responsible for assessing and maintaining the effectiveness of internal control over the financial reporting process in compliance with Sarbanes-Oxley Section 404 requirements. The independent auditors are responsible for auditing the Corporation’s annual financial statements, and expressing an opinion as to whether the statements are fairly stated in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. In addition, the independent auditors are responsible for auditing the Corporation’s internal control over financial reporting and for expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. The independent auditors perform their responsibilities in accordance with the standards of the PCAOB. Audit Committee members are not professionally engaged in the practice of accounting or auditing, and are not experts under the Securities Act of 1933 in either of those fields or in auditor independence.

The Audit Committee has also appointed PwC to audit the Corporation’s financial statements for 2022, subject to shareholder ratification of that appointment. The Committee, along with the other members of the Board, management, the Controller, and the General Auditor, annually evaluates PwC’s qualifications, performance and independence, including the performance of the lead audit partner, in deciding whether or not to retain PwC. That evaluation includes consideration of:

- PwC’s quality control, including any material issues identified by that quality control or a governmental/professional authority along with PwC’s plan to deal with any such issues;
- All relationships between PwC and ExxonMobil covered by the PCAOB;
- PwC’s expertise in the global oil and gas industry; and
- The quality of PwC’s audit plans.

The Committee believes that PwC’s tenure as ExxonMobil’s independent registered public accounting firm is a benefit to audit quality given PwC’s experience with ExxonMobil and knowledge of the business, as well as the effectiveness of their audit plans, which build on that established knowledge.

Based on its annual evaluation of PwC’s qualifications, performance, and independence, as well as frequent private meetings with the lead partner, the Audit Committee believes that the continued retention of PwC as ExxonMobil’s independent registered public accounting firm is in the best interest of the Corporation and its stockholders.

Ursula M. Burns, Chair
Michael J. Angelakis

Kaisa H. Hietala
Joseph L. Hooley
Item 2 – Ratification of Independent Auditors

The Audit Committee has appointed PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP to audit ExxonMobil’s financial statements for 2022. We are asking you to ratify that appointment.

Total Fees

The total fees for PwC professional services rendered to ExxonMobil for the year ended December 31, 2021, were $40.9 million, a decrease of $0.9 million from 2020. The Audit Committee reviewed and pre-approved all services in accordance with the service pre-approval policies and procedures, which can be found on the ExxonMobil website at exxonmobil.com/pre-approval. The Audit Committee did not use the “de minimis” exception to pre-approval that is available under SEC rules. The following table summarizes the fees, which are described in more detail below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2021 (millions of dollars)</th>
<th>2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Audit Fees</td>
<td>34.1</td>
<td>35.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audit-Related Fees</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax Fees</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Other Fees</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>40.9</td>
<td>41.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Audit Fees

The aggregate fees for PwC professional services rendered for the annual audits of ExxonMobil’s financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2021, and for the reviews of the financial statements included in our quarterly reports on Form 10-Q for that year were $34.1 million (versus $35.9 million for 2020).

Audit-Related Fees

The aggregate fees for PwC Audit-Related services rendered to ExxonMobil for the year ended December 31, 2021, were $5.8 million (versus $4.7 million for 2020). Audit-related services were mainly related to benefit plan audits and other attestation procedures.

Tax Fees

The aggregate fees for PwC Tax services rendered to ExxonMobil for the year ended December 31, 2021, were $1.0 million (versus $1.2 million for 2020). These services were mainly related to assisting various ExxonMobil affiliates with the preparation of local tax filings and related services.

All Other Fees

The aggregate fees for PwC services rendered to ExxonMobil, other than the services described above under “Audit Fees,” “Audit-Related Fees,” and “Tax Fees,” for the year ended December 31, 2021, were zero (also zero for 2020).

We believe PwC is well qualified to perform this work. A PwC representative will be at the annual meeting to answer appropriate questions and to make a statement if desired.

The Audit Committee recommends you vote FOR this proposal.
COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT

The Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors has reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis with management of the Corporation. Based on that review and discussion, we recommended to the Board that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in the Corporation’s proxy statement for the 2022 annual meeting of shareholders, and also incorporated by reference in the Corporation’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2021.

Angela F. Braly, Chair
Kenneth C. Frazier
Gregory J. Goff
Steven A. Kandarian

Item 3 – Advisory Vote to Approve Executive Compensation

At the meeting, shareholders will be asked to vote on a non-binding resolution to approve the compensation of the Named Executive Officers (NEOs), listed in the Summary Compensation Table.

When casting your vote, we encourage you to consider the detailed information in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis beginning on page 37.

The Board continues to support the overall design of the compensation program, on the basis that the program:

• Is aligned with the Company’s business model and shareholder returns over the long term;
• Delivers pay that is highly performance based and tied to company and individual performance; and
• Enables the Compensation Committee to leverage its experience and judgment to deliver market competitive pay.

We continue to listen and respond to the feedback we receive from shareholders during our shareholder engagement process. In response, this disclosure has been enhanced to better articulate the tie between business performance and pay decisions. This includes the Company’s ambition to lead industry in hard-to-decarbonize emissions reductions. Furthermore, financial and operating metrics have been expanded to include a greater emphasis on environmental performance.

The Board recommends an advisory vote FOR the following resolution:

RESOLVED: That shareholders approve the compensation of the Named Executive Officers as disclosed pursuant to Item 402 of SEC Regulation S-K, including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, compensation tables, and narrative discussion on pages 37 to 68 of this proxy statement.
The Compensation Discussion and Analysis and Executive Compensation Tables outline ExxonMobil’s executive compensation program and process for determining pay as it applies to the Named Executive Officers (NEOs).

For 2021, Named Executive Officers were:

Darren W. Woods
Chairman and CEO

Kathryn A. Mikells
Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
Joined August 9, 2021

Andrew P. Swiger
Senior Vice President and Principal Financial Officer
Retired September 1, 2021

Neil A. Chapman
Senior Vice President

Jack P. Williams, Jr.
Senior Vice President

Liam M. Mallon
President, ExxonMobil Upstream Company
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

LETTER TO SHAREHOLDERS

Fellow Shareholders,

As you consider your vote, we encourage you to review the information included in this disclosure. The Committee continues to support the design of the executive compensation program in that it achieves the goal of maximizing long-term shareholder value while positioning the Company for long-term success in a lower-emissions future.

Your feedback is important. The Committee considers the results of the Say-on-Pay vote, together with feedback received through ongoing shareholder engagements as it reviews the effectiveness and competitiveness of the executive compensation program, taking into account business context and market practices.

Business Perspective

ExxonMobil’s business involves large investments that create shareholder value over long periods of time, requiring executives to maintain a long-term view when making decisions across a wide range of business investments. The executive compensation program design reflects this and has proven to be adaptable to evolving strategic priorities.

2020 was marked by unique market conditions, requiring significant organizational focus to decisively respond while maintaining commitment to long-term value generation. Deliberate actions in 2021 set the foundation for future success. Actions included active near-term cost management while selectively investing to ensure an optimal position for eventual recovery. Today, despite the significant impact of the pandemic, the Company is on track to deliver on its objective of doubling earnings potential by 2025 versus 2019.

Compensation Decisions

In response to these market conditions, in 2020, the Committee suspended the bonus program. Combined with a lower dollar value of long-term awards, this resulted in an overall decrease in senior executives’ pay of approximately 40 to 50 percent. This in contrast with the more market-common dollar-denominated approach maintained by benchmark companies resulted in CEO compensation at the 0th percentile.

In 2021, the Committee recognized strong results across all performance dimensions, positioning the Company well to capture upside as markets recover. This is reflected in 2021 compensation actions.

Shareholder Engagement

Throughout 2021, management and independent directors engaged with shareholders representing over half of outstanding institutionally held shares. We note strong support for the program with long restriction periods, pay-for-performance, share-denominated basis, and strong governance cited as key strengths.

In response to your feedback, this disclosure has been enhanced:

- Greater transparency on how the Board holds management accountable to deliver business results and drive the Company’s strategic objectives, including the Company’s role in the energy transition;
- A new section detailing the Committee’s deliberations on performance as it ties to pay decisions; and
- Additional disclosure on ESG metrics specific to spills and GHG emissions, flaring, and methane intensity reductions.

On behalf of the Compensation Committee, I encourage you to vote “FOR” Item 3.

Angela F. Braly
Chair, Compensation Committee
Exxon Mobil Corporation

Say-on-Pay 2021 2020 2019
Votes “For” 89% 92% 92%
WHY VOTE “FOR” SAY-ON-PAY?

PROGRAM ALIGNED WITH BUSINESS MODEL AND SHAREHOLDER RETURNS

Program adaptable to evolving strategic priorities through annual goal setting; includes positioning the Company for success in the energy transition

Majority of total direct compensation delivered in performance shares; over 70 percent of CEO total direct compensation

Share-denominated basis coupled with long restriction periods ensures alignment with shareholders over long term

Restriction periods – longest in any industry – promote accountability to maximize shareholder value over the long term while effectively managing longer-term risks, including those related to climate risk

PAY HIGHLY PERFORMANCE BASED AND TIED TO COMPANY PERFORMANCE

Deliberate actions positioned the Company for market recovery while maintaining focus on strategic objectives

Maintained best-ever safety performance, exceeded reliability plans across all segments, met 2025 emission-reduction plans by end-of-year 2021, and delivered industry-leading earnings and cash flow growth

Maintained focus on advantaged investments while simultaneously growing lower-emission solutions

2021 bonus award reflective of earnings versus 2019; no bonus granted in 2020 in response to market conditions

Long-term award value increased year over year in line with increase in stock price

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE APPROACH TO DELIVER MARKET COMPETITIVE PAY

Deliberation on overall level of CEO pay considers progress toward strategic objectives, business results, individual performance, and competitiveness of pay given tenure in position

2020 CEO total direct compensation at 0th percentile relative to CEO compensation benchmark companies, in part due to actions taken in 2020 in response to market conditions

10-year combined realized and unrealized pay (2011 to 2020) for CEO position at 5th percentile

SUPPORTED BY STRONG GOVERNANCE PRACTICES

Key design features that discourage executives from taking inappropriate risk include:

- Extensive stock ownership
- Significant pay at risk
- Strong forfeiture provisions
- Bonus clawback policy
- Anti-hedging policy
- Annual assessment of compensation design
- Independent compensation consultant
- No employment contracts
- No severance agreements
- No change-in-control arrangements
- No guaranteed bonuses
- No additional stock grants to balance losses in value
- No accelerated vesting at retirement
COMPENSATION DESIGN

APPROACH TO EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The decisions that our executives make and the risks they manage play out over multi-year time horizons. Executives are required to carefully consider current and future risks, such as those related to climate change, and to make decisions across a broad range of business investments that generate sustainable shareholder value over the long term.

The Company’s executive compensation program design aligns executives’ pay with the results of their decisions and the returns of our shareholders over the long term. The program is designed to drive long-term accountability, reward outstanding performance, and promote retention.

DRIVE LONG-TERM ACCOUNTABILITY

The Company’s strategic objectives have been established to drive sustainable value while positioning the Company for long-term success in a lower-emissions future. These objectives are translated into annual plan goals through a comprehensive process which incorporates Corporate and functional plans. Goals are incorporated in the corporate plan, which is reviewed and approved by the Board and provides the framework for the organization’s commitments.

REWARD OUTSTANDING PERFORMANCE

Performance is foundational to the Company’s compensation program design. The extent to which executives achieve pre-established goals, assessed over near- and long-term time horizons, is a key differentiating factor in executives’ pay deliberations. Performance evaluation directly impacts level of base salary, bonus, and performance share award grant.

PROMOTE RETENTION

This long-term orientation also underpins how the Company develops talent. It begins with recruiting exceptional people, and continues with individually planned experiences and training, which leads to broad development and a deep understanding of our business across the business cycle.

The compensation program is designed to attract and retain talent for a career through compensation that is market competitive, highly differentiated by individual performance, and with long restriction periods that promote retention.

For more information, see the Annual Report, Advancing Climate Solutions – 2022 Progress Report, and Sustainability Report

Kenneth C. Frazier
Lead Director
Exxon Mobil Corporation

The move to a lower-emissions future requires multiple solutions that can be implemented at scale to address some of the highest-emitting sectors of the economy.

The Board recognizes that the Company, by leveraging its core capabilities, is uniquely positioned to play a leading role in the energy transition while retaining investment flexibility across a portfolio of evolving opportunities to maximize shareholder returns. The Company’s strategic objectives reflect this.

While the Company’s strategic objectives are interdependent, given the complexity and uncertainty inherent to a transitioning energy system, in its pay deliberations, the Committee has decided to place additional emphasis on the Company’s role in leading the industry in hard-to-decarbonize emissions reductions.
OVERVIEW

ACCOUNTABILITY AND PERFORMANCE | Pages 42-43

• Board reviews and approves Corporate goals and objectives annually; integrated into Company’s plan cycle
• Goals are cascaded at each level, tailored for area of responsibility; annual assessment versus planned goals results in differentiated pay outcomes

COMPENSATION DESIGN | Pages 44-46

• Named Executive Officers participate in the same broad-based programs as all other executives
• Performance shares for senior executives represent a higher percentage of total direct compensation, reflective of the impact of their decisions and resulting in increased pay at risk

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performance Shares</th>
<th>Annual Bonus</th>
<th>Base Salary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Percent of Total Direct Compensation</td>
<td>• Over 70 percent</td>
<td>• 10 to 20 percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intent</td>
<td>• Link pay to returns of long-term shareholders</td>
<td>• Link pay to annual Company earnings performance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Key Design Features</td>
<td>• Encourage long-term view through the commodity price cycle</td>
<td>• Provide near-term performance payment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Granted in the form of stock units</td>
<td>• Paid in year of grant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• 50 percent vests in 5 years from grant date; 50 percent in 10 years</td>
<td>• Bonus award pool shifts in line with year-over-year earnings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Long restriction periods coupled with performance metrics applied at grant</td>
<td>• Individual award further determined by individual performance and pay grade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Significant portion of pay at risk of forfeiture for extended period of time</td>
<td>• Full award subject to clawback</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DETERMINING COMPENSATION

ANNUAL COMPENSATION BENCHMARKING | Page 47

• Based on 1-year total direct compensation and 10-year realized and unrealized pay
• Target pay around the median, considering tenure in position, individual and business performance, and evaluated across a range of stock price scenarios

INPUTS TO COMPENSATION COMMITTEE | Pages 48-49

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performance Dimension</th>
<th>Measurement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Progress Toward Strategic Objectives</td>
<td>Demonstrated leadership and accomplishments relative to established goals and objectives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Operations Performance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Financial Performance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Energy Transition</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Business Portfolio</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial and Operating Metrics</td>
<td>Position relative to industry peers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Safety, ROCE, CFOAS, TSR</td>
<td>Company performance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Spills, Operated GHG Emissions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PAY DELIBERATIONS AND DECISIONS | Pages 50-53

• Balances progress toward strategic objectives, business results, individual performance, and competitiveness of pay, taking into account experience in position
ACCOUNTABILITY AND PERFORMANCE

Executive compensation program design aligned with business model and talent development approach – long-term oriented, performance differentiated, and adaptable to evolving strategic priorities through goal setting.

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES

The Company’s long-term strategic objectives center around four key interdependent performance dimensions, reflective of the Company’s priority focus areas. These objectives, fully integrated into the Company’s plan cycle, provide the framework for the organization to deliver on its commitments.

Strategic objectives have been established to drive sustainable growth in shareholder value while positioning the Company for long-term success in a lower-emissions future.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Long-Term Strategic Objectives</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Operations Performance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deliver industry-leading performance in safety, emissions reductions, environmental performance, and reliability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial Performance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deliver industry-leading earnings and cash flow growth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy Transition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead industry in hard-to-decarbonize GHG emissions reductions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Portfolio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optimize existing business portfolio, resilient to a transitioning energy system</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PLAN GOALS

The Company’s strategic objectives are translated into annual plan goals through a comprehensive process that incorporates Corporate and functional plans. Plan goals are endorsed by the Board during its November meeting.

A disciplined approach to establishing goals aligns executives to deliver on the Company’s strategic objectives.

The CEO is primarily responsible for executing the Company’s long-term strategic objectives, as translated in annual plan goals. CEO goals and objectives are supplemented with enterprise-wide initiatives. These include corporate reputation, risk management, and talent management.

Plan goals and objectives are cascaded throughout the organization, tailored to each executive’s area of responsibility.

Goals and objectives are reviewed with senior management annually and reinforced through periodic stewardship reviews and the performance assessment process.

Leaders are held accountable to deliver on plan goals and objectives across all performance dimensions within the context of the Company’s strategic objectives. This sets a high performance threshold. Where faced with trade-offs across different priorities, these are discussed with senior management through monthly business reviews.

DESIGN ADAPTABLE TO EVOLVING STRATEGIC PRIORITIES THROUGH INTEGRATION IN THE COMPANY’S PLAN PROCESS, CORPORATE GOALS & OBJECTIVES APPROVED BY THE BOARD
PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

The Compensation Committee evaluates accomplishments across all business performance dimensions within the context of the Company’s long-term strategy. Financial and operating metrics further support the Committee’s assessment.

Results of the annual performance evaluation inform level of pay, including salary, bonus, and performance share award. For more details on pay deliberations for Named Executive Officers, see pages 50 to 53.

CEO

The Compensation Committee evaluates the CEO’s performance based on progress against plan goals and objectives, which are reflective of the Company’s strategic objectives and supported by financial and operating metrics.

The Company’s strategic objectives are interdependent, with long-term success determined by delivery in each of the strategic objectives. As such, the Committee assigns equal weight to each of the four strategic objectives.

Recognizing the complexity and significant uncertainty inherent in a transitioning energy system, the Committee currently places additional emphasis on the energy transition objective and GHG metrics.

Progress is discussed throughout the year in various Board and Committee reviews. Financial and operating metrics are assessed over near- and long-term time horizons, taking into account the broader business environment. See pages 48 to 49 for 2021 business performance.

Corporate Officers

The CEO reviews the performance of all other Corporate Officers, including members of the Management Committee, with the Board during the annual executive development review in October. Performance is evaluated based on accomplishments versus plan goals and objectives.

In addition to this formal annual assessment, the Board evaluates the performance of all senior executives throughout the year during specific business reviews and Board meetings.

The Compensation Committee also takes into account demonstrated leadership in sustaining sound business controls and a strong ethical and corporate governance environment.

2021 FOCUS AREAS

Greater transparency on Company’s role in the energy transition, integrated in the Company’s strategic objectives
ESG metrics disclosure expanded to include spills and GHG emissions, flaring, and methane intensity reductions
Enhanced disclosure on Committee’s evaluation of CEO and Management Committee performance

DISCIPLINED APPROACH HOLDS EXECUTIVES ACCOUNTABLE FOR BUSINESS RESULTS AND PROGRESSING STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES, BALANCING SHORT- AND LONG-TERM ACTIVITIES
LONG-TERM AWARD PROGRAM

Performance shares represent over 70 percent of total direct compensation, and are intended to link executive pay to the returns of long-term shareholders and encourage a long-term view through the commodity price cycle.

Performance shares vest 50 percent in 5 years and 50 percent in 10 years. For more information, see page 63.

PROGRAM DESIGN

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BUSINESS MODEL ALIGNMENT</th>
<th>SHAREHOLDER ALIGNMENT</th>
<th>ACCOUNTABILITY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Investment lead times often 10 years or longer</td>
<td>Majority of executive pay delivered in performance shares, aligning realized pay level with returns of long-term shareholders</td>
<td>Restriction periods and risk of forfeiture drive focus on long-term shareholder value creation while managing risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LONGEST RESTRICTION PERIODS IN ANY INDUSTRY</td>
<td>HIGHEST STANDARDS OF PERFORMANCE</td>
<td>ABILITY TO RETAIN KEY TALENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applying performance metrics at grant enables restriction periods of 10 years</td>
<td>Performance assessed against pre-established goals and objectives, results tie directly to award level</td>
<td>Executives unable to monetize significant portion of pay, creating large “buyout” hurdle</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LONG RESTRICTION PERIODS IN LINE WITH COMMODITY PRICE CYCLES & INVESTMENT LEAD TIMES

- ExxonMobil invests in a wide range of projects that encompass multiple asset and technology types. Investments pay out over long periods of time and through volatile commodity price cycles, requiring executives to maintain a long-term view when making business decisions.

- Long restriction periods ensure that a significant portion of pay reflects the outcome of these decisions and the experience of long-term shareholders.

- An alternate formula-based program would require a shorter time horizon to set meaningful, credible targets. The Compensation Committee has analyzed the appropriateness of a shorter-term program and concluded that this could encourage short-term thinking, which is not aligned with the long investment lead times and the capital-intensive nature of the business.

- Example below shows project net cash flow of a typical ExxonMobil project and performance share program design. It illustrates that short-term vesting occurs prior to determination of project financial success or failure and that longer-term vesting better aligns with shareholder returns resulting from investment decisions.
• ExxonMobil conducts business in a cyclical commodity price environment and positions itself to generate sustainable growth in shareholder value over the long term

• Longer restriction periods also ensure that executives are required to hold shares through these commodity price cycles

• An alternate program with shorter-term target setting and vesting would enable executives to monetize and diversify realized pay at a much faster pace. This would decrease exposure to commodity price cycles, encourage shorter-term decision making, and be misaligned with long investment lead times of the business

SHARE-DENOMINATED BASIS ALIGNS AWARD VALUES WITH SHAREHOLDER OUTCOMES

• Uniquely long restriction periods result in a need to apply performance metrics at grant, versus at vest

• Performance share award grant levels are established based on pay grade and individual performance

• The Compensation Committee does not adjust share grants to offset changes in share price; this results in executives seeing a one-for-one change in compensation through share price

• A share-denominated approach coupled with long restriction periods defines the risk/reward profile of stock-based performance awards and results in a greater degree of volatility versus alternate programs with dollar-denominated approach

2021 DECISIONS

• Compensation Committee granted awards in keeping with program design; no make-up grants to address lower value of 2020 award grants

• Long-term award value increased year over year aligned with stock price recovery; changes in award grants for Named Executive Officers reflect individual performance and/or change in pay grade

STOCK OWNERSHIP

• It is ExxonMobil’s policy that executives maintain significant stock ownership

• Long restriction periods result in stock ownership far exceeding standard ownership guidelines. This aligns the interests of our executives with those of long-term shareholders and ensures focus on actions that create sustainable shareholder value over the long term

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>16</th>
<th>17</th>
<th>18</th>
<th>19</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>2021</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ExxonMobil</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Market Max*</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Market Min*</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Compensation benchmark companies stock ownership guidelines

• Table depicts ExxonMobil CEO stock ownership as multiple of base salary

• 87 percent of CEO stock ownership in unvested shares

THROUGH LONG RESTRICTION PERIODS, EXXONMOBIL EXECUTIVES ARE INCENTIVIZED TO TAKE A LONG-TERM VIEW IN DECISION MAKING
BONUS PROGRAM

Annual bonus program represents **10 to 20 percent** of total direct compensation, and is intended to link executive pay to annual Company earnings performance.

All executives globally, including Named Executive Officers, participate in the same bonus program.

PROGRAM DESIGN

- Compensation Committee establishes the overall size of bonus program (“ceiling”), set as a percent change from prior year bonus program:

\[
\% \text{ change in bonus program} = (\% \text{ change in annual earnings}) \times (2/3)
\]

- Percent change in bonus program is applied to the bonus award matrix that is used to determine individual grant levels based on pay grade and individual performance

- Tie to year-over-year change in earnings coupled with individual performance defines the risk/reward profile of the bonus program and results in greater degree of volatility versus market practice

- Bonus delivered in cash in year of grant

- Full bonus award subject to clawback, see page 56

2021 DECISIONS

- 2021 bonus program set at +40 percent versus 2019, reflective of earnings performance; 2019 used as basis for calculation as 2020 bonus program was suspended

- Bonus award paid in full in year of grant, consistent with market practice and resulting in a stronger link to earnings performance and individual performance differentiation

SALARY PROGRAM

Base salary represents **10 percent or less** of total direct compensation, and is intended to provide competitive base pay and directly affect the level of retirement benefits, as salary is included in benefit formulas.

Named Executive Officers participate in the same salary program as all U.S.-dollar-paid executives.

The overall size of the program is determined by annual benchmarking. Individual salary increases are the result of individual performance, experience, and changes to pay grade.

2021 DECISIONS

- 2021 salaries were held at 2020 levels, reflective of market conditions at the time

- For 2022, the Compensation Committee granted salary increases to Named Executive Officers consistent with the salary program for all U.S.-dollar-paid executives. Individual salary increases take into account individual performance, level of responsibility, and experience, and reflect market analysis and competitiveness at time of decision in 2021
DETERMINING COMPENSATION

ANNUAL BENCHMARKING

COMPANY PERFORMANCE

Assessing business performance is most relevant against companies of similar scale and complexity that operate within the same industry. These include Chevron, Shell, TotalEnergies, and BP. See page 49.

COMPENSATION BENCHMARKING

Evaluating level of pay is most relevant against other U.S. companies who pay in the same jurisdiction.

Criteria for selecting benchmark companies include:

- large scale and complexity;
- capital intensity;
- international operations; and
- proven sustainability over time.

All three of ExxonMobil’s major business segments, on a stand-alone basis, rank among other large companies based on revenues.

Compensation benchmark companies remained the same since 2017, except for addition of Raytheon Technologies in 2021 as successor to United Technologies.

PAY ORIENTATION

In assessing the appropriateness of pay levels, the Committee considers scale and complexity, and tenure in position as relevant factors.

The Compensation Committee focuses on a broad range around the median of compensation benchmark companies, evaluated across a range of stock price scenarios. This provides the ability to:

- Differentiate compensation based on experience and performance levels among executives;
- Minimize the potential for automatic ratcheting-up of compensation that could occur within a narrow target among benchmark companies; and
- Respond to changing business conditions.

The Committee uses tally sheets for the CEO and Management Committee that provide detailed information, by pay element, and allow for assessment against publicly available data for similar positions at compensation benchmark companies.

The Committee also uses an independent consultant to assist in this analysis as discussed in the Corporate Governance section, see page 28.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE CONDUCTS ANNUAL BENCHMARKING TO ASSESS MARKET COMPETITIVENESS OF EXECUTIVE PAY AND PROGRAM DESIGN
## 2021 BUSINESS PERFORMANCE

Deliberate actions in 2021, balanced across multiple dimensions: active near-term cost management while selectively investing to enable optimal position for recovery. Decisions made in 2020/2021 set the foundation for future success, on track to deliver objective of doubling earnings potential by 2025 versus 2019.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>2021 PROGRESS AND PERFORMANCE</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>OPERATIONS PERFORMANCE</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Safety | • Maintained best-ever safety performance<sup>1,2</sup>  
• Continuously improving Tier 1 process safety, beat Corporate objective |
| Emissions, Environmental | • Met 2025 GHG emission-reduction plans by YE 2021<sup>3</sup> announced GHG emission-reduction plans for 2030 consistent with Paris Agreement-aligned pathways  
• 30% reduction in number of spills versus prior 3-year average<sup>1,8</sup> |
| Reliability | • Exceeded plan across all business segments; effectively responded to weather events |

| **FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE** | Strategic Objective | Deliver industry-leading earnings and cash flow growth |
| Results | • Delivered industry-leading earnings and cash flow growth  
• Fortified balance sheet capacity, $20 billion debt reduction<sup>9</sup>  
• Improved cost competitiveness, delivered $4.9 billion in structural efficiencies since 2019<sup>10</sup>  
• Best-in-industry investment portfolio delivering high returns and short payouts |

| **ENERGY TRANSITION** | Strategic Objective | Lead industry in hard-to-decarbonize emissions reductions |
| Results | • Aggressively growing Low Carbon Solutions business; near-term investment focused where policy exists to provide attractive returns; seeding developments in anticipation of future policy  
• Progressing large signature projects; robust pipeline of multiple carbon capture and storage (CCS) opportunities, Houston Hub MOU with 14 companies as of January 2022  
• Flexibility to grow low-carbon spend as opportunity pipeline matures and market evolves  
• Leveraging external collaborations to develop breakthrough GHG emission-reduction technologies (e.g., Global Thermostat) |

| **BUSINESS PORTFOLIO** | Strategic Objective | Optimize existing business portfolio, resilient to a transitioning energy system |
| Upstream | Prioritize resilient high-return investments  
• >90% of 2022–2027 capex delivers >10% return at <$35/bbl<sup>11</sup>  
• Guyana: aggressive development pace of world-class resource, >10 Boeb  
• Permian: record production exceeding plan with lower capital, reflecting continuing improvements in capital efficiency |
| Chemical | Grow performance products  
• Investment portfolio delivers ~20% return<sup>12</sup>  
• 7% performance products sales growth in 2021  
• Corpus Christi Chemical complex started up ahead of schedule and under budget  
• Final investment decision on world-scale chemical complex at Dayawan, China  
• Announced large-scale advanced plastic recycling facility in Baytown |
| Downstream | Improve portfolio competitiveness and resilience  
• Investment portfolio delivers return of ~50%<sup>13</sup>  
• Key milestones met for strategic market entries in China, Mexico, Indonesia, and India  
• Highgrading portfolio with key advantaged projects to improve net cash margin  
• Progressing near-term investment in biofuels |
2021 BUSINESS PERFORMANCE | FINANCIAL & OPERATING METRICS

PERSONNEL SAFETY\(^1,\(^{14}\)

**LOST-TIME INCIDENT RATE (LTIR)**
- ExxonMobil Workforce
- Upstream Industry Benchmark
- U.S. Refining and Chemical Industry Benchmark
  (incidents per 200,000 work hours)

![Graph showing LOST-TIME INCIDENT RATE (LTIR)](image)

ENVIRONMENTAL\(^{1,\(^{8}\)}

**SPILLS \(>1\) BARREL (BBL)**

![Graph showing SPILLS \(>1\) BARREL (BBL)](image)

2021 Focus Area
- Selected performance metrics tie to Company’s strategic objectives
- ESG metrics disclosure expanded to include spills and GHG emissions, flaring, and methane intensity reductions
- Expansion underscores the Company’s plan to play a leading role in the energy transition and responds to shareholder feedback seeking additional clarity

GHG EMISSIONS\(^3,\(^{15}\)

**UPSTREAM NET OPERATED GHG EMISSIONS INTENSITY**
- 2025 GHG Emission Reduction Plans
  \((\text{T}/\text{100 T})\)

![Graph showing UPSTREAM NET OPERATED GHG EMISSIONS INTENSITY](image)

**CORPORATE OPERATED HYDROCARBON FLARING INTENSITY**
- 2025 GHG Emission Reduction Plans
  \((\text{m}^3/\text{T})\)

![Graph showing CORPORATE OPERATED HYDROCARBON FLARING INTENSITY](image)

**CORPORATE OPERATED METHANE EMISSIONS INTENSITY**
- 2025 GHG Emission Reduction Plans
  \((\text{T}/\text{100 T})\)

![Graph showing CORPORATE OPERATED METHANE EMISSIONS INTENSITY](image)

FINANCIAL

**RETURN ON AVERAGE CAPITAL EMPLOYED (ROCE)**\(^{16}\)
- 10-Year average, percent

![Graph showing RETURN ON AVERAGE CAPITAL EMPLOYED (ROCE)](image)

**CASH FLOW FROM OPERATIONS AND ASSET SALES**\(^{16}\)
- 10-Year Average  \(\times\) 2021
- (dollars in billions)

![Graph showing CASH FLOW FROM OPERATIONS AND ASSET SALES](image)

**TOTAL SHAREHOLDER RETURN (TSR)**\(^{17}\)
- 10-Year Average  \(\times\) 2021
- (percent)

![Graph showing TOTAL SHAREHOLDER RETURN (TSR)](image)
2021 COMPENSATION ACTIONS | CEO

PAY DELIBERATIONS

Mr. Woods is primarily responsible for executing the Company’s long-term strategic objectives while progressing plan goals in support of these objectives.

In 2021, Mr. Woods led the Company through a volatile and increasingly complex business environment. Through deliberate actions, the organization demonstrated an ability to balance near-term cost control and long-term investments, positioning the Company well to capture upside as markets recover. This set a strong foundation for 2022 and beyond, and provides flexibility to grow low-carbon spend as the opportunity pipeline matures and market evolves.

The Committee noted strong business results in each performance dimension:

- **Operations:** maintained best-ever safety performance; met 2025 GHG emission-reduction plans by end-of-year 2021.
- **Financial:** industry-leading earnings and cash flow growth; earnings growth driven by improved portfolio, accretive volumes, and lower opex; $20 billion debt reduction, achieving debt to capital range within year of pandemic; TSR recovering 57.3 percent in 2021 leads peers.
- **Energy transition:** aggressively growing Low Carbon Solutions business; $15 billion of lower-emission investments from 2022 through 2027.
- **Business portfolio:** best-in-industry investment portfolio, delivering high returns and short payouts, resilient to a transitioning energy system; aligned organizations with markets and key competitive variables.

In acknowledgement of these achievements, the Committee approved total direct compensation of $18.3 million. Consistent with our pay philosophy, a significant portion was delivered through performance shares with 5- and 10-year vesting periods.

CEO COMPENSATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TOTAL DIRECT COMPENSATION</th>
<th>REALIZED PAY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Salary</td>
<td>Bonus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(millions)</td>
<td>(millions)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>$16.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>$10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td>$18.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- 91% variable pay, at risk

- 2021 total direct compensation increased by 14 percent versus 2019 due to strong Company earnings performance and stock price recovery, and reflects the Committee's actions to bring the CEO's pay closer to a market-competitive position
- Over 70 percent of CEO total direct compensation delivered in the form of performance shares with long restriction periods
- Level of realized pay reflects bonus award and higher vested award value, including 50 percent of award granted in 2016 as President and in anticipation of election as CEO

![CEO Compensation Chart]
CEO COMPENSATION BENCHMARKING

TOTAL DIRECT COMPENSATION

1-YEAR TOTAL DIRECT COMPENSATION

(2020)
- ExxonMobil
- Compensation Benchmark Companies

10-YEAR TOTAL DIRECT COMPENSATION

(2020, 2010 to 2019)
- 2020
- 2010-2019 Range

- Overall level of CEO compensation in 2020 down ~40 percent due to bonus program suspension and lower dollar value of long-term award
- Decisions in 2020 resulted in an uncompetitive market position with 1-year Total Direct Compensation at the 0th percentile versus benchmark companies and below 10-year range

REALIZED AND UNREALIZED PAY

10-YEAR COMBINED REALIZED AND UNREALIZED PAY

(2011 to 2020)
- ExxonMobil
- Compensation Benchmark Companies

10-YEAR REALIZED PAY

(2011 to 2020)
- ExxonMobil
- Compensation Benchmark Companies

- Combined 10-year realized and unrealized pay normalizes for different award types and restriction periods
- Low relative position reflective of Company performance and experience in position
- Relative position in 10-year realized versus realized/unrealized pay reflective of long restriction periods in program design
2021 COMPENSATION ACTIONS | MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE

KATHRYN A. MIKELLS, SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT AND CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER

Ms. Mikells is responsible for all corporate finance departments, including financial planning and reporting, tax, treasurer’s, and audit. Ms. Mikells also oversees strategic planning and investor relations. She joined ExxonMobil in August 2021.

Since joining, Ms. Mikells:

- Quickly established herself as a respected member of the Management Committee.
- Is driving improvements in design, delivery, and capabilities of her organizations.
- Actively engaged a broad spectrum of stakeholders, progressing investor relations strategy in response to feedback.
- Provided leadership oversight in 2021 Corporate plan development and efforts to further improve competitiveness.

In acknowledgement of these achievements, the Committee approved total direct compensation of $9.1 million.

In addition, the Committee granted a one-time performance share award of 80,000 shares in connection with her hiring, intended to immediately start building equity in the Company and address any amounts forfeited upon departure from her prior employer. In keeping with our philosophy, this award is subject to 5- and 10-year vesting periods and at risk of forfeiture while unvested.

NEIL A. CHAPMAN, SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT

Mr. Chapman is responsible for the Upstream business, Research and Engineering company, and Low Carbon Solutions business. In 2021, Mr. Chapman provided strategic and executive oversight in the following areas:

- **Operations:** Upstream LTIR comparable to prior year’s lowest LTIR; first ever, no life altering injuries\(^1\); better-than-plan reliability; lowest flaring intensity\(^2\) and better-than-plan reduction in methane emissions intensity.
- **Financial:** delivered approximately $16 billion of earnings, highest since 2014, with structural efficiencies of about $3 billion, versus 2019, and approximately $1.5 billion of divestments.
- **Energy transition:** established Low Carbon Solutions business, early wins in establishing accretive portfolio with multiple opportunities identified; leveraging external technologies/collaborations to develop breakthrough GHG emission-reduction technologies.
- **Business portfolio:** strengthening portfolio competitiveness, >90% of 2022-2027 capex delivering >10% return at $35/bbl\(^3\); aggressive development pace in Guyana, >10 Boeb; Bacalhau on pace for start-up in 2024; Permian record production exceeding plan with lower capital, reflecting continued improvements in capital efficiency.

In acknowledgement of these achievements, the Committee approved total direct compensation of $9.6 million.
JACK P. WILLIAMS, SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT

Mr. Williams is responsible for the Chemical, Fuels & Lubricants businesses as well as the enterprise-wide Global Projects organization. In 2021, Mr. Williams provided strategic and executive oversight in the following areas:

- **Operations:** beat prior year best-ever Downstream Total Recordable Incident Rate (TRIR) safety performance since 2006; better-than-plan Refining and Chemical reliability; best Refining internal unplanned capacity loss performance in the last decade; leading international refining companies in Carbon Emissions Intensity performance.

- **Financial:** strong market recovery in low price margin environment across all 3 businesses; best-ever Chemical and Lubricants earnings; exceeded operating expense reduction targets; sold Santoprene at $1.15 billion.

- **Energy transition:** significant near-term investment in biofuels.

- **Business portfolio:** effectively paused and re-started major projects execution, leveraging cross-Company capabilities, enabling pre-pandemic commitments at lower cost; Chemical performance product sales up 7%; expansion projects delivering above design expectations; Corpus Christi Chemical complex ahead of schedule and under budget; made final investment decision for multibillion dollar chemical complex in Dayawan, China; announced large-scale advanced plastics recycling facilities in France and Texas; hit key milestones for Downstream strategic market entries in China, Mexico, Indonesia, and India.

In acknowledgement of these achievements, the Committee approved total direct compensation of $9.7 million.

ANDREW P. SWIGER, SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT AND PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL OFFICER

Mr. Swiger retired effective September 1, 2021 with 43 years of service. Mr. Swiger’s total direct compensation of $2.6 million is reflective of a partial year and includes salary and pro-rated bonus.

Angela F. Braly
Chair, Compensation Committee
ExxonMobil Corporation

Our executives’ pay is directly linked to the Company’s commitment to drive sustainable growth in shareholder value while positioning the Company for long-term success in a lower-emissions future.

In 2021, the Company delivered exceptional business results across all performance dimensions, including early delivery of the 2025 emission-reduction plans by four years. An effective pandemic response, focused investments during the down-cycle, and structural cost savings enabled the Company to realize the full benefit of the market recovery.

This disclosure includes greater detail on the performance dimensions and metrics the Compensation Committee assessed in its pay deliberations. It also includes greater transparency on the Company’s role in the energy transition, a strategic objective on which the Committee is currently placing additional emphasis.

I look forward to our continued engagement and hope you will join the Board in voting “FOR” Item 3.
## Retirement Plans

The Company’s approach to talent development stems from the need to develop future leaders broadly and deeply given the complexity and long-term nature of the business. Retirement plans support the Company’s talent management approach and are designed to attract and retain talent for a career. Retirement plans include:

- Defined contribution plans, such as the Company’s savings plans, that are attractive to new hires who can begin building an account balance immediately, and
- Defined benefit plans, such as the Company’s pension plans, that help retain mid- and late-career employees until retirement age. These are viewed as primary vehicle for retirement planning.

Retirement plans also strengthen commitment to high performance standards. Salary and bonus amounts that form the basis for these plans are determined by individual performance.

Named Executive Officers participate in the same savings and pension plans as all other U.S.-dollar-paid executives. Change in control is **not** a triggering event under any ExxonMobil benefit plan.

Below are brief descriptions of the plans. See the Pension Benefits and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation sections on pages 65 to 67 for more details.

### Savings Plan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Qualified</th>
<th>Nonqualified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- <strong>Savings Plan (EMSP)</strong> provides company-matching contribution of 7 percent of eligible salary for employee contribution of minimum 6 percent of salary</td>
<td>- <strong>Supplemental Savings Plan (SSP)</strong> provides continuation of Company-matching contribution of 7 percent of eligible salary that would not otherwise be made to the qualified Savings Plan due to IRS limitations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Subject to U.S. Internal Revenue Code limits on amount of pay taken into account and total amount of contributions</td>
<td>- Does not permit employee contributions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- SSP is paid as a lump sum and only if retiring from the Company</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Pension Plan

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>ExxonMobil Pension Plan (EMPP)</strong> provides for a pension benefit when leaving the Company as long as age, service, and other provisions under the plan are met</td>
<td><strong>Supplemental Pension Plan (SPP)</strong> provides pension benefits that cannot be paid from EMPP due to IRS limitations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Subject to U.S. Internal Revenue Code limits on compensation included and benefits paid</td>
<td><strong>Additional Payments Plan (APP)</strong> provides pension benefits tied to annual bonus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>SPP and APP are paid as a lump sum and only if retiring from the Company</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2021 Decisions

Company-matching contribution to the Savings Plan was reinstated beginning October 2021 after a temporary suspension that began in October 2020; affects all U.S.-dollar-paid employees eligible for this plan, including senior executives.
SHARE UTILIZATION

The Compensation Committee establishes a ceiling for performance share awards on an annual basis. The overall number of shares underlying awards granted in 2021 represents dilution of 0.2 percent. This dilution results in a lower relative impact on earnings per share at time of grant versus compensation benchmark companies, and is 77 percent below the average of compensation benchmark companies, based on historical grant patterns.

GRANTING PRACTICES

The Compensation Committee grants annual incentive awards to the Company’s senior executives at its regular November meeting.

A committee comprised of ExxonMobil’s Chairman and Management Committee grants incentive awards to other eligible employees within the parameters of the bonus and performance share award ceilings approved by the Compensation Committee. This committee makes annual grants on a schedule aligned with the schedule of the Compensation Committee.

The Committees may also grant awards as needed based on personnel developments within the parameters of the award ceilings approved by the Compensation Committee in November.

The Company’s compensation program does not include granting stock options. No stock options have been granted since 2001 and there are no plans to make such grants in the future.

TAX MATTERS

The Company does not provide tax assistance for either bonus or performance share awards.

Starting in 2018, the U.S. Internal Revenue Code was amended so that annual compensation, including performance-based compensation, in excess of $1 million paid to the CEO, the Principal Financial Officer, and the other three most highly paid executives is not tax deductible by the Corporation, with an exception for compensation and benefits awarded or accrued prior to November 2017.

Executives may not elect to defer any element of compensation.

Nonqualified pension and other benefits have been designed in a manner intended to avoid additional taxes that could potentially be imposed on the recipients of such amounts by Section 409A of the U.S. Internal Revenue Code. This is achieved by setting the form and timing of distributions to eliminate executive and Company discretion.

This section is based on the Company’s interpretation of current U.S. tax laws.
## RISK AND GOVERNANCE

| Executive Stock Ownership | Long restriction periods on performance shares result in executives maintaining significant stock ownership during employment and for 10 years into retirement, the majority of which remain unvested. |
| | Stock ownership far exceeds the typical standard ownership guideline of 6 times base salary. |
| | Actual CEO stock ownership is 46 times salary resulting from 87 percent of unvested shares. |
| | Average of all U.S.-dollar-paid executive officers, including other Named Executive Officers, is 24 times salary resulting from 85 percent of unvested shares. |

| Significant Pay at Risk | Uniquely long restriction periods on performance shares substantially increase the percentage of career compensation at risk well into retirement. |
| | Unvested performance share awards cannot be used as collateral for any purpose. |

| Strong Forfeiture Provisions | Unvested performance share awards are at risk of forfeiture in the event of early retirement and/or detrimental activity, even if such activity occurs or is discovered after retirement. |
| | In the event of retirement prior to age 65 but after eligibility for early retirement (i.e., at least 55 years of age with at least 15 years of service), the Compensation Committee, in the case of an executive officer, must approve the retention of awards. |

| Bonus Clawback Policy | In the event of a material negative restatement of ExxonMobil’s reported financial or operating results, the Board is authorized to take actions as it deems necessary and appropriate, including the recoupment (clawback) of any bonus paid to an executive officer. |
| | Policy reflects the Company’s high ethical standards and strict compliance with accounting and other regulations applicable to public companies. |

| Anti-Hedging/Derivative Policy | Company policy prohibits all active executive, management, professional, or technical employees and directors from being a party to a derivative or similar financial instrument, including puts, calls, or other options, future or forward contracts, or equity swaps or collars, on ExxonMobil common stock or trading in the oil or gas futures markets. |

| Annual Assessment of Compensation Design | Compensation Committee reviews the effectiveness and competitiveness of the compensation program design annually; this includes an assessment of alternate methodologies. |
| | During this annual review, the Committee also considers the insights gained from extensive shareholder dialogue during and in between proxy season. |

<p>| Independent Compensation Consultant | Compensation Committee utilizes the expertise of an external independent consultant. |
| | For more information, see page 28. |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No Employment Contracts</th>
<th>• CEO and other Named Executive Officers are “at-will” employees and as such do <strong>not</strong> have employment contracts, severance agreements, or change-in-control arrangements with the Company</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No Severance Agreements</td>
<td>• Eliminates any real or perceived “safety net” with respect to job security and increases the risk and consequences to the individual for performance that does not meet the highest standards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Change-in-Control Arrangements</td>
<td>• Bonus subject to year-on-year change in earnings performance; remains at risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Demonstrated by bonus program suspension in 2020; no award granted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Guaranteed Bonuses</td>
<td>• Compensation Committee sets the size of the performance share program and does <strong>not</strong> support a practice of offsetting a loss or gain in the value of prior performance share grants by the value of current-year grants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Such a practice would minimize the risk/reward profile of stock-based awards and undermine the long-term view that executives are expected to adopt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Additional Stock Grants to Balance Losses in Value</td>
<td>• Performance shares are not subject to acceleration, not even at retirement, except in the case of death</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Unvested performance shares cannot be used as collateral for any purpose</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**COMPENSATION PROGRAM UNDERPINNED BY STRONG GOVERNANCE PRACTICES THAT DISCOURAGE INAPPROPRIATE RISK TAKING**
FREQUENTLY USED TERMS

Please also read the Footnotes on page 59 and the additional Frequently Used Terms in Exhibit A starting on page 84 for important information, including additional definitions and reconciliation of non-GAAP measures.

Performance Share Program is the terminology used to describe our long-term award program to better reflect the strong connection between performance and pay.

Compensation Benchmark Companies consist of AT&T, Boeing, Chevron, Ford, General Electric, General Motors, IBM, Johnson & Johnson, Pfizer, Procter & Gamble, Raytheon Technologies (successor to United Technologies), and Verizon. These are the same companies noted in the 2021 Proxy Statement.

Reported Pay is total compensation as reported in the Summary Compensation Table.

Total Direct Compensation is compensation granted during the year, including salary, current year bonus, and the grant date fair value of performance shares.

Realized Pay is compensation actually received by the CEO during the year, including salary, cash bonus, payouts of previously granted earnings bonus units (EBU), net spread on stock option exercises, market value at vesting of previously granted stock-based awards, and All Other Compensation amounts realized during the year. It excludes unvested grants, change in pension value, and other amounts that will not actually be received until a future date. Amounts for compensation benchmark companies include salary, bonus, payouts of non-equity incentive plan compensation, and All Other Compensation as reported in the Summary Compensation Table, plus value realized on option exercise or stock vesting as reported in the Option Exercises and Stock Vested table. It excludes unvested grants, change in pension value, and other amounts that will not actually be received until a future date, as well as any retirement-related payouts from pension or nonqualified compensation plans.

Unrealized Pay is calculated on a different basis than the grant date fair value of awards used in the Summary Compensation Table. Unrealized Pay includes the value based on each compensation benchmark company’s closing stock price at fiscal year-end 2020 of unvested restricted stock awards; unvested long-term share- and cash-performance awards, valued at target levels; and the “in the money” value of unexercised stock options (both vested and unvested). If a CEO retired during the period, outstanding equity is included assuming that unvested awards, as of the retirement date, continued to vest pursuant to the original terms of the award.

Cash Flow from Operations and Asset Sales (CFOAS) is the sum of the net cash provided by operating activities and proceeds associated with sales of subsidiaries, property, plant and equipment, and sales and returns of investments from the Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows. For additional information, see Exhibit A starting on page 84.

Return on Average Capital Employed (ROCE) for the Corporation is net income attributable to ExxonMobil excluding the after-tax cost of financing, divided by total corporate average capital employed. For this purpose, capital employed means the Corporation’s net share of property, plant and equipment, and other assets less liabilities, excluding both short-term and long-term debt. For additional information, see Exhibit A starting on page 84.

Total Shareholder Return (TSR) measures the change in value of an investment in stock over a specified period of time, assuming dividend reinvestment. TSR is subject to many different variables, including factors beyond the control of management.

Share-denominated Approach: annual equity grant is based on a fixed number of shares; aligns award values with shareholder outcomes. ExxonMobil uses this approach; it results in a greater degree of volatility than a dollar-denominated approach.

Dollar-denominated Approach: annual equity grant is based on target dollar value with underlying units adjusted to achieve target value. Market common approach; it results in less volatility than a share-denominated approach.

Statements regarding plans, objectives, and other future events or conditions are forward-looking statements. See the “Cautionary Statement” included in Exhibit A starting on page 84 for important additional information about these statements, including factors that could cause actual results to differ materially.
1 Using the latest set of full-year 2021 performance data as of January 12, 2022.
2 Since the Exxon-Mobil merger.
4 Total Direct Compensation, Realized Pay, and Unrealized Pay are defined in the Frequently Used Terms on page 58. The Frequently Used Terms also identify the compensation benchmark companies. For consistency, CEO compensation versus compensation benchmark companies as discussed on pages 39 and 51 is based on compensation as disclosed in the Summary Compensation Table of the proxy statements as of July 31, 2021. Benchmark company data for 2021 not available at time of publication.
5 For more information, see the Annual Report included with ExxonMobil’s 2022 Proxy Statement available on our website at exxonmobil.com/annualreport. See also the Advancing Climate Solutions – 2022 Progress Report and Sustainability Report available on our website at exxonmobil.com. These reports are for information only and are not incorporated as part of the 2022 Proxy Statement.
6 Bonus program is based on estimates of year-end earnings made in November of each year, such that payment can occur in that calendar year. The purpose of the two-thirds adjustment in the formula is to mitigate the impact of commodity price swings on short-term earnings performance.
7 Benchmark companies are the same companies noted in the 2021 Proxy Statement. See Frequently Used Terms on page 58 for a full list of benchmark companies. Data represents the fiscal year ending in 2021. Excludes sales-based taxes and intersegment revenues.
8 Hydrocarbon, drilling fluid, and chemical spills greater than 1 barrel (bbl).
9 Total debt, as reported in the Financial information section of ExxonMobil’s 2021 Form 10-K.
10 For additional information, see Exhibit A starting on page 84.
11 Includes projects that bring on new volumes. Breakeven based on cost of supply to generate a minimum of 10-percent return on a money-forward basis.
12 Return based on 2022 money-forward, remaining Capex-weighted basis, for Chemical growth projects (North America Polypropylene, Baytown Chemical Expansion, Singapore Resid Upgrade, and China Chemical Complex) in 2027 at full capacity using 2010-2019 annual average margins.
13 Return based on 2022 money-forward, remaining Capex-weighted basis, for Downstream growth projects (Beaumont light crude expansion, U.S. Gulf Coast Permian processing, Fawley hydrofiner and pipeline, and Singapore Resid Upgrade) in 2027 at full capacity using 2010-2019 annual average margins.
14 ExxonMobil: workforce includes employees and contractors. Industry benchmark: American Petroleum Institute (API) discontinued the Survey of Occupational Injuries, Illnesses, and Fatalities (OII) for all segments, except Midstream Pipelines and Terminals, effective January 1, 2021. The International Association of Oil & Gas Producers (IOGP) Report of Occupational Injuries and Illnesses are the Upstream and Downstream industry benchmarks, respectively. IOGP safety performance indicators data converted from incidents per 1,000,000 work hours to incidents per 200,000 work hours. 2021 industry data not available at time of publication. Performance data may include rounding.
15 Emission metrics are based on assets operated by ExxonMobil. With 2016 as the base year, the 2025 Emission Reduction Plan calls for a 15-20% reduction in Upstream greenhouse gas intensity, a 35-45% reduction in corporate flaring intensity, and a 40-50% reduction in corporate methane intensity. ExxonMobil reported emissions, reductions, and avoidance performance data are based on a combination of measured and estimated emissions data. Calculations are based on industry standards and best practices, including guidance from the American Petroleum Institute (API) and IEPECA. There is uncertainty associated with the emissions, reductions, and avoidance performance data due to variation in the processes and operations, the availability of sufficient data, quality of those data, and methodology used for measurement and estimation. Performance data may include rounding. Changes to the performance data may be reported as part of the Company’s annual publications as new or updated data and/or emission methodologies become available. ExxonMobil works with industry, including API and IEPECA, to improve emission factors and methodologies, including measurements and estimates.
16 Competitor data estimated on a consistent basis with ExxonMobil and based on public information. For definitions and more information, see Frequently Used Terms on page 58. See Financial Performance table below for data points.
17 Growth rate of an investor’s holdings with reinvestment of dividends. For definition and more information, see Frequently Used Terms on page 58. See Financial Performance table below for data points.
18 Since the XTO acquisition.
19 As measured by the 2020 Solomon Associate’s proprietary Carbon Emissions Index.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Financial Performance</th>
<th>ROCE (%)</th>
<th>CFOAS ($)</th>
<th>TSR (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10-yr avg</td>
<td>1-yr avg</td>
<td>2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ExxonMobil</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>39.3</td>
<td>51.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chevron</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>31.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shell</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>45.0</td>
<td>56.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TotalEnergies</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>27.2</td>
<td>31.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>27.1</td>
<td>30.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* dollars in billions
### SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE FOR 2021

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name and Principal Position</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Salary ($)</th>
<th>Bonus ($)</th>
<th>Stock Awards ($)</th>
<th>Option Awards ($)</th>
<th>Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation ($)</th>
<th>Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings ($)</th>
<th>All Other Compensation ($)</th>
<th>Total ($)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D.W. Woods, Chairman and CEO</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>1,615,000</td>
<td>3,142,000</td>
<td>13,505,225</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5,137,153</td>
<td>173,110</td>
<td>23,572,488</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>1,615,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8,434,725</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5,348,636</td>
<td>240,700</td>
<td>15,639,061</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>1,500,000</td>
<td>2,216,000</td>
<td>12,371,850</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7,070,597</td>
<td>336,482</td>
<td>23,494,929</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K.A. Mikells, Senior Vice President; CFO*</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>437,500</td>
<td>1,932,000</td>
<td>11,257,116</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13,886,702</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.P. Swiger, Senior Vice President; PFO*</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>1,207,228</td>
<td>1,345,000</td>
<td>4,081,584</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>108,014</td>
<td>2,660,242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>1,541,500</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4,081,584</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4,075,744</td>
<td>144,144</td>
<td>9,842,972</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>1,469,500</td>
<td>1,478,000</td>
<td>7,670,547</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,551,613</td>
<td>163,180</td>
<td>12,332,840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N.A. Chapman, Senior Vice President</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>955,000</td>
<td>1,932,000</td>
<td>6,683,516</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5,519,239</td>
<td>38,611</td>
<td>15,128,366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>955,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3,941,691</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3,777,567</td>
<td>82,090</td>
<td>8,356,348</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>895,000</td>
<td>1,270,000</td>
<td>6,584,574</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4,380,669</td>
<td>174,657</td>
<td>13,304,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J.P. Williams, Jr., Senior Vice President</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>1,068,000</td>
<td>1,932,000</td>
<td>6,683,516</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4,600,089</td>
<td>31,887</td>
<td>14,315,492</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>1,044,667</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3,501,440</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3,334,733</td>
<td>68,468</td>
<td>7,949,308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>986,167</td>
<td>1,231,000</td>
<td>5,849,136</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3,894,892</td>
<td>83,090</td>
<td>12,044,285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L.M. Mallon, President, ExxonMobil Upstream Company*</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>849,000</td>
<td>1,429,000</td>
<td>4,836,755</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3,253,034</td>
<td>26,994</td>
<td>10,394,783</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Ms. Mikells joined the Company on August 9, 2021, as Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer. Mr. Swiger retired on September 1, 2021. Mr. Mallon was President, ExxonMobil Upstream Oil & Gas Company in 2021 and appointed President, ExxonMobil Upstream Company effective April 1, 2022.

### TOTAL DIRECT COMPENSATION

The following pro forma table displays total direct compensation, which includes salary, bonus, and stock award value. In its pay deliberations, the Compensation Committee considers total direct compensation as it excludes the volatility that results from changes in pension value and all other compensation. See pages 50 to 53 for details.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Salary ($)</th>
<th>Bonus ($)</th>
<th>Stock Awards ($)</th>
<th>Total Direct Compensation ($)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D.W. Woods, Chairman and CEO</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>1,615,000</td>
<td>3,142,000</td>
<td>13,505,225</td>
<td>18,262,225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>1,615,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8,434,725</td>
<td>10,049,725</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>1,500,000</td>
<td>2,216,000</td>
<td>12,371,850</td>
<td>16,087,850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K.A. Mikells, Senior Vice President; CFO*</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>437,500</td>
<td>1,932,000</td>
<td>6,683,516</td>
<td>9,053,016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.P. Swiger, Senior Vice President; PFO*</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>1,207,228</td>
<td>1,345,000</td>
<td>4,081,584</td>
<td>2,552,228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>1,541,500</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4,081,584</td>
<td>5,623,084</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>1,469,500</td>
<td>1,478,000</td>
<td>7,670,547</td>
<td>10,618,047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N.A. Chapman, Senior Vice President</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>955,000</td>
<td>1,932,000</td>
<td>6,683,516</td>
<td>9,570,516</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>955,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3,941,691</td>
<td>4,896,691</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>895,000</td>
<td>1,270,000</td>
<td>5,849,136</td>
<td>8,749,574</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J.P. Williams, Jr., Senior Vice President</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>1,068,000</td>
<td>1,932,000</td>
<td>6,683,516</td>
<td>9,683,516</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>1,044,667</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3,501,440</td>
<td>4,546,107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>986,167</td>
<td>1,231,000</td>
<td>5,849,136</td>
<td>8,066,303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L.M. Mallon, President, ExxonMobil Upstream Company*</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>849,000</td>
<td>1,429,000</td>
<td>4,836,755</td>
<td>7,114,755</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1 Salary. Effective January 1, 2022, the annual salary was increased for Mr. Woods to $1,703,000; Mr. Chapman to $1,100,000; Mr. Williams to $1,100,000; and Mr. Mallon to $904,000. For more detail on the design of the salary program and determinations made by the Compensation Committee in 2021, see page 46.

The 2021 salary for Mr. Swiger includes pay in lieu of unused vacation that he received due to his retirement. Refer to page 68 for more information on unused vacation.

2 Bonus. The 2021 bonus was paid in cash at time of grant. For more details on the design of the bonus program and determinations made by the Compensation Committee in 2021, see page 46.

3 Stock Awards. In accordance with disclosure regulations, the valuation of stock awards in this table represents the grant date fair value, which is equal to the number of performance shares awarded times the grant price. The grant price is the average of the high and low sale prices on the NYSE on the date of grant.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grant Date</th>
<th>Grant Price ($)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>November 23, 2021</td>
<td>62.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 24, 2020</td>
<td>41.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 26, 2019</td>
<td>68.73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For Ms. Mikells, the amount in the Summary Compensation Table also includes an initial one-time award of performance shares granted in connection with her hiring by the Corporation on August 9, 2021; grant price was $57.17. The Total Direct Compensation pro forma table excludes the one-time grant.

Dividend equivalents paid on performance share awards are reflected in the grant date fair value and, therefore, not shown in the table.

For more details on the design of the performance share program and determinations made by the Compensation Committee in 2021, see pages 44 to 45 and notes to the table on pages 63 and 64.

4 Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation. The amounts shown in the Summary Compensation Table solely represent the positive change in pension value. SEC regulations do not allow for inclusion of negative pension amounts in the Summary Compensation Table. The Corporation’s nonqualified deferred compensation plan (Supplemental Savings Plan) does not permit accrual of above-market or preferential earnings.

The change in pension value for 2021 is the increase between year-end 2020 and year-end 2021 in the present value of each executive’s pension benefits under the plans. The following table provides a breakdown of the underlying factors impacting the change in pension value for 2021. For a description of the pension plans and the present value calculation, see pages 54 and 66.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factors</th>
<th>D.W. Woods</th>
<th>A.P. Swiger</th>
<th>N.A. Chapman</th>
<th>J.P. Williams, Jr.</th>
<th>L.M. Mallon</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest Rates</td>
<td>-620,307</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-2,698,218</td>
<td>-7</td>
<td>-359,437</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Average Bonus</td>
<td>3,414,350</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4,284,622</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Average Salary</td>
<td>567,310</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>443,596</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>443,330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age and Service</td>
<td>1,775,800</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-290,836</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1,150,724</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in Value</td>
<td>5,137,153</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>-2,545,458</td>
<td>-7</td>
<td>5,519,239</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5 All Other Compensation. The following table breaks down the amounts included in the All Other Compensation column of the Summary Compensation Table for 2021.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Life Insurance ($)</th>
<th>Savings Plan ($)</th>
<th>Personal Security ($)</th>
<th>Personal Use of Company Aircraft ($)</th>
<th>Financial Planning ($)</th>
<th>Relocation ($)</th>
<th>Total ($)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D.W. Woods</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>28,262</td>
<td>74,733</td>
<td>57,768</td>
<td>12,347</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>173,110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K.A. Mikells</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6,417</td>
<td>3,307</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>71,844</td>
<td>81,568</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.P. Swiger</td>
<td>91,077</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>655</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16,282</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>108,014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N.A. Chapman</td>
<td>19,711</td>
<td>16,712</td>
<td>2,188</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12,347</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>38,611</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J.P. Williams, Jr.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>18,690</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10,847</td>
<td>31,887</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L.M. Mallon</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14,858</td>
<td>1,289</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10,847</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>26,994</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Life Insurance. Messrs. Woods, Williams, and Mallon, and Ms. Mikells participate in the Company’s broad-based employee life insurance program that provides coverage that equals 2 times base salary as an active employee. As permitted by disclosure regulations, the premium cost for a broad-based employee life insurance program is not required to be reported and therefore is excluded from this table.

The other Named Executive Officers participate in the Company’s senior executive term life insurance program that provides coverage of 4 times base salary until age 65 and a declining multiple thereafter until age 75, at which point the multiple remains at 2.5 times salary. The Company eliminated this program for all newly eligible senior executives as of October 2007.

For executives with senior executive term life insurance coverage, the premium cost in any year depends on overall financial and mortality experience under the group policy. The amounts shown are based on Internal Revenue Code tables used to value the term cost of such coverage. This valuation is applied since the actual life insurance premium is a single payment for a large group of executives that does not represent the cost of insuring one specific individual.

Savings Plan. The Named Executive Officers participate in the same savings plan program as other U.S.-dollar-paid employees. The amount shown is the value of Company-matching contributions under ExxonMobil’s tax-qualified savings plan and Company credits under the related nonqualified supplemental plan. Company-matching contribution was temporarily suspended beginning October 2020 and reinstated in October 2021.

For a description of the savings plan, see page 54. The value of the credits to the nonqualified supplemental plan is also disclosed in the Nonqualified Deferred Compensation table on page 67.

Personal Security. The Company provides security for all employees, as appropriate based on an assessment of risk, which includes consideration of the employee’s position and work location. Personal security for the CEO, other Named Executive Officers, and other employees is aligned with the intent of the Company’s security program to help employees securely and safely conduct business. The Company does not consider such security costs to be personal benefits because they arise from the nature of the employee’s employment by the Company. However, disclosure regulations require certain security costs to be reported as personal benefits.

The amounts shown in the table include the following types of security-related costs: security systems at executive residences; security services and personnel (at residences and/or during personal travel); car and personal security driver; and Company communications equipment. Security costs related to travel for business purposes are not included.

The car provided for security reasons and used primarily for commuting is valued based on the annualized cost of the car plus maintenance and fuel. Reported costs for rental cars utilized for security reasons during personal travel are the actual incremental costs.

For security personnel employed by the Company, the cost is the actual incremental cost of expenses incurred by the security personnel. Total salary, wages, and benefits are not allocated because the Company already incurs these costs for business purposes. For security contractors, the cost is the actual incremental cost of such contractors associated with the executive’s personal time.
For Mr. Woods, the amount shown includes:
- $25,802 for residential security;
- $17,439 for security costs related to personal travel; and
- $31,492 for the cost of the car provided for security reasons as described above.

**Aircraft.** For security reasons, the Board requires the Chairman and CEO to use the Company aircraft for both business and personal travel. The Compensation Committee considers these costs to be necessary security-related business expenses rather than perquisites. Per the disclosure regulations, the incremental cost of aircraft usage for personal travel is reported.

Incremental cost for personal use of the aircraft is based on direct operating costs (fuel, airport fees, incremental pilot costs, etc.) and does not include capital costs of the aircraft since the Company already incurs these costs for business purposes.

**Financial Planning.** The Company provides financial planning services to senior executives, which includes tax preparation. This benefit is valued based on the actual charge for the services. The Company eliminated this benefit for all newly eligible senior executives as of January 2021. The Company continues to make a broad-based financial planning program available for all U.S.-dollar-paid employees.

**Relocation.** The Company provides relocation assistance to eligible employees on a consistent basis. The amount shown for Ms. Mikells represents $52,175 for relocation costs paid on her behalf or reimbursed to her, and $19,669 for tax reimbursement related to these relocation payments.

### GRANTS OF PLAN-BASED AWARDS FOR 2021

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Grant Date</th>
<th>Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards</th>
<th>Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards</th>
<th>All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares of Stock or Units (#)</th>
<th>All Other Option Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Options (#)</th>
<th>Exercise or Base Price of Option Awards ($/Sh)</th>
<th>Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards ($)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D.W. Woods</td>
<td>11/23/2021</td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
<td>215,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0 13,505,225</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K.A. Mikells</td>
<td>11/23/2021</td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
<td>106,400</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0 6,683,516</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8/09/2021</td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0 4,573,600</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.P. Swiger</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N.A. Chapman</td>
<td>11/23/2021</td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
<td>106,400</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0 6,683,516</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J.P. Williams, Jr.</td>
<td>11/23/2021</td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
<td>106,400</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0 6,683,516</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L.M. Mallon</td>
<td>11/23/2021</td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
<td>77,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0 4,836,755</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In 2021, performance share grants were made in the form of restricted stock units. Each stock unit represents one share of ExxonMobil common stock. Performance shares granted to Named Executive Officers may be settled only in stock. During the restricted period, the executive receives a cash payment on each performance share corresponding to the cash dividends paid on an outstanding share of ExxonMobil stock. Unlike common stock, performance shares granted in stock units do not carry voting rights prior to settlement.

The performance shares will be subject to restriction for 50 percent of the shares until 5 years after grant and for the balance of the shares until 10 years after grant. The restricted periods may not be accelerated except in the case of death.

The grant date of November 23, 2021, is the same as the date on which the Compensation Committee of the Board met to approve the annual awards. For details of grant date fair value, see page 61.

Ms. Mikells also received an initial one-time restricted stock unit grant in connection with her hiring on August 9, 2021. For more information on performance shares and details regarding ExxonMobil’s restrictions and forfeiture provisions, see pages 44, 45, and 56.
### OUTSTANDING EQUITY AWARDS AT FISCAL YEAR-END FOR 2021

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Option Awards</th>
<th>Stock Awards</th>
<th>Equity Incentive Plan Awards</th>
<th>Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested ($)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable</td>
<td>Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#)</td>
<td>Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Unearned Options ($)</td>
<td>Option Exercise Price ($)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D.W. Woods</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K.A. Mikells</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.P. Swiger</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N.A. Chapman</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J.P. Williams, Jr.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L.M. Mallon</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Performance shares shown in the table above include both restricted stock and restricted stock units. The market value is based on the 2021 year-end closing stock price of $61.19. This value has not been risk adjusted. Restricted stock awards have substantially the same terms as restricted stock units, except that restricted stock carries voting rights the same as common stock. Performance shares granted prior to 2020 included additional restriction on the 10-year portion such that vesting is delayed until retirement if later than 10 years.

For Mr. Mallon, the table above includes 35,250 performance shares that were granted before he became a senior executive and are subject to a different vesting schedule than his current and more recent awards, but otherwise have the same terms as awards granted to other senior executives. These remaining outstanding performance shares vest in seven years from grant date.

For more information regarding the performance share program, see pages 44 and 45, and notes to the table on page 63.

The following table shows the dates on which the respective restricted periods for the performance shares shown in the table above expire, assuming the awards are not forfeited and the executive is living when the restrictions lapse.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>2022</th>
<th>2023</th>
<th>2024</th>
<th>2025</th>
<th>2026</th>
<th>10 Years or Retirement, Whichever Occurs Later</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D.W. Woods</td>
<td>66,000</td>
<td>75,000</td>
<td>90,000</td>
<td>102,500</td>
<td>107,500</td>
<td>613,550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K.A. Mikells</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>93,200</td>
<td>93,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.P. Swiger</td>
<td>49,600</td>
<td>55,800</td>
<td>55,800</td>
<td>49,600</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>615,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N.A. Chapman</td>
<td>24,750</td>
<td>38,500</td>
<td>47,900</td>
<td>47,900</td>
<td>53,200</td>
<td>364,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J.P. Williams, Jr.</td>
<td>25,750</td>
<td>38,500</td>
<td>42,550</td>
<td>42,550</td>
<td>53,200</td>
<td>343,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L.M. Mallon</td>
<td>36,750</td>
<td>42,950</td>
<td>27,500</td>
<td>27,500</td>
<td>38,500</td>
<td>137,950</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
OPTION EXERCISES AND STOCK VESTED FOR 2021

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Option Awards</th>
<th>Stock Awards</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise (#)</td>
<td>Value Realized on Exercise ($)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D.W. Woods</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K.A. Mikells</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.P. Swiger</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N.A. Chapman</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J.P. Williams, Jr.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L.M. Mallon</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In 2021, restrictions lapsed on 50 percent of performance share awards that were granted in 2016 for Messrs. Woods, Swiger, Chapman, and Williams. For Mr. Mallon, restrictions lapsed on 50 percent of performance share awards that were granted in 2014. The number of shares acquired on vesting is the gross number of shares to which the award relates. The value realized is the gross number of shares times the market price, which is the average of the high and low sale prices on the NYSE on the date that the restrictions lapse. The net number of shares acquired (gross number of shares less shares withheld for taxes) are:


For more information regarding the performance share program, see pages 44 and 45, and notes to the table on page 63.

PENSION BENEFITS FOR 2021

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Plan Name</th>
<th>Number of Years Credited Service(a)</th>
<th>Present Value of Accumulated Benefit ($)</th>
<th>Payments During Last Fiscal Year ($)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D.W. Woods</td>
<td>ExxonMobil Pension Plan</td>
<td>29.34</td>
<td>2,048,966</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ExxonMobil Supplemental Pension Plan</td>
<td>29.34</td>
<td>10,091,046</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ExxonMobil Additional Payments Plan</td>
<td>29.34</td>
<td>20,369,426</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K.A. Mikells</td>
<td>ExxonMobil Pension Plan</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>27,719 (b)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ExxonMobil Supplemental Pension Plan</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>84,008 (b)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ExxonMobil Additional Payments Plan</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>66,791 (b)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.P. Swiger</td>
<td>ExxonMobil Pension Plan</td>
<td>43.00</td>
<td>12,778,378</td>
<td>495,123 (c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ExxonMobil Supplemental Pension Plan</td>
<td>43.00</td>
<td>17,112,204</td>
<td>663,045 (c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ExxonMobil Additional Payments Plan</td>
<td>43.00</td>
<td>16,060,888</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N.A. Chapman</td>
<td>ExxonMobil Pension Plan</td>
<td>37.34</td>
<td>7,034,518</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ExxonMobil Supplemental Pension Plan</td>
<td>37.34</td>
<td>16,060,888</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ExxonMobil Additional Payments Plan</td>
<td>37.34</td>
<td>14,186,813</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J.P. Williams, Jr.</td>
<td>ExxonMobil Pension Plan</td>
<td>34.70</td>
<td>2,523,192</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ExxonMobil Supplemental Pension Plan</td>
<td>34.70</td>
<td>7,171,293</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ExxonMobil Additional Payments Plan</td>
<td>34.70</td>
<td>14,186,813</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L.M. Mallon</td>
<td>ExxonMobil Pension Plan</td>
<td>32.00</td>
<td>2,382,856</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ExxonMobil Supplemental Pension Plan</td>
<td>32.00</td>
<td>4,991,025</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ExxonMobil Additional Payments Plan</td>
<td>32.00</td>
<td>9,076,332</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) Named Executive Officers have not received any additional service credit. Actual service is reflected in the table.
(b) Ms. Mikells automatically began participating in the pension plan upon employment and will be vested when she has five years of vesting service. In the event of termination prior to five years of service vesting, there is no benefit payable under the ExxonMobil Pension Plan, Supplemental Pension Plan or Additional Payments Plan.
(c) Represents a partial distribution of Mr. Swiger’s plan benefits equal to the FICA and applicable income taxes due upon his retirement.
PENSION PLAN

Defined benefit plans provide an annual benefit of 1.6 percent of final average pay per year of service, with the qualified plan having an offset for Social Security benefits. For a description of the plans, see page 54. Below are the calculations and forms of payments for each plan:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pension Plan</th>
<th>Supplemental Pension Plan</th>
<th>Additional Payments Plan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Qualified</td>
<td>Nonqualified</td>
<td>Nonqualified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calculation</td>
<td>1.6% x final average salary(^1) x years credited service, less a Social Security offset</td>
<td>1.6% x final average salary(^1) x years credited service</td>
<td>1.6% x average annual bonus(^2) x years credited service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Form of Payment</td>
<td>Benefit available as a lump sum or in various annuity forms</td>
<td>Paid in the form of an equivalent lump sum six months after retirement</td>
<td>Paid in the form of an equivalent lump sum six months after retirement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\) Average of the highest 36 consecutive months in the 10 years of service prior to retirement. For the Pension Plan, final average salary included and benefits paid are subject to the limits on compensation ($290,000 for 2021, adjusted each year for inflation) and benefits prescribed by the U.S. Internal Revenue Code. For the Supplemental Pension Plan, final average salary included and benefits paid are the amounts that exceed the U.S. Internal Revenue Code limits.

\(^2\) Average of the annual bonus for the three highest grants of the last five awarded prior to retirement.

PRESENT VALUE PENSION CALCULATIONS

The present value of accumulated benefits is determined by converting the annuity values earned as of year end to lump sum values payable at age 60 (or at the employee’s actual age, if older) using the applicable mortality tables and interest rates. The value shown in the Pension Benefits table is the accumulated benefit as of year-end 2021 or at retirement for Mr. Swiger who retired September 1, 2021. The value shown in the Summary Compensation Table on page 60 represents the annual increase in the value of the pension between year-end 2020 and 2021 or retirement date.

The lump sum interest rates used to calculate the accumulated benefits in the Pension Benefits table and Summary Compensation Table:

- For an employee who worked through the end of 2020 were 0.52 percent, 2.27 percent, and 3.09 percent, and through the end of 2021 were 0.68 percent, 2.53 percent, and 3.09 percent. This is applicable to Messrs. Woods, Chapman, Williams, and Mallon, and Ms. Mikells.

- The actual lump sum conversion factors that will apply when each executive retires may be different. Mr. Swiger retired September 1, 2021, and the lump sum interest rates were 1.25 percent as of the end of 2020 and 2.00 percent as of third quarter 2021.

For employees not yet age 60, these age 60-lump sum values are discounted to present values based on the time difference between the individual’s age at year-end 2021 and age 60 (and at year-end 2020 and age 60 for the annual increase in pension calculation in the Summary Compensation Table) using the interest rates for valuing financial reporting of pension obligations as of each year end. The discount rate for determining the present value of benefits was 2.8 percent as of year-end 2020 and 3.0 percent as of year-end 2021. This is applicable to all of the Named Executive Officers except Mr. Swiger.

EFFECT OF EARLY RETIREMENT OR DEATH

All three pension plans require completion of 15 years of service and attainment of age 55 to be eligible for early retirement. All Named Executive Officers have satisfied this requirement except Ms. Mikells.

The early retirement benefit under the pension plans consists of an annuity benefit that is undiscounted for retirement ages of 60 years or over, with a discount of 5 percent for each year under age 60. In addition, the Social Security offset is waived for annuity payments scheduled to be paid prior to age 62. The benefit is eligible to be paid in the form of a lump sum. In the event of death after early retirement eligibility, the retirement benefit is payable to the participant’s beneficiary.
The table below shows the lump sum early retirement benefits under the plans for Messrs. Woods, Chapman, Williams, and Mallon as of year-end 2021.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Plan Name</th>
<th>Lump Sum Early Retirement Benefit ($)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D.W. Woods</td>
<td>ExxonMobil Pension Plan</td>
<td>2,058,926</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ExxonMobil Supplemental Pension Plan</td>
<td>10,001,459</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ExxonMobil Additional Payments Plan</td>
<td>20,188,589</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N.A. Chapman</td>
<td>ExxonMobil Pension Plan</td>
<td>2,788,320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ExxonMobil Supplemental Pension Plan</td>
<td>7,063,478</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ExxonMobil Additional Payments Plan</td>
<td>16,069,621</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J.P. Williams, Jr.</td>
<td>ExxonMobil Pension Plan</td>
<td>2,540,642</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ExxonMobil Supplemental Pension Plan</td>
<td>7,163,386</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ExxonMobil Additional Payments Plan</td>
<td>14,171,169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L.M. Mallon</td>
<td>ExxonMobil Pension Plan</td>
<td>2,394,474</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ExxonMobil Supplemental Pension Plan</td>
<td>4,994,942</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ExxonMobil Additional Payments Plan</td>
<td>9,083,454</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the event of termination after 5 years of vesting service and prior to retirement eligibility, the pension benefit payable from the qualified Pension Plan is actuarially reduced and payable as an annuity or lump sum; there is no benefit payable under the Supplemental Pension Plan or Additional Payments Plan.

**NONQUALIFIED DEFERRED COMPENSATION FOR 2021**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Executive Contributions in Last FY ($)</th>
<th>Registrant Contributions in Last FY ($)</th>
<th>Aggregate Earnings in Last FY ($)</th>
<th>Aggregate Withdrawals/Distributions ($)</th>
<th>Aggregate Balance at Last FYE ($)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D.W. Woods</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>28,262</td>
<td>13,416</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>648,533</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K.A. Mikells</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6,417</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6,417</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.P. Swiger</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>31,507</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,266,041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N.A. Chapman</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16,712</td>
<td>9,511</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>456,749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J.P. Williams, Jr.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>18,690</td>
<td>9,892</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>476,211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L.M. Mallon</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14,858</td>
<td>3,583</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>179,876</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The table above shows the value of the Company credits under ExxonMobil’s nonqualified Supplemental Savings Plan.

The nonqualified Supplemental Savings Plan provides employees with the 7-percent, Company-matching contribution to which they would otherwise be entitled under the qualified plan if not for limitations on covered compensation and total contributions under the U.S. Internal Revenue Code. The Company-matching contribution was temporarily suspended beginning October 2020 and reinstated in October 2021.

The rate at which the nonqualified Supplemental Savings Plan account bears interest during the term of a participant’s employment is 120 percent of the long-term Applicable Federal Rate. For more information on the Supplemental Savings Plan, see page 54.

The Company credits for 2021 are also included in the Summary Compensation Table under the column labeled All Other Compensation. The aggregate balance at the last fiscal year end shown above includes amounts reported as Company contributions in the Summary Compensation Table of the current proxy statement and proxy statements from prior years as follows:

OTHER COMPENSATION ELEMENTS

Termination and Change in Control

• Named Executive Officers are not entitled to any additional payments or benefits relating to termination of employment other than the retirement benefits previously described

• Named Executive Officers do not have employment contracts, a severance program, or any benefits or payments triggered by a change in control; see page 57

Administrative Services for Retired Employee Directors

• Company provides certain administrative support that generally involves, but is not limited to, assistance with correspondence and travel arrangements related to activities the retired directors are involved with that continue from their employment, such as board positions with nonprofit organizations. Given the nature of support provided, a retired director’s spouse may also benefit from the support provided

• Retired employee directors are also allowed to use vacant office space at headquarters

• Aggregate incremental cost to provide these services is approximately $52,000 per year; amount represents compensation and benefit cost for support personnel allocated based on estimated time dedicated to providing this service and other miscellaneous office support costs

• It is not possible to estimate the future cost that may be incurred by the Company to provide these services to Mr. Woods, who is currently the only employee director

Health Care Benefits

• Named Executive Officers are eligible to participate in the Company’s health care programs (medical, dental, prescription drug, and vision care) on the same basis as all other U.S.-dollar-paid employees; no special provisions apply

Unused Vacation

• U.S.-dollar-paid employees are entitled to payment of salary for any accumulated but unused vacation days at retirement or other termination of employment. Payment for unused vacation is included in final payment of earned salary, if applicable

Payments in the Event of Death

• The only event that results in acceleration of the vesting for outstanding performance share awards is death

• Executive’s estate or beneficiaries would be entitled to receive the applicable death benefits as described on page 66, a distribution of the executive’s savings plan balances, and payment of Company-provided life insurance or death benefits as described on page 62

• At year-end 2021, the amount of Company provided life insurance for each Named Executive Officer is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Life Insurance Benefit ($)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D.W. Woods</td>
<td>3,230,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K.A. Mikells</td>
<td>2,200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.P. Swiger</td>
<td>5,456,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N.A. Chapman</td>
<td>3,820,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J.P. Williams, Jr.</td>
<td>2,136,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L.M. Mallon</td>
<td>1,698,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SHAREHOLDER PROPOSALS

We expect Items 4 through 10 to be presented by shareholders at the annual meeting. Following SEC rules, other than minor formatting changes, we are reprinting the proposals and supporting statements as they were submitted to us. We take no responsibility for them. Upon oral or written request to the Secretary at the address listed under Contact Information on page 8, we will provide information about the sponsors’ shareholdings, as well as the names, addresses, and shareholdings of any co-sponsors.

The Board recommends you vote AGAINST Items 4 through 10 for the reasons we give after each one.

Item 4 – Remove Executive Perquisites

This proposal was submitted by Bernie J. Pafford, 600 Studemont Street, Apt. 3333, Houston, Texas 77007, the owner of 858 shares.

“Resolved – Shareholders request that payments and/or reimbursements to current and former Named Executive Officers (NEOs) for personal expenses which are not allowed to US dollar paid salaried employees under the Company’s policies and procedures be discontinued.

Supporting Statement:

Although the total dollars involved are small, exceptions made for NEOs send the wrong message (‘don’t do as I do, do as I say’) to other employees when Corporate policies are not consistently applied. For current NEOs, these exceptions include, but are not limited to, tax preparation, financial planning services, residential security systems, and personal travel (fuel, airport fees, and incremental pilot costs associated with the CEO’s use of Company aircraft) as detailed in the ‘All Other Compensation’ Section of page 62 on the Notice of 2021 Annual Meeting and Proxy Statement.

In all cases, NEOs are well compensated beyond these payments and/or reimbursements for personal expenses through base salary, bonuses, and stock awards. To be sure, their salaries and remuneration are more than sufficient to pay these expenses and it is time for them to live by the same Corporate policies that apply to others. Any other employee that places personal charges on an expense statement must reimburse the Company. If they do not, they are subject to disciplinary measures up to and including termination.

In 2020, the Compensation Committee saw fit to discontinue offering free tax preparation and financial advice for future NEOs. However, it is not clear why that service continues for current NEOs. There is a third-party service that is available to provide financial advice to regular employees. NEOs should use that same service if they need advice versus having a special arrangement set up specifically for them.

Furthermore, NEOs may use headquarters office space and receive administrative support (e.g., preparation of correspondence and making travel arrangements) after retirement. The support (1) is not necessarily limited to activities that retired NEOs were involved in during their employment and (2) may also benefit the NEO’s spouse (see page 68 of the Notice of 2021 Annual Meeting and Proxy Statement). Other retirees, even those who are active in charities, such as the United Way, are not offered this benefit.

The Corporation faces an increasing number of challenges that call for leadership, not just management, by the NEOs. Leaders motivate by acting as examples for the organization. By accepting different behavioral standards that run counter to Corporate policy, the NEOs fall short of that call.”

The Board recommends you vote AGAINST this proposal for the following reasons:

ExxonMobil’s compensation and benefits programs are designed to support the Company’s core principles and business strategies and are market competitive for all employees. The Chief Executive Officer, Named Executive
Officers, executives, and other U.S. employees participate in the same broad-based programs. In cases where program application is different, this is generally due to legacy participation in a previously offered and since-terminated program, or based on position-specific requirements.

For example, all U.S.-dollar-paid employees can access a broad-based financial planning program. The financial planning program specific to senior executives was closed to new entrants effective January 2021. Participants prior to January 2021 may continue to access the program.

The Company also provides security for its employees, as appropriate, based on a risk assessment that includes consideration of the employee’s position and work location. The Company does not consider security costs to be personal benefits since these costs arise from the nature of the employee’s occupation with the Company. The personal protection provided to the CEO and/or other employees is aligned with the intent of the Company’s security program. In addition, the Board requires the CEO to use company aircraft for both business and personal travel for security reasons.

The Board believes this proposal is unnecessary, as ExxonMobil’s approach is consistent with market practices, and security services are informed by a thorough security risk assessment.

**Item 5 – Limit Shareholder Rights for Proposal Submission**

This proposal was submitted by Steven Milloy, 12309 Briarbush Lane, Potomac, Maryland 20854, the beneficial owner of 1,016 shares.

“Nuisance Shareholders

Resolved:

That the Company amend its bylaws to no longer permit shareholders to submit precatory (non-binding or advisory) proposals for consideration at annual shareholder meetings, unless the board of directors takes specific action to approve submission of such proposals.

Supporting Statement:

Stock ownership has unfortunately become politicized. Many shareholders own stock in publicly-owned corporations for the sole purpose of advancing the shareholders’ own social or political agendas, while simultaneously assailing the corporations’ legitimate business operations. To put it politely, these activist shareholders are ‘nuisance shareholders.’

Climate activists are nuisance shareholders who have leveraged proposals over the years to the point where they now have a significant presence on the ExxonMobil board of directors. These anti-fossil fuel nuisance shareholders may soon control the ExxonMobil board of directors. What could go wrong?

A primary tool of nuisance shareholders is the submission of non-binding precatory (advisory) proposals for discussion and vote at annual meetings of shareholders. Proposals from nuisance shareholders can coerce management into making decisions not in the best interests of ExxonMobil and its bona fide shareholders, and turn the annual meeting into a media-activist circus.

The overarching purpose of these proposals is to harass, intimidate and otherwise force ExxonMobil management into actions that it would not normally undertake and that, in fact, may be harmful to the company and its bona fide shareholders.

As Nobel laureate Milton Friedman wrote, ‘The social responsibility of business is to increase its profits.’ Businesses accomplish this vital role by providing the goods and services that society needs and wants in compliance with the law.
Businesses are society’s wealth generators. This wealth fuels the rest of society via salaries, taxes, dividends, and stock price appreciation. Businesses should not be distracted and hijacked by social and political activists seeking to change perceived shortcomings of society, which are issues better and more appropriately addressed by governments and charities.

Nuisance shareholders and their proposals distract management and coerce it into taking harmful actions based on junk science, political correctness and other non-business agendas rather than rational business practice. This can only reduce profits and, thereby, prevent ExxonMobil from achieving its actual social responsibility."

The Board recommends you vote AGAINST this proposal for the following reasons:

The Board values input from shareholders and considers this input as it fulfills its commitment to thorough oversight of the Company’s plans to grow long-term shareholder value.

The Board respects the rights of shareholders to have their perspectives heard and provides several alternatives, including written correspondence and a portal for electronic communication at exxonmobil.com/directors, through which shareholders can communicate with the directors. The Board encourages shareholders to make use of those communication channels, and also recognizes that shareholder proposals can be a constructive element of corporate governance. As a result, the Board believes the current proposal is unnecessary.

Item 6 – Reduce Company Emissions and Hydrocarbon Sales

This proposal was submitted by Follow This, Hillegomstraat 15, Amsterdam, 1059 BW, Netherlands, the beneficial owner of 37 shares and lead proponent of a filing group.

"WHEREAS: We, the shareholders, must protect our assets against devastating climate change, and we therefore support companies to substantially reduce greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions.

RESOLVED: Shareholders request the Company to set and publish medium- and long-term targets to reduce the greenhouse gas (GHG) of the Company’s operations and energy products (Scope 1, 2, and 3) consistent with the goal of the Paris Climate Agreement: to limit global warming to well below 2°C above pre-industrial levels and to pursue efforts to limit the temperature increase to 1.5°C.

You have our support.

SUPPORTING STATEMENT:

The policies of energy companies – the largest greenhouse gas (GHG) emitters – are crucial to confronting the climate crisis. Therefore shareholders support oil and gas companies to substantially reduce their emissions.

We, the shareholders, understand this support to be essential in protecting all our assets in the global economy from devastating climate change.

We therefore support the Company to set emission reduction targets for all emissions: the emissions of the company’s operations and the emissions of its energy products (Scope 1, 2, and 3). Reducing Scope 3 emissions, the vast majority, is essential to limiting global heating.

Scientific consensus

The world’s leading international scientific bodies recently released reports which clearly state the need for deep cuts in emissions in order to limit global warming to safe levels.

Financial momentum

A growing international consensus has emerged among financial institutions that climate-related risks are a source of financial risk, and therefore limiting global warming is essential to risk management and responsible stewardship of the economy.
Back ing from investors that insist on targets for all emissions continues to gain momentum: 2021 saw unprecedented investor support for climate resolutions. In the US, three of these climate resolutions passed with a historic majority. In Europe, support for these climate resolutions continued to build.

Legal risk

In 2021, a Dutch court ordered Shell to severely reduce their worldwide emissions (Scope 1, 2, and 3) by 2030. This indicates that oil majors and large investors have an individual legal responsibility to combat dangerous climate change by reducing emissions and confirms the risk of liability.

We believe that the Company could lead and thrive in the energy transition. We therefore encourage you to set targets that are inspirational for society, employees, shareholders, and the energy sector, allowing the company to meet an increasing demand for energy while reducing GHG emissions to levels consistent with curbing climate change.

You have our support.”

The Board recommends you vote AGAINST this proposal for the following reasons:

The Board takes an active role in overseeing ExxonMobil’s approach to climate change and agrees with the proponent that the Company can be a leader in the energy transition. As described in the recently published Advancing Climate Solutions report, the Company is undertaking a number of initiatives to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. These include ExxonMobil’s ambition to achieve Scope 1 and Scope 2 net-zero greenhouse gas emissions from operated assets by 2050, and ongoing efforts to help customers reduce their emissions.

To achieve the Company’s net-zero ambition, ExxonMobil is taking a comprehensive approach that develops detailed emission-reduction roadmaps for major operated assets. The roadmaps account for facility configuration and maintenance schedules, and will be updated as technologies and government policies evolve. Net-zero roadmaps for major assets, covering about 90% of the Company’s greenhouse gas emissions, are scheduled to be completed by year-end 2022, and the remainder in 2023.

The Company has also set aggressive medium-term plans to reduce Scope 1 and Scope 2 greenhouse gas emissions through 2030 compared to 2016 levels that are consistent with Paris-aligned pathways and support the Company’s net-zero ambition. The plans are expected to result in a 20-30% reduction in corporate-wide greenhouse gas intensity, including reductions of 40-50% in upstream intensity, 70-80% in methane intensity, and 60-70% in flaring intensity. These plans include actions that are expected to reduce absolute company-wide greenhouse gas emissions by approximately 20%, including an estimated 70% reduction in methane emissions, 60% reduction in flaring emissions, and 30% reduction in upstream emissions. For non-operated assets, the Company works with its equity partners to advance greenhouse gas reductions to achieve comparable results.

ExxonMobil plans to invest more than $15 billion by 2027 to advance emission-reduction initiatives using its technology expertise, particularly in carbon capture and storage, hydrogen, and biofuels. Additional policy support would accelerate and broaden deployment of critical technology and provide ExxonMobil additional investment opportunities to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. As outlined in the Advancing Climate Solutions report, ExxonMobil is working on the key technologies that many reputable third-parties, including the International Energy Agency and the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, indicate are required to achieve net-zero ambitions but are not currently deployed at scale to significantly reduce overall emissions or achieve this goal.

The proposal by the proponent does not acknowledge the significant work and commitment by ExxonMobil to reduce its own emissions as well as the emissions of its customers. The proponent has confirmed in an interview available on its website that their proposal is designed with the explicit intent to constrain ExxonMobil’s future investments in oil and gas.1

But advocating for ExxonMobil to decrease production and supply at present, without any commensurate reductions in corresponding demand, would result in customers simply choosing other suppliers, which could have unintended
consequences. A proposal to constrain leading companies from producing products that currently have insufficient practical alternatives, simply transfers that production and associated emissions to other producers. If the other producers are less efficient and have higher emissions intensity, society’s overall emissions would likely increase.

The efficiency and relative emissions performance of ExxonMobil refineries provides an example. For more than two decades, ExxonMobil refineries have focused on improving energy efficiency and lowering emissions. As a result, their emissions intensity (Scope 1 and Scope 2) is already more than 15% lower than the global industry average. This is the equivalent of about 5 million metric tons per year CO₂e, based on ExxonMobil refining throughput in 2020.

In addition, many of the products produced by ExxonMobil may enable reductions of overall emissions, while concurrently contributing to a greater Scope 3 emissions count for the Company under the present duplicative and flawed accounting methods for Scope 3 reporting. For example, natural gas or LNG produced by ExxonMobil reduces global emissions when it displaces coal for power generation. In the same way, plastics produced by ExxonMobil reduce the weight and increase the efficiency of vehicles, both electric and traditional, reducing their emissions. Renewable diesel produced by ExxonMobil increases the Company’s Scope 3 emissions, but reduces overall emissions when it replaces conventional diesel.

In lieu of a universal standard of transparent, objective, high-integrity accounting measures for calculating and reporting global emissions, multiple methodologies are presently employed using questionable, often double counted (or more), estimates with widely acknowledged deficiencies and inconsistencies when calculating and reporting Scope 3 emissions. The present methodology does not provide the value, and meet the ultimate necessity, of an objective and accurate determination of benefits and emissions across the full product life cycle. Trusted comparable emissions reporting requires the development and adoption of a credible and consistent global accounting system to measure the global emissions impact across the entire value chain. ExxonMobil pursues life cycle analysis methodology to provide greater consistency and mathematical clarity when comparing products and industrial processes. The Company discusses the advantages of life cycle analyses in its Advancing Climate Solutions report and welcomes continued work and dialogue on evolving objectivity and accuracy of measuring, monitoring, and management of global emissions.

The Board supports the Company’s approach towards net-zero: 1) measuring, monitoring, and managing reductions of the Company’s emissions; 2) providing lower emissions-intensive products as the energy transition progresses that help our customers increasingly reduce their own emissions, and 3) importantly, advancing commercialization of emissions-reduction, and emissions-sequestration technologies. Taken together, this is a more effective response to credibly addressing the risks of climate change than excess reliance on unreliable methodologies and inadequate assumptions intended to characterize a single company’s “Scope 3” emissions.

Therefore, the Board recommends a vote against this proposal.

---

1 Available at the time of publication of this proxy statement at: https://www.follow-this.org/big-oil-can-make-or-break-the-paris-climate-accords-follow-this-founder-says/


---

**Item 7 – Report on Low Carbon Business Planning**

This proposal was submitted by Kimberly Indresano and Brian Robert Romer JT Ten, clients of Arjuna Capital, 1 Elm Street, Manchester, Massachusetts, 01944, the beneficial owner of 286 shares.

“Whereas: Exxon, in 2019, signed a ‘Statement on the Purpose of a Corporation,’ committing the Company to all stakeholders, including ‘protect[ing] the environment by embracing sustainability practices across our businesses.’

Inconsistent with this ‘embrace’ of sustainability, Exxon lacks a business strategy consistent with limiting global temperature rise to 1.5 degrees Celsius, with no commitment to Net Zero by 2050 or a roadmap to get there. Importantly, current 2025 emission targets ignore the Scope 3 emissions of their products, which account for 83 percent of total emissions.
A global transition towards a low carbon economy places unprecedented risk on oil companies and the economy. The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change warns oil industry emissions need to drop 50 to 90 percent by 2050 to avoid catastrophic consequences. The United States’ Commodity Futures Trading Commission stresses ‘climate change poses a major risk to the stability of the U.S. financial system and to its ability to sustain the American economy’. The United Nations Environment Programme Finance Initiative reports in ‘Universal Ownership’ that 50 percent of companies’ earnings are at risk from climate costs, creating systemic risk for Exxon, and diversified investors alike.

A failure to plan for this transition may place investor capital at substantial risk. The CEOs of Shell, Equinor, and BP predict peak oil demand may occur by 2025. Carbon Tracker reports Exxon could lose 80 percent of its petroleum investments if the world takes action to limit global temperature rise.

Peers have begun investing in clean energy, including wind, solar, and renewables storage, while Exxon has invested in less effective carbon mitigation solutions like carbon capture and sequestration. The World Benchmarking Alliance reports companies need to dedicate 77 percent of capital expenditure to low carbon projects to meet a 1.5 degree scenario. Yet, Exxon plans to invest a fraction of that amount—only 3.3 percent—in ‘lower emission energy solutions’ through 2025.

Exxon’s current strategy has not benefited its stock price for a decade, with the stock price falling approximately negative 20 percent, compared to a near tripling of the S&P 500. Exxon’s returns remain at risk in the absence of a comprehensive climate strategy.

**Resolved:** With board oversight, shareholders request ExxonMobil issue a report (at reasonable cost, omitting proprietary information) describing how the company could alter its business model to yield profits within the limits of a 1.5 degree Celsius global temperature rise by substantially reducing its dependence on fossil fuels.

**Supporting Statement:** The proponent suggests such a report could include a roadmap (with timelines, short and long term goals, capital expenditure planning) to alter its energy mix to reduce fossil fuel dependence, including options such as buying, or merging with, companies with renewable energy assets or technologies, and/or internally expanding its renewable energy portfolio, and/or exercising stricter capital discipline by focusing on high return, low cost, and low carbon capital expenditures to boost return on capital, reduce societal greenhouse gas emissions, and protect shareholder value.”

**The Board recommends you vote AGAINST this proposal for the following reasons:**

The information requested by the proposal is included in reports already prepared and published by the Company. This includes the Advancing Climate Solutions report, Energy Outlook, Sustainability Report, and other publications and filings that are available on the Company’s website.

The move to a lower-emission future requires multiple solutions that can be implemented at scale to address some of the highest-emitting sectors of the economy. For example, the power, industrial, and transportation sectors account for roughly 80% of global emissions. This is where ExxonMobil is focused, leveraging its experience and long history of meeting vast complex challenges.

The Company leverages its core capabilities to meet society’s needs for products essential for modern life, while addressing the challenge of climate change. ExxonMobil’s strategy uses its advantages in scale, integration, technology, and talent to build globally competitive businesses that lead industry in earnings and cash flow growth across a broad range of scenarios. ExxonMobil plans to play a leading role in the energy transition, while retaining investment flexibility across a portfolio of evolving opportunities to maximize shareholder returns.

The Company has publicly announced its ambition to achieve net-zero emissions from its operated assets by 2050 and is taking a comprehensive approach centered on developing detailed emission-reduction roadmaps for major operated assets to support this goal. This ambition applies to Scope 1 and Scope 2 greenhouse gas emissions. It builds on the Company’s recently announced 2030 emission reduction plans, which are consistent with Paris-aligned
pathways, and includes plans to reach net-zero emissions in the Company’s Permian Basin operations by 2030. Further, the Company has increased planned investments to $15 billion from 2022 through 2027 to help accelerate emission reductions in the Company’s operations and grow lower-emission business opportunities in carbon capture and storage, hydrogen, and biofuels that help customers reduce their emissions.

The Company’s Advancing Climate Solutions report includes a detailed analysis of ExxonMobil’s business and investment portfolio under the International Energy Agency’s (IEA) Net Zero Emissions by 2050 (NZE) scenario, which is a deep decarbonization scenario aligned with a 1.5°C pathway. Using the IEA’s assumptions, the Company’s analysis further tested the resiliency of its businesses and strategy.

The assessment, which was reviewed by a respected third party, showed significant growth potential exists in chemicals, lower-emission fuels, carbon capture and storage, and hydrogen. ExxonMobil is positioned to successfully compete in these businesses by leveraging existing differentiated capabilities and repurposing assets toward these growth opportunities.

Under the IEA NZE scenario, production of traditional refined products would decline as sites are closed, converted to terminals, or reconfigured to shift production to chemicals, lubricants, basestocks, and lower-emission fuels. Investments in carbon capture and storage, hydrogen, and biofuels increase significantly, supported by higher carbon pricing assumed by the IEA in its NZE scenario. The Company would continue to make accretive investments in its chemicals business as demand for these products grows in the IEA NZE scenario, with many of these products generating lower life-cycle emissions relative to available alternatives. For example, by 2030, ExxonMobil’s products used in plastic packaging solutions could avoid approximately 40 million metric tons of greenhouse gas emissions per year by displacing alternative options.

Existing oil and natural gas production and fuels manufacturing assets would be optimized and operated as long as economically justified, consistent with the IEA NZE demand assumptions, which project daily production of 24 million barrels of oil and 169 billion cubic feet of natural gas will still be needed to meet demand in 2050.

Throughout the modeled period, IEA NZE’s hypothetical carbon price supports attractive investments in key growth areas, including carbon capture and storage, hydrogen, and biofuels, that drive long-term increases in cash flow. For example, in Paris-aligned scenarios, over the next decade, three to four times the existing carbon capture and storage capacity would need to be added annually, and in the IEA NZE scenario, biofuels would need to grow 3.5 times the average of the last five years for the next decade, with commensurate growth in logistics, to meet expected demand.

The Company’s core capabilities, experience, and advantages in scale, integration, technology, project execution, and talent would remain critical success factors in succeeding in these new market opportunities under this hypothetical transition path. For example, ExxonMobil is the world leader in carbon capture and storage, having captured more anthropogenic CO₂ than any other company over the past 30 years. The Company has announced progress on 10 carbon capture and storage opportunities since establishing its Low Carbon Solutions business in the first quarter of 2021. ExxonMobil is also evaluating strategic investments in hydrogen to increase the use of this important lower-emissions energy technology and studying large-scale production of hydrogen for the Rotterdam industrial complex. Further, ExxonMobil is focused on growing its lower-emission fuels business by continuing research in advanced biofuels. The Company plans to provide more than 40,000 barrels per day of lower-emissions fuels by 2025 and has a further goal of 200,000 barrels per day by 2030.

These disclosures and the comprehensive materials that are publicly available demonstrate the Company’s responsiveness to shareholder feedback. Creating an additional report as requested by this proposal is unnecessary.

**Item 8 – Report on Scenario Analysis**

This proposal was submitted by Christian Brothers Investment Services, Inc., 733 Third Avenue, Ste. 2020, New York, New York 10017, the beneficial owner of shares with a market value greater than $2,000 and lead proponent of a filing group.
WHEREAS:

Many policymakers, investors and companies have converged on goals including the need to limit global temperature increase to 1.5°C and to reach net zero global greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions by 2050, if not sooner.\(^1\)

The International Energy Agency’s Net Zero 2050 Roadmap (NZE) describes an energy sector path for net-zero GHG emissions. According to the IEA, no investment in new fossil supply projects is needed in a net zero scenario and the IEA anticipates oil prices dropping as low as $36/barrel in 2030 and $24/barrel in 2050, projecting a negative trend for a fundamental input in developing ExxonMobil’s cash flow projections for oil and gas production assets.\(^2\)

Yet ExxonMobil continues development of new fossil fuel resources, even while acknowledging\(^3\) that climate change scenarios pose uncertainties that may lead to impairments. Investors are concerned that the continued development of new fossil fuel resources increases the risk of such future impairments. ExxonMobil’s existing, audited annual disclosures do not provide investors with sufficient insight into stranded asset risk related to the energy transition. ‘If climate change impacts the entity, the auditor needs to consider whether the financial statements appropriately reflect this,’ according to the International Auditing and Assurance Standards Board.

An independent September 2021 analysis\(^4\) concluded that the financial statements of ExxonMobil lack the requisite transparency about climate-related assumptions and estimates, and company disclosures do not appear to use Paris-aligned assumptions and estimates. In contrast, peers (Royal Dutch Shell, bp, TotalEnergies) released more transparent disclosures in their audited financial statements, articulating the extent of consideration of climate change contingencies and risks.\(^5\)

RESOLVED: Shareholders request that ExxonMobil’s Board of Directors seek an audited report assessing how applying the assumptions of the International Energy Agency’s Net Zero by 2050 pathway would affect the assumptions, costs, estimates, and valuations underlying its financial statements, including those related to long-term commodity and carbon prices, remaining asset lives, future asset retirement obligations, capital expenditures and impairments. The Board should obtain and ensure publication of the report by February 2023, at reasonable cost and omitting proprietary information.

Supporting Statement

The proponent recommends the requested report be supported with reasonable assurance from an independent auditor.

Investors with $103 trillion in assets under management have already called for companies and their auditors to rigorously disclose climate risks in financial reporting, or risk overstatement by failing to integrate impacts on profits and financial positions.\(^6\)

Last year, this topic received 49.4% support of ExxonMobil investors. In light of ExxonMobil’s disclosures regarding potential impairments from uncertain climate scenarios depressing product demand, it is urgent for investors to vote in favor.

---


\(^3\) E.g., MD&A: https://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/34088/000003408821000064/xom-20210930.htm

\(^4\) Carbon Tracker with Climate Accounting Project, Flying Blind: the glaring absence of climate risks in financial reporting, Table 2, p. 24.

\(^5\) https://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/34088/0001214659210004380/cg421210px14a6g.htm

The Board recommends you vote AGAINST this proposal for the following reasons:

The information requested by the proposal has recently been published by ExxonMobil and is available on the Company’s website. ExxonMobil’s Advancing Climate Solutions report includes an analysis of the Company’s business and investment portfolio under the International Energy Agency’s Net Zero Emissions by 2050 (IEA NZE) scenario.

The analysis, which has been reviewed in a quality assurance audit by a credible third party, used the IEA NZE’s scenario assumptions and included existing operations and future opportunities across ExxonMobil’s businesses in oil, natural gas, fuels, lubricants, chemicals, lower-emission fuels, hydrogen, and carbon capture and storage.

It demonstrated that under the IEA NZE assumptions, the Company could continue to grow cash flows over time through reduced investments in oil and natural gas and increased investments in accretive projects in chemicals, carbon capture and storage, lower-emission fuels, and hydrogen.

Under the IEA NZE scenario, production of traditional refined products would decline as sites closed, converted to terminals, or reconfigured to shift production to chemicals, lubricants, basestocks, and lower-emission fuels. Investments in carbon capture and storage, hydrogen, and biofuels increase significantly, supported by higher carbon pricing assumed by the IEA in its NZE scenario. The Company would continue to make accretive investments in its chemicals business as demand for these products grows in the IEA NZE scenario, with many of these products generating lower life-cycle emissions relative to available alternatives. For example, by 2030, ExxonMobil’s products used in plastic packaging solutions could avoid approximately 40 million metric tons of greenhouse gas emissions per year by displacing alternative options.

Existing oil and natural gas production and fuels manufacturing assets would be optimized and operated as long as economically justified, consistent with the IEA NZE demand assumptions, which project daily production of 24 million barrels of oil and 169 billion cubic feet of natural gas will still be needed to meet demand in 2050.

Overall, under IEA NZE scenario, significant growth potential exists in chemicals, lower-emission fuels, carbon capture and storage, and hydrogen. ExxonMobil is positioned to effectively compete in these businesses by leveraging existing differentiated capabilities and repurposing assets. Throughout the modeled period, IEA NZE scenario’s assumed carbon price supports attractive investments in key growth areas, including carbon capture and storage, hydrogen, and biofuels that drive increases in long-term cash flow. For example, in Paris-aligned scenarios, over the next decade, three to four times the existing carbon capture and storage capacity would need to be added annually, and in the IEA NZE scenario, biofuels would need to grow 3.5 times the average of the last five years for the next decade, with commensurate growth in logistics, to meet expected demand.

The Company’s core capabilities, experience, and advantages in scale, integration, technology, project execution, and talent would be critical success factors in these new market opportunities under this hypothetical transition path. For example, ExxonMobil is the world leader in carbon capture and storage, and has captured more anthropogenic CO₂ than any other company over the past 30 years. The Company has announced progress on 10 carbon capture and storage opportunities since establishing its Low Carbon Solutions business early in 2021. ExxonMobil is also evaluating strategic investments in hydrogen to increase the use of this important lower-emissions energy technology and studying large-scale production of hydrogen for the Rotterdam industrial complex. Further, ExxonMobil is focused on growing its lower-emission fuels business by continuing research in advanced biofuels. The Company plans to provide more than 40,000 barrels per day of lower-emissions fuels by 2025 and has a further goal of 200,000 barrels per day by 2030.

The analysis highlighted in the Advancing Climate Solutions report demonstrates the resiliency of the Company’s businesses and strategy under the IEA NZE scenario and includes analysis of the Company’s plans for capital spending and modeling of potential future cash flow.

The Company received a similar shareholder proposal last year and although that proposal did not reach majority support, ExxonMobil has been responsive to shareholder feedback by expanding disclosures, including in the Advancing Climate Solutions report, Sustainability Report and Energy Outlook. An additional report, as requested by this proposal, would result in duplication and incremental costs. The proposal, therefore, is unnecessary.
Item 9 – Report on Plastic Production

This proposal was submitted by Andrew Behar, 2020 Milvia Street, Suite 500, Berkeley, California 94704, the beneficial owner of 42 shares.

“Whereas: Plastics, with a lifecycle social cost at least ten times higher than its market price, actively threaten the world’s oceans, wildlife, and public health.1 Concern about the growing scale and impact of global plastic pollution has elevated the issue to crisis levels.2 Of particular concern are single-use plastics (SUPs)3 which make up the largest component of the 11 million metric tons of plastic ending up in waterways annually.4 Without drastic action, this amount could triple by 2040.5

In response to the plastic pollution crisis, countries and major packaging brands are beginning to drive reductions in virgin plastic use.6,7

Several studies demonstrate that a significant absolute reduction in virgin plastic demand is critical to curbing the flow of plastic into oceans.8 One of the most robust reduction pathways is presented in the widely-respected report, Breaking the Plastic Wave, which found that plastic leakage into the ocean can be feasibly reduced by 80% under its System Change Scenario (SCS), which is based on a significant absolute reduction of virgin SUPs.9,10

BP has recognized the potential disruption that global SUP reductions could have on the oil industry in its 2019 Outlook, where it found a global SUP ban by 2040 would reduce oil demand growth by 60%.11

The future under the SCS – one built on recycled plastics and circular business models – looks drastically different than today’s linear take-make-waste production model. Several implications of the SCS, including a one-third absolute demand reduction (mostly of virgin SUPs) and immediate reduction of new investment in virgin production, are at odds with Exxon’s planned investments.12

Exxon was recently identified as the largest global producer of SUP-bound polymers (5.9 million metric tons in 2019, an estimated 50% of its total polymer production) and exposed for lobbying against plastic pollution laws.13,14 While Exxon states it is acting to ‘address plastic waste,’ it fails to meaningfully address the potential for regulatory restrictions and/or significant disruption in demand for virgin plastic, both of which could result in stranded assets.15,16

Resolved: Shareholders request that Exxon’s Board issue an audited report addressing whether and how a significant reduction in virgin plastic demand, as set forth in Breaking the Plastic Wave’s System Change Scenario to reduce ocean plastic pollution, would affect the Company’s financial position and assumptions underlying its financial statements. The report should be at reasonable cost and omit proprietary information.

Supporting Statement: Proponents recommend that, in the Board’s discretion, the report include:

• Quantification (in tons and/or as a percentage of total) of the company’s polymer production for SUP markets;
• A summary or list of the company’s existing and planned investments that may be materially impacted by the SCS;
• Any future plans or goals to shift its business model from virgin to recycled plastics.

---

3 As defined by the European Union, a global pioneer in SUP reduction, at https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:32019L0904&from=EN#page=8
6 https://www.pbs.org/newshour/science/bold-single-use-plastic-ban-kicks-europes-plastic-purge-into-high-gear
8 https://www.theguardian.com/environment/2021/jul/01/call-for-global-treaty-to-end-production-of-virgin-plastic-by-2040
The Board recommends you vote AGAINST this proposal for the following reasons:

ExxonMobil shares the concerns about plastic waste in the environment and is taking action by enabling products that society can more easily recycle, advancing recycling technology for use in a broader array of products, and also supporting improvements in plastic waste recovery. We work with a number of partners and are a founding member of the Alliance to End Plastic Waste. Elimination of plastic waste pollution requires the support, innovation, and global collaboration of the entire plastics value chain: resin producers, converters, consumer brands, retailers, consumers, NGOs, governments, waste management companies, and recyclers.

The Company is working on advanced recycling solutions that create and capture value from plastic waste by converting it into raw materials that can be used to re-make plastic and other valuable products. For example, ExxonMobil has announced advanced recycling projects in Baytown, Texas, and in Notre Dame de Gravenchon, France, and is assessing additional opportunities in the Netherlands, the U.S. Gulf Coast, Canada, and Singapore. By year-end 2026, ExxonMobil is planning to build advanced recycling capacity to process approximately 500,000 metric tons of plastic waste per year.

The Company also employs a comprehensive approach and established systems to manage the manufacture of plastics in an environmentally responsible way. One example is a global standard in place across all resin-handling operations with the objective of zero pellet loss to the environment. Beyond these examples, the Company publicly shares its guidelines, measures, and practices to assess and mitigate risk factors, and includes information about plastic waste solutions in its Sustainability Report.

While plastic waste in the environment is an issue that must be addressed, plastics are a material of choice in part due to their superior performance and life cycle sustainability benefits versus alternatives. In addition, plastics play an important role in helping society achieve many of the United Nations' (UN) Sustainable Development Goals, including good health, food preservation, and clean drinking water.

Plastics also play a valuable role in enabling new lower-emission technologies, such as electric vehicles, solar panels, wind turbine blades, and high-performance building insulation. On a life-cycle basis, plastic packaging has a 54% lower greenhouse gas emission impact compared to alternative materials as a group, including aluminum, glass, and paper.1 Even in the International Energy Agency’s Net Zero Emissions by 2050 scenario, demand for chemicals grows to more than 30% versus 2020, and plastics make up approximately half of that total volume.

The benefits of plastics are compelling and help make modern life possible. In any emergency room, kitchen, daycare center, shopping center, data center, or mode of transportation, there are abundant examples of life-enhancing plastic products. Medical equipment, hygienic products, building materials, mobile phones, computers, monitors, appliances, packaging, clothes, protective sporting gear, and many other applications provide countless benefits. Plastics even played a vital role in the pandemic response. For example, vaccines are delivered in disposable plastic syringes and ventilators and other medical equipment are made of plastic. Health care workers also depend on personal protective equipment made from hygienic, durable, and reliable plastics.

At the same time, the Company believes that all waste products need to be properly managed to minimize environmental impact, which requires significant investment in waste management infrastructure, including in rapidly growing economies.
To help address the need for increased collection and sorting of plastic waste, ExxonMobil formed a joint venture with Agilyx Corporation, Cyclyx International, focused on developing innovative solutions for aggregating and pre-processing large volumes of plastic waste that can be converted into feedstocks for valuable products.

Based on the importance of plastics in helping society achieve its net-zero ambitions, and the UN’s Sustainable Development goals, as well as the Company’s commitment to responsible operations, support of expanding recycling efforts, and well-established risk management processes, procedures, and disclosures, the Board believes this proposal is unnecessary.

1 Per April 2018 report of Franklin Associates; US; Max Decomp.; Figure 4-1; Impacts as defined in Chapter 4.7: Global Warming Potential (GWP) results, and Indexed to the alternatives as a group (including steel; aluminum; glass; paper-based packaging; fiber-based textiles; and wood). Source: https://www.americanchemistry.com/content/download/7885/file/Life-Cycle-Impacts-of-Plastic-Packaging-Compared-to-Substitutes-in-the-United-States-and-Canada.pdf.

Item 10 – Report on Political Contributions

This proposal was submitted by the Unitarian Universalist Association, 24 Farnsworth Street, Boston, Massachusetts, 02210, the beneficial owner of shares with a market value greater than $2,000 and lead proponent of a filing group.

“Resolved, that the shareholders of Exxon Mobil Corp. (‘Exxon’ or ‘Company’) hereby request the Company to prepare and semiannually update a report, which shall be presented to the pertinent board of directors committee and posted on the Company’s website, disclosing the Company’s:

(a) Policies and procedures for making electoral contributions and expenditures (direct and indirect) with corporate funds, including the board’s role (if any) in that process; and

(b) Monetary and non-monetary contributions or expenditures that could not be deducted as an ‘ordinary and necessary’ business expense under section 162(e)(1)(B) of the Internal Revenue Code, including (but not limited to) contributions or expenditures on behalf of candidates, parties, and committees and entities organized and operating under section 501(c)(4) of the Internal Revenue Code, as well as the portion of any dues or payments made to any tax-exempt organization (such as a trade association) used for an expenditure or contribution that, if made directly by the Company, would not be deductible under section 162(e)(1)(B) of the Internal Revenue Code.

The report shall be made available within 12 months of the annual meeting and identify all recipients and the amount paid to each recipient from Company funds. This proposal does not encompass lobbying spending.

Supporting Statement

As long-term Exxon shareholders, we support transparency and accountability in corporate electoral spending. A company’s reputation, value, and bottom line can be adversely impacted by election spending that is conducted blindly.

The Conference Board’s 2021 ‘Under a Microscope’ report details these risks, recommends the process suggested in this proposal, and warns ‘a new era of stakeholder scrutiny, social media, and political polarization has propelled corporate political activity – and the risks that come with it – into the spotlight. Political activity can pose increasingly significant risks for companies, including the perception that political contributions – and other forms of activity – are at odds with core company values.’

Exxon discloses some election related spending, but it does not disclose direct independent expenditures, payments to influence the outcome of ballot measures, or payments to trade associations or 501(c)(4) organizations that could be used for election-related purposes.

Publicly available records show Exxon has contributed nearly $20 million in corporate funds since the 2010 election cycle.
But information on indirect electoral spending through trade associations and 501(c)(4) groups cannot be obtained by shareholders unless the Company discloses it. This proposal asks the Company to disclose all of its electoral spending, direct and indirect. This would bring our company in line with leading companies, including AT&T, Phillips 66, and ConocoPhillips.

We believe Exxon’s lack of disclosure presents reputational risk when the candidates supported by its election spending contradict company public positions or take controversial positions. For example, Exxon supports federal tax policies to address climate change, yet many of the candidates supported by its trade associations speak out against climate action and even question the scientific consensus on climate change. We urge your support for this critical governance reform.”

The Board recommends you vote AGAINST this proposal for the following reasons:

ExxonMobil is committed to full compliance with relevant laws and regulations, and publicly shares its policy on corporate political spending and its direct contributions to candidates, parties, and committees. The Company believes disclosure requirements outlined by federal and state laws are both adequate and equitable, in that they require the same level of disclosure from all participants in the political process.

In addition to federal and state regulations, ExxonMobil’s political contributions are subject to a strict internal review process that requires approval by the Chairman as directed by the Company’s Political Activities Guidelines, available at exxonmobil.com/company/policy/political-contributions-and-lobbying. The political contributions of the Corporation, and the contributions of the political action committees established by the Corporation, are reviewed with the Public Issues and Contributions Committee, and the Board, on multiple occasions annually. Procedures to ensure adherence to the guidelines are routinely verified during internal audits of the Company’s political activities.

The Company publishes on its website a list of all third-party U.S. organizations in which the Corporation or its affiliates are members, and a portion of its membership fees was reported as used for lobbying. This list, along with an accounting of the Company’s direct lobbying and grassroots lobbying, is available as part of its newly published Lobbying Report, which has been developed after discussion with investors and can be found at exxonmobil.com/company/policy/political-contributions-and-lobbying.

The Board believes this proposal is unnecessary as current federal and state oversight are sufficient to ensure transparency and provide a consistent standard for all reporting entities. Any request for further disclosure requirements would be most appropriately addressed to the U.S. Congress, the Executive Branch, and state and local governments.

**PAY RATIO**

Annual total CEO compensation for 2021 was $23,613,478. The median annual total compensation of all employees of the Corporation, except the CEO, for 2021 was $189,082. The ratio of annual total CEO compensation to the median annual total compensation of all employees was 125:1.

The median employee was identified as of October 15, 2021, based on total taxable wages for the most recently completed prior fiscal year as shown in the Corporation’s records. No estimates or sampling methodologies were used for this purpose. No cost-of-living adjustments were made and the taxable wages of employees employed for less than the full fiscal year were not annualized. “Employees” were defined based on applicable employment and tax laws.

For purposes of this disclosure, as permitted by SEC rules, the value of non-discriminatory benefits is included in annual total compensation of both the median employee and the CEO. These non-discriminatory benefits are long-term disability plan; basic life insurance and accidental death and dismemberment; medical plan; and dental plan.

Including these benefits provides a more accurate pay ratio. Since SEC rules do not require inclusion of these generally available benefits in the Summary Compensation Table, annual total CEO compensation shown above is slightly higher than the Total CEO Compensation shown in that table.
ExxonMobil is a global company with employees in many countries around the world. As permitted by the *de minimis* exemption under the SEC rules, for purposes of identifying the median employee in 2021, we excluded employees from 42 countries which represent in aggregate less than 5 percent of the Corporation’s total employees. As required, where any employees from a jurisdiction were excluded, all employees from that jurisdiction were excluded. In total, as detailed in the table below, 3,126 employees out of a total number of 65,237 worldwide employees (as of October 15, 2021) were excluded under the *de minimis* exemption.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Countries Excluded / Number of Employees</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3. Chad 298</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Finland 83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34. Luxembourg 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35. Micronesia 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36. Azerbaijan 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37. Cameroon 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38. Peru 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39. Namibia 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40. Mauritania 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41. Pakistan 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42. Bahamas 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total number of employees excluded: **3,126**

**ADDITIONAL INFORMATION**

**Other Business**

We are not currently aware of any other business to be acted on at the annual meeting. Under the laws of New Jersey, where ExxonMobil is incorporated, no business other than procedural matters may be raised at the meeting unless proper notice has been given to the shareholders. If other business is properly raised, your proxies have authority to vote as they think best, including to adjourn the meeting.

**Outstanding Shares**

Holders of record of our common stock at the close of business on April 1, 2022 are entitled to vote at the 2022 annual meeting of shareholders. On February 28, 2022, there were 4,225,673,726 shares of common stock outstanding and entitled to vote. Each common share entitles the holder to one vote.

**How We Solicit Proxies**

We will bear the cost of solicitation of proxies by the Company. In addition to this mailing, ExxonMobil directors, officers, and employees in the ordinary course of their employment, without special compensation other than reimbursement of expenses, may solicit proxies personally, electronically, by telephone, or with additional mailings. We are paying D.F. King & Co. a fee of $30,000 plus expenses to help with the solicitation. We also reimburse brokerage firms, banks, and other intermediaries for their expenses in sending these materials to you and getting your voting instructions.

**Shareholder Proposals and Director Nominations for Next Year**

Any shareholder proposal for the annual meeting in 2023 must be sent to the Secretary at the address or fax number of ExxonMobil’s principal executive office listed under Contact Information on page 8. The deadline for receipt of a proposal to be considered for inclusion in the 2023 proxy statement is 5:00 p.m. Central Time, on December 8, 2022. The deadline for notice of a proposal for which a shareholder will conduct his or her own solicitation is February 21, 2023. Upon request, the Secretary will provide instructions for submitting proposals.

Submissions of nominees for director under the proxy access provisions of our by-laws for the 2023 annual meeting must be submitted in compliance with those by-laws no later than December 8, 2022, and no earlier than...
November 8, 2022. Notice of a director nomination other than under proxy access must be submitted in compliance with the advance notice provisions of our by-laws no later than January 25, 2023, and no earlier than December 26, 2022.

For the 2022 annual meeting of shareholders, the ExxonMobil proxy card will be White. ExxonMobil intends to use the White proxy card for its annual meeting next year and for all future shareholder meetings.

Duplicate Annual Reports
Registered Shareholders with multiple accounts may authorize ExxonMobil to discontinue mailing annual reports on an account by calling ExxonMobil Shareholder Services at the toll-free telephone number listed on page 8 at any time during the year. Beneficial holders should contact their banks, brokerage firms, or other holders of record to discontinue duplicate mailings. At least one account must continue to receive an annual report. Eliminating these duplicate mailings will not affect receipt of future proxy statements and proxy cards.

Shareholders With the Same Address
If you share an address with one or more ExxonMobil shareholders, you may elect to “household” your proxy mailing. This means you will receive only one set of proxy materials at that address unless one or more shareholders at that address specifically elect to receive separate mailings. Shareholders who participate in householding will continue to receive separate proxy cards. Householding will not affect dividend check mailings. We will promptly send separate proxy materials to a shareholder at a shared address on request. Shareholders with a shared address may also request us to send separate proxy materials in the future, or to send a single copy in the future, if we are currently sending multiple copies to the same address.

Requests related to householding should be made by calling ExxonMobil Shareholder Services at the telephone number listed on page 8. Beneficial Shareholders should request information about householding from their banks, brokers, or other holders of record.

SEC Form 10-K
Shareholders may obtain a copy of the Corporation’s Annual Report on Form 10-K to the Securities and Exchange Commission without charge by writing to the Secretary at the address listed under Contact Information on page 8, or by visiting ExxonMobil’s website at exxonmobil.com/secfilings.
EXHIBIT A: Forward-Looking Statements and Frequently Used Terms

Set forth below is important information concerning forward-looking statements, as well as definitions and additional information for certain key business and financial performance measures, made or referenced in this proxy statement.

Cautionary Statement

Statements of plans, outlooks, targets, ambitions, and other future events or conditions in this report are forward-looking statements. Actual future results, including financial and operating performance; demand growth and mix; including the timing and nature of future markets for low emission energy products and technologies, and our related product sales levels and mix; capital expenditures; cost reductions; debt levels and allocation of capital; earnings and cash flow growth and shareholder returns; the ability to meet or exceed announced emission reduction plans and ambitions; resource recoveries; production rates; and project plans, timing, costs, and capacities could differ materially due to a number of factors including global or regional changes in supply or demand for oil, gas, or petrochemicals and other conditions affecting oil, gas, and petrochemical prices; the pace of recovery from, and the occurrence and severity of future outbreaks, of COVID-19 and the nature of responsive actions; the ability to realize efficiencies within and across our business lines and to maintain cost reductions while protecting our competitive positioning; our ability to recognize and adapt to changes in the global energy system, including the transition to low emission technologies, and to invest on a timely basis in successful future businesses; the outcome and timing of exploration and development projects; timely completion of construction projects; war and other security disturbances; political factors including changes in local, national, or international policies affecting our business and development of appropriate policies to support the energy transition; changes in law or government regulations, including trade sanctions, taxes, and environmental regulations relating to the risks of climate change; the granting of necessary licenses and permits; the outcome of commercial negotiations; actions of competitors and commercial counterparties; actions of consumers including changes in demand preferences; the outcome of research efforts, including the success of collaborative efforts to develop new energy technologies, and the ability to bring new technologies to commercial scale on a cost-competitive basis; the development and competitiveness of alternative energy and emission reduction technologies; unforeseen technical or operating difficulties; and other factors discussed here and in Item 1A. Risk Factors of our most recent Form 10-K. All forward-looking statements are based on management’s knowledge and reasonable expectations at the time of this report and we assume no duty to update these statements as of any future date.

As used in this publication, references to “recoverable resource” and similar terms include quantities of oil and gas that are not yet classified as proved reserves under SEC definitions but that are expected to be ultimately recoverable. “Industry” refers to publicly traded international energy companies. The term “project” can refer to a variety of different activities and does not necessarily have the same meaning as in any government payment transparency reports. Unless otherwise specified, data shown is for 2021. Prior years’ data have been reclassified in certain cases to conform to the 2021 presentation basis. Unless otherwise stated, resources, production rates, and project capacities are gross. References to “emissions” refer to energy-related emissions.
Cash Flow From Operations and Asset Sales

Cash flow from operations and asset sales is the sum of the net cash provided by operating activities and proceeds associated with sales of subsidiaries, property, plant and equipment, and sales and returns of investments from the Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows. This cash flow reflects the total sources of cash both from operating the Corporation’s assets and from the divesting of assets. The Corporation employs a long-standing and regular disciplined review process to ensure that assets are contributing to the Corporation’s strategic objectives. Assets are divested when they are no longer meeting these objectives or are worth considerably more to others. Because of the regular nature of this activity, we believe it is useful for investors to consider proceeds associated with asset sales together with cash provided by operating activities when evaluating cash available for investment in the business and financing activities, including shareholder distributions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cash Flow From Operations and Asset Sales</th>
<th>2021</th>
<th>2020</th>
<th>2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(millions of dollars)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net cash provided by operating activities</td>
<td>48,129</td>
<td>14,668</td>
<td>29,716</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proceeds associated with sales of subsidiaries, property, plant and equipment, and sales and returns of investments</td>
<td>3,176</td>
<td>999</td>
<td>3,692</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cash flow from operations and asset sales</strong></td>
<td>51,305</td>
<td>15,667</td>
<td>33,408</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Capital Employed

Capital employed is a measure of net investment. When viewed from the perspective of how the capital is used by the businesses, it includes ExxonMobil’s net share of property, plant and equipment and other assets less liabilities, excluding both short-term and long-term debt. When viewed from the perspective of the sources of capital employed in total for the Corporation, it includes ExxonMobil’s share of total debt and equity. Both of these views include ExxonMobil’s share of amounts applicable to equity companies, which the Corporation believes should be included to provide a more comprehensive measure of capital employed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Capital Employed</th>
<th>2021</th>
<th>2020</th>
<th>2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(millions of dollars)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Business uses: asset and liability perspective</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total assets</td>
<td>338,741</td>
<td>332,750</td>
<td>362,597</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less liabilities and noncontrolling interests share of assets and liabilities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total current liabilities excluding notes and loans payable</td>
<td>(52,367)</td>
<td>(35,905)</td>
<td>(43,411)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total long-term liabilities excluding long-term debt</td>
<td>(62,987)</td>
<td>(65,075)</td>
<td>(73,328)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noncontrolling interests share of assets and liabilities</td>
<td>(8,746)</td>
<td>(8,773)</td>
<td>(8,839)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Add ExxonMobil share of debt-financed equity company net assets</td>
<td>4,001</td>
<td>4,140</td>
<td>3,906</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total capital employed</strong></td>
<td>218,642</td>
<td>227,137</td>
<td>240,925</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total corporate sources: debt and equity perspective**

| Notes and loans payable | 4,276 | 20,458 | 20,578 |
| Long-term debt          | 43,428 | 47,182 | 26,342 |
| ExxonMobil share of equity | 168,577 | 157,150 | 191,650 |
| Less noncontrolling interests share of total debt | (1,640) | (1,793) | (1,551) |
| Add ExxonMobil share of equity company debt | 4,001 | 4,140 | 3,906 |
| **Total capital employed** | 218,642 | 227,137 | 240,925 |
Return on Average Capital Employed

Return on average capital employed (ROCE) is a performance measure ratio. From the perspective of the business segments, ROCE is annual business segment earnings divided by average business segment capital employed (average of beginning and end-of-year amounts). These segment earnings include ExxonMobil’s share of segment earnings of equity companies, consistent with our capital employed definition, and exclude the cost of financing. The Corporation’s total ROCE is net income attributable to ExxonMobil excluding the after-tax cost of financing, divided by total corporate average capital employed. The Corporation has consistently applied its ROCE definition for many years and views it as a meaningful measure of historical capital productivity in our capital-intensive, long-term industry. Additional measures, which are more cash flow based, are used to make investment decisions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Return on Average Capital Employed</th>
<th>2021</th>
<th>2020</th>
<th>2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Net income (loss) attributable to ExxonMobil</td>
<td>23,040</td>
<td>(22,440)</td>
<td>14,340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financing costs (after tax)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross third-party debt</td>
<td>(1,196)</td>
<td>(1,272)</td>
<td>(1,075)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ExxonMobil share of equity companies</td>
<td>(170)</td>
<td>(182)</td>
<td>(207)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All other financing costs – net</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>666</td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total financing costs</td>
<td>(1,355)</td>
<td>(788)</td>
<td>(1,141)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earnings (loss) excluding financing costs</td>
<td>24,395</td>
<td>(21,652)</td>
<td>15,481</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average capital employed</td>
<td>222,890</td>
<td>234,031</td>
<td>236,603</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Return on average capital employed – corporate total</td>
<td>10.9%</td>
<td>(9.3)%</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Structural Cost Savings

Structural cost savings describe decreases in the below expenses as a result of operational efficiencies, workforce reductions and other cost saving measures that are expected to be sustainable compared to 2019 levels. Relative to 2019, estimated cumulative annual structural cost savings totaled $4.9 billion, of which $1.9 billion was achieved in 2021. The total change between periods in expenses below will reflect both structural cost savings and other changes in spend, including market factors, such as energy costs, inflation, and foreign exchange impacts, as well as changes in activity levels and costs associated with new operations. Structural cost savings are stewarded internally to support management’s oversight of spending over time. This measure is useful for investors to understand the Corporation’s efforts to optimize spending through disciplined expense management.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Structural Cost Savings</th>
<th>2021</th>
<th>2020</th>
<th>2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Subset of total costs and other deductions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production and manufacturing expenses</td>
<td>36,035</td>
<td>30,431</td>
<td>36,826</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selling, general and administrative expenses</td>
<td>9,574</td>
<td>10,168</td>
<td>11,398</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exploration expenses, including dry holes</td>
<td>1,054</td>
<td>1,285</td>
<td>1,269</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>46,663</td>
<td>41,884</td>
<td>49,493</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>